

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with—if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.

- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	
	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:	
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted—including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC’s coordinated entry system; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC’s geographic area:	

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	No	No
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	Yes	No
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	No	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	No	No
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	State Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.				

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE COC EFFECTIVEY ADDRESSES THE NEEDS OF UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES THROUGH DATA ANALYSIS TO IDENTIFY DISPARITIES, NEW RESPONSIVE PROGRAMMING, & COLLABORATION WITH BIPOC-LED & CULTURALLY SPECIFIC ORGANIZATIONS. THE COC ALSO RECRUITS BIPOC LIVED EXPERIENCE ADVOCATES WHO ARE ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN THE A WAY HOME FOR TULSA (COC) LEADERSHIP COUNCIL, TASK GROUPS AND COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM PLANNING EFFORTS. Organizations led by & serving Black, Brown, Indigenous & other People of Color (BIPOC) actively participate in CoC meetings, including the CoC Board, the Coordinated Entry System (CES) & Strategic Planning. The CoC prioritizes the voices of individuals with lived experience to gain insights & inform the continuous reevaluation of CES factors for housing prioritization which recent data shows has resulted in more effective housing solutions such as the consolidation of all housing pathways thru CES which has resulted in more equitable allocation of resources. During the CES re-prioritization survey and subsequent meeting discussions the CES lead purposefully included BIPOC-led organizations to obtain feedback during the design, planning, and implementation phases of programs and activities. The CoC has successfully collaborated with diverse organizations & community members whose expertise & insights promote racial equity for developing & operating programs specifically benefit underserved populations by addressing their unique needs & challenges, implementing initiatives that directly advance racial equity within housing services & ensuring that policies & practices do not perpetuate systemic inequities. The CoC engages with community members and organizations to obtain feedback during the design, planning, and implementation phases of programs and activities. These efforts resulted in adding two new youth programs by the Tulsa Dream Center & Black Queer Tulsa, diversifying services both geographically, in two underserved areas, and demographically. The CoC continually recruits organizations serving people in underserved communities, particularly organizations rooted in communities where those who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population are from. This includes a focus on organizations serving Black and Brown Tulsans. The CoC membership now includes ten organizations led by BIPOC persons. The CoC Board structure includes voting seats for the two tribal jurisdictions within the CoC geographic area .

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;	
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC HAS YEAR-ROUND OPEN MEMBERSHIP, ADVERTISED ON A PUBLIC CoC WEBSITE. The CoC uses social media, newsletters, community-wide outreach and public tours of partner sites on buses to expand reach beyond traditional partners. Specific outreach was made to healthcare partners to fill that gap in membership. The goals of the CoC, the TRANSPARENT PROCESS for applying, and expectations for membership are clearly outlined on the CoC’s public website. INVITATION PROCESS: All prospective members are invited to apply and meet with the Membership Task Force to learn about CoC goals and ways to participate . The CoC regularly analyzes CoC member demographics to identify gaps in representation and service provision to support focused outreach efforts resulting in diverse system leadership, equitable outcomes, and a just response system.

2. CoC MEETINGS ARE HOSTED VIRTUALLY & IN-PERSON. ALL DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO CoC MEMBERSHIP ARE AVAILABLE IN ACCESSIBLE FORMATS UPON REQUEST. Invitations are offered in a variety of formats including online (online forms, CoC email). CoC meetings and info are posted on public website and host virtual meetings. Meeting spaces are ADA accessible and information is presented in print and orally. Online materials and printed documents are compatible with assistive technology. WEBSITE OFFERS A VARIETY ACCESIBLE OPTIONS available to people with disabilities. Accommodations are advertised and provided based on needs.

3. THE CoC SPECIFICALLY INVITES ORGANIZATIONS SERVING CULTURALLY SPECIFIC COMMUNITIES EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS TO PARTICIPATE IN CoC DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES TO ADDRESS INEQUITIES IN THE SYSTEM. The Youth Action Board works closely with Youth Services of Tulsa's LGBTQ+ program to proactively recruit members. In the past year, the CoC has invited and added membership organizations representing Black and Brown community-based agencies, Tulsa Dream Center and Black Queer Tulsa; tribal representation, Cherokee Nation and Muscogee Nation; and persons with disabilities, A New Leaf and Gatesway Foundation. The CoC offers cultural humility trainings open to all providers to ensure inclusive and safe environments. Examples of these trainings include Equal Access, Fair Housing, Effective, Equitable, and Person-Centered Housing Strategies. The CoC board has also added voting seats reserved for representatives from the Cherokee and Muscogee Nations to increase Tribal involvement and power within the CoC.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness. NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	
Describe in the field below how your CoC:		
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;	
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;	
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and	
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE CoC SOLICITS DIVERSE INPUT VIA COMMUNITY OUTREACH & OTHER VARIOUS FORMS – ONLINE & IN PERSON. The CoC website, newsletters, & social media platforms invite stakeholders to provide input via online surveys, to attend CoC meetings, and to connect with CoC contacts. CoC Board (LC) has at least two voting seats designated for people with lived experience, and holds monthly open meetings, inviting public comments. Policies and strategies are reviewed by the Participant Advisory Group (PAG) & Youth Advisory Group (YAB) before LC's endorsement. Biannually, the CoC hosts in-person member meetings, allowing public commentary & provider input. The annual 'State of Homelessness' forum delves into performance metrics, seeking public input.
2. THE CoC SHARES & SOLICITS INFO BY PUBLIC INVITE & DURING COC & COMMUNITY-WIDE MEETINGS open to any PERSON with an INTEREST IN ENDING HOMELESSNESS. Communication channels include monthly CoC meetings (LC, committees, task groups), community gatherings, & electronic platforms. Newsletters engage 741 contacts. The CoC has 3,600 followers on Facebook, 673 on LinkedIn, and 1,542 on Instagram. Annually, PIT data undergoes public review. Spring and fall CoC-wide meetings facilitate agency input either virtually or in-person, building collaboration among cross-sector partners. The CoC website's data dashboard showcases system performance offered in an accessible format.
3. THE COC FOSTERS INCLUSIVITY by ensuring that every individual, regardless of ability, has access to digital resources. & info The website integrates with screen readers, voice recognition tools, and alternative input devices. Enhanced features include larger text, color contrast optimization, keyboard-driven navigation, and multimedia with subtitles and audio descriptors. Fall and spring all-member meetings are held in ADA accessible buildings and use ADA accessible buses for tours.
4. IN 2023, THE COC USED FEEDBACK during PUBLIC CoC-WIDE, PAG & YAB MEETINGS TO INFORM UPDATES TO SYSTEM PROCESSES & DAILY OPERATIONS. Via task group participation, surveys, & targeted outreach, CES priorities, governance structures, training curricula, and standard operating procedures underwent modifications. The PAG & YAB input resulted in CoC Service Standards revision on how to target prevention & eliminate youth homelessness, which was a need identified in CoC member forums & surveys, gathered by CoC website, newsletter & social media platforms.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	

Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:	
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications—the process;
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC LEAD ADVERTISED FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ON THE CoC WEBSITE AND SOCIAL MEDIA, W/ APPLICATION INFO W/CLEAR INVITE FOR NEW APPLICANTS/PROJECTS. The CoC website encouraged all orgs, including non-CoC funded, to apply & explained how to apply (process, materials). This resulted in 7 new non-CoC funded agencies registering to attend a CoC TA Workshop.
2. COC HELD A VIRTUAL TA WORKSHOP OPEN TO ANY INTERESTED AGENCIES W/AN OVERVIEW OF THE LOCAL APPLICATION PROCESS & DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO APPLY. Application materials and Scoring Tool (criteria) for New & Renewal Projects are posted on CoC website & social media sites at opening of the local competition. TA Workshop covered key dates, policies, & required documents. TA Workshop materials, video & audio recording, accessible transcript, PowerPoint slides and Q&A resource are accessible to all on the public website. Materials were on the website in PDF format.
3. CoC NOTIFIED PUBLIC OF HOW WOULD DETERMINE WHICH PROJECTS TO SUBMIT BY POSTING ON THE PUBLIC WEBSITE STANDARDS OF OPERATION FOR RANK & REVIEW PROCESS & ELIGIBLE PROJECTS, INCLUDING NEW PROJECTS. A public newsletter & social media posts w/the website link for local competition details were distributed (location of app materials & resources, process & timeline for submission, scoring criteria, appeals process). Policies posted inform of Leadership Council approval; and project review panelist and applicant debrief. All TA workshop registrants were proactively followed up with to clarify the process, as needed.
4. EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION w/persons with disabilities, AVAILABILITY OF ALL DOCUMENTS IN ACCESSIBLE FORMATS UPON REQUEST & ON WEBSITE. CoC offers accommodation before & after the TA event & when applying. A text-only version of the NOFO landing page, linked directly under the page, supports access to persons with limited vision and is more readable for third-party apps that struggle with dynamic HTML. All materials relating to the NOFO process are available electronically and presented/approved in an open meeting. Printed information is also presented orally and the TA workshop transcript and recording are available on the website. Written materials are formatted to be compatible with assistive technology.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	
	In the chart below:	
	1. select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
	2. select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.	McKinney Vento School Liaisons	Yes

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	No
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	No
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	No

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The COC HAS A FORMAL MOU IN PLACE WITH TULSA PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY ACTION PROJECT OF TULSA COUNTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF COORDINATING WITH SYSTEMWIDE INITIATIVE THAT ADDRESS THE HOUSING NEEDS OF STUDENTS AND FAMILIES. Tulsa Public Schools is the independent school district serving the Tulsa, Oklahoma area with more than 33,000 students enrolled. As the largest school district in the state, Tulsa Public Schools provides a host of resources and supports for students and their families. The Office of Student and Family Support Services coordinates services that meet a variety of student needs including counseling, mental health resources, and supports for students at-risk or experiencing homelessness. The CoC has a written MOU with the Office of Family and Student Support Services and the McKinney Vento Coordinator to identify students at-risk or experiencing homelessness and directly connect them to services. This includes referrals to emergency rental assistance and eviction prevention services, access to the Coordinated Entry System, and the Oklahoma Partnership Initiative . The Community Action Project of Tulsa County (CAP) is a leading anti-poverty Community Action Agency and national leader in early childhood education providing Head Start and Early Head Start programs. CAP Tulsa will coordinate with system-wide initiative aimed at ending and preventing homelessness.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.d.		

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE CoC SERVICE STANDARDS REQUIRE PROGRAMS TO INFORM INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES (NEWLY OR OTHERWISE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS) ABOUT THEIR ELIGIBILITY FOR EDUCATIONAL SERVICES & TO CONNECT THEM AS NEEDED. As a part of the services offered, programs inform households with K-12 age youth of their educational rights in common language that can be easily understood, along with offering written materials, help with enrollment, and linkage to McKinney-Vento liaisons. McKinney-Vento liaisons are available to help get uniforms as needed, fill out enrollment forms, and complete a questionnaire regarding their living situation so they can be matched with resources. Conversely, CoC agencies proactively engage school liaisons to identify any student experiencing homelessness and assist them in connecting with appropriate housing resources. A McKinney-Vento program flyer with information on Tulsa Public Schools info is posted throughout the community in places where households in need of services are most likely to present including family shelters, street outreach programs, day centers, school buildings, and the enrollment center. Programs and liaisons inform households of their right to attend their school of origin when preferred. The Service Standards state that permanent housing programs are required to provide intensive case management for the duration of their program enrollment, which includes vocational and employment assistance, training, or referrals to K-12 or higher education institutions. All CoC-funded agencies provide transportation to help clients enroll in educational services, coordinate with school liaisons for any ongoing transportation needs, and use care coordination meetings to address ongoing needs for stabilization or connection to education opportunities. The AWH4T YHDP Operations Manual and Data Standards require that YHDP recipient organizations engage participants at program entry to determine educational status and incorporate educational goal-planning, guidance, and assistance into case management services; this includes connecting young people with learning/training opportunities and pregnant and parenting youth with educational services for their children. The CoCs new Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program recipient organizations are now also easily able to connect clients with Job Corps representatives, local workforce readiness programs, and local higher education institutions which are now members agencies of the CoC.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	No
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	No
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	Yes	No

7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	Yes	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.		

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:

1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Collaborative Governance: POLICY UPDATES WITHIN THE CONTINUUM OF CARE (COC) ARE MADE WITH ACTIVE PARTICIPATION FROM ESG & COC RECIPIENTS & AGENCIES SERVING SURVIVORS, including Domestic Violence Intervention Services (DVIS), and The Spring Shelter - both State Coalition participants. Data Management and Monitoring: The ESG Recipient, CoC Lead, and HMIS System Admin collaborate with VSPs to manage comparable databases, ensuring collection of all required HMIS data elements and development of dashboards to monitor system performance and equitable outcomes for all clients. VSPs are integral members of Coordinated Entry System (CES) committees, contributing to policy setting and recent prioritization changes to better represent the needs of survivors in the criteria. Engagement in CoC Meetings: VSPs, including DVIS and Lindsey House, attend CoC meetings and participate in task groups, ensuring that survivor perspectives are woven into all service discussions.

2. THE COC ENSURES ALL ESG AND COC HOUSING AND SERVICE PROVIDERS THROUGHOUT TULSA COUNTY ARE TRAUMA-INFORMED AND ABLE TO MEET SURVIVORS NEEDS BY PROVIDING TRAINING AND POLICY IMPLEMENTATION. The CoC collaborates with VSPs and DV experts to provides annual training to all CoC- and ESG-funded programs. Training is focused on trauma-informed care strategies, victim-centered service delivery, and empowering survivors in their right to self-determination. CoC CES policies prioritize safety, requiring all access points to offer connections to specialized domestic violence resources and facilitate internal and external Emergency Transfers under VAWA housing protections. Regular Case Conferencing: VSPs coordinate with CES through regular case conferencing meetings to ensure that mainstream resources are accessible to survivors, fostering comprehensive support. The collaborative efforts between VSPs and the CoC create a robust framework for addressing the needs of survivors. Local VSP, DVIS has staff embedded within the street outreach program, facilitating direct support and resource connection for survivors Immediate Safety Needs Assessment: All shelters are mandated to conduct a safety needs assessment within one week of intake. This process allows for timely identification of health and safety needs/protocols for transferring households to more suitable emergency shelter options when necessary. VSPs and domestic violence experts regularly conduct CoC-wide training to enhance service delivery.

1C-5b.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:	
1.	safety planning protocols; and	
2.	confidentiality protocols.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. SAFETY PLANNING PROTOCOLS ARE INITIATED IMMEDIATELY IF CLIENT DISCLOSES THEY ARE FLEEING DV DURING CE ASSESSMENT ensuring safety is prioritized upon program entry. VSP staff meet confidentially with clients to assist them through safety plan during program intake in a private room. All CoC providers may refer individuals to VSPs at any point of program application or participation process. The CoC CE & VSP staff communicate safety planning protocols with all providers frequently during weekly case conference mtgs. The CoC provides training to front-line staff in safety protocols and emergency transfer plans.

Emergency Transfer Policies. Internal Transfers: Clients can be transferred within the program to ensure their safety; External Transfers: Clients have access to external transfers under VAWA housing protections, facilitating immediate relocation as necessary.

2. THE COC LEAD HMIS AND CE AGENCY COLLABORATES WITH VSPS TO IMPLEMENT A CONFIDENTIALITY PROTOCOLS FOR CLIENTS EXPERIENCING OR WITH A HISTORY OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND/OR HUMAN TRAFFICKING. Crisis Housing Specialists with the VSPs maintain a confidential By-Name-List and a de-identifiable version is shared with the CE Lead to facilitate referral matches and include individuals on the list for all CoC housing opportunities. When a match is made for a survivor or person fleeing DV, the process is forwarded through the VSP case management team. HMIS and CE staff incorporate a de-identified number representing each individual working with the VSP into the By-Name List for future housing opportunities.

This ensures that all clients receive appropriate consideration for housing while safeguarding their identities. By ensuring that safety is prioritized from the first interaction and maintaining strict confidentiality in coordinated entry processes, the CoC fosters an environment where survivors can seek help confidently and securely. This holistic approach not only enhances individual safety but also promotes effective access to housing opportunities and resources.

Confidentiality policies include the requirement for VSPs to screen in private areas, HMIS training for new users includes client confidentiality and HMIS users, e.g. case managers, sign off on confidentiality clauses within system access form and HMIS Governance SOPs mandate confidentiality requirements.

1C-5c.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes

6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
	Other? (limit 500 characters)		
7.			

 nbsp;

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	
--------	--	--

Describe in the field below:

1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The COC SERVICE STANDARDS CONTAIN THE EMERGENCY TRANSFER PLAN, WHICH IS POSTED ON THE COC WEBSITE, ensuring transparency and easy access to information about the process. **DISTINCT POLICIES FOR INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL TRANSFERS:** Internal Transfers- programs are required to take immediate steps to transfer participants requesting emergency transfers; External Transfers- participants have priority for housing assistance over all other applicants if they meet the eligibility criteria.

2. UPON INITIAL PROGRAM INTAKE CASE MANAGERS DISCUSS WITH CLIENTS THEIR RIGHTS TO AN EMERGENCY TRANSFER. The CoC provides notice of the emergency transfer plan at all points when it is required to provide the VAWA Notice of Occupancy Rights. CoC program staff assist individuals and families in submitting a formal request for an emergency transfer at any time during their occupancy.

3. CoC program staff are trained to respond to all transfer requests. CLIENTS NEED ONLY REQUEST THE TRANSFER TO THEIR CASE MANAGER, and then the CM is responsible for completing any needed documentation. Clients are asked to help in identifying a safe location and to sign off on the terms of the transfer documentation. Participants can pursue both internal and external transfers simultaneously if a safe unit is not immediately available.

4. COC PROGRAM STAFF RESPOND TO ALL TRANSFER REQUESTS MADE BY INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES. Programs must communicate with the CoC upon receiving a transfer request to ensure proper tracking and response. They provide information on the emergency transfer process, including the service standards, and assistance in determining eligibility for an emergency transfer. Urgent Response for Internal Transfers: For internal transfers, program staff prioritize identifying a safe unit as quickly as possible to ensure the participant's safety. External Transfer Process: For external transfers, staff complete a time-limited Release of Information (ROI) to communicate the request to Housing Solutions, the CES lead agency. This facilitates the referral process to the next available appropriate unit. By clearly outlining processes for both internal and external transfers, providing accessible information, and ensuring staff are trained to assist participants, the CoC prioritizes the safety and well-being of individuals and families in need. This proactive approach helps create a responsive system that effectively addresses the urgent housing needs of survivors.

1C-5e.	Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE CoC SERVICE STANDARDS OUTLINES THE PROCESS FOR ENSURING SURVIVORS HAVE SAFE ACCESS TO ALL SERVICES AVAILABLE WITHIN THE REGION. When a VSP refers a survivor to a program the referral is conducted outside of HMIS to safeguard client privacy and keep sensitive information confidential. The CoC Service Standards outline the referral process including a separate confidential By-Name List for individuals referred by VSPs that only includes those eligible for CE services. The list is kept confidential, with names & Personally Identifiable Information accessible only to VSP staff. The CES staff utilizes a point value system to assess vulnerability that adds points for DV-related vulnerability to ensure those with the highest needs are prioritized for housing assistance. Survivors of domestic violence and human trafficking receive priority for housing directly through the VSP, such as the Domestic Violence Intervention Services (DVIS) RRH program. People that have experienced domestic violence or human trafficking in the past are also prioritized on the general By-Name List for all CoC housing resources. The CoC CE assessment was created with input from VSPs, lived experience advisors and data to ensure it is client-driven, trauma-informed and culturally relevant and that survivors feel respected and understood during their interactions with service providers. This approach helps create a supportive environment that fosters trust and openness. Survivors who decline to complete the common assessment can still be included on the CE By-Name. This flexibility allows individuals to access support without being forced into a process they are not ready for. Cases for survivors who opt out of the common assessment are discussed within VSP case conferencing to help identify the necessary outreach, engagement strategies, and services required to build relationships and better understand individual needs. This structured yet flexible framework empowers survivors, recognizing their unique experiences and fostering pathways to stable housing. VSPs representatives from multiple providers participate in CE Task Group & collaborate with all mainstream organizations to lead training and coordination ensuring referrals appropriately address the participants physical, emotional, safety, privacy, and confidentiality needs.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | identifying barriers specific to survivors; and |
| 2. | working to remove those barriers. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC PROACTIVELY IDENTIFIES SURVIVOR'S BARRIERS TO SAFE HOUSING & SERVICES USING INFORMATION FROM BOTH CASE CONFERRING AND ASSESSMENT DATA. The CoC removed the use of qualitative feedback to focus on a more quantitative, data-driven approach. The CoC assesses disparate impacts quarterly and ensures that survivors, along with other high-needs populations, have equal access to all services.

Data from survivors is assessed to identify who is accessing services, is there equal access to services, and how are resources being allocated. The analysis compares participant assessments from both DV and non-DV providers and is compiled and analyzed by the CoC HMIS lead. This data-driven approach allows the CoC to identify potential causes of barriers and develop targeted strategies to address them. The CoC's proactive stance on identifying systemic barriers enhances its ability to create equitable outcomes for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, and human trafficking. This approach not only improves access to housing for survivors but also fosters a more inclusive and effective support network.

2. THE COC IS WORKING TO REMOVE SYSTEMIC BARRIERS IDENTIFIED BY SURVIVORS TO IMPROVE HOUSING PRIORITIZATION AND INCLUSIVE SYSTEM-WIDE SERVICE DELIVERY. Barriers addressed include increasing income, lack of safe and affordable housing and access to housing subsidies. VSPs and general service providers work to increase survivors' access to cash income and benefits and increase economic independence by facilitating access to job training, employment services, and benefits navigation to eliminate financial barriers that often disproportionately affect survivors. The CoC strategically focuses on addressing economic disparities and helping overcome the wage gap through advocacy at the local and state level. Advocacy efforts resulted in a preference for survivors when being placed on the Tulsa Housing Authority's waitlist decreasing financial barriers to stable housing. The CoC partners with Legal Aid to provide legal services to address systemic legal and criminal justice systems inequities that disproportionately impact DV survivors and women in particular.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE CoC REGULARLY COLLABORATED WITH LGBTQ+ AND OTHER ORGANIZATIONS to update CoC-wide discrimination policies. The CoC successfully recruited new members from LGTBQ+-led and Black-led organizations. The CoC collaborated with Prism Project (PP) that provided Tulsa-specific training and equitable data collection practices for gender and sexual minorities. PP participated in CoC-wide trainings and implementation of SERVICE STANDARDS to identify ways to MEET THE NEEDS OF LGBTQ+ INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES. The CoC provides TRAUMA-INFORMED CARE training annually. The CoC coordinates an annual review of the Point-in-Time Count data with break-out groups led by subject matter experts including discussion of educational concepts related to disparities and barriers for LGBTQI+ and how to reduce barriers and create more equitable outcomes through program and system changes.
2. The CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level antidiscrimination policies by creating a CoC policy that can be used as a program/agency policy template and offers technical assistance for implementation of the CoC-wide Service Standards anti-discrimination policies for both housing and services providers. The Service Standards also include a Grievance Procedure adopted by all CoC-member agencies. Based on concerns raised by people with lived experience, the CoC Grievance Policy was recently updated to allow persons experiencing homelessness and/or program participants who file a grievance obtain a follow up meeting with the CoC. A panel of PLE and Leadership Council Chairs will investigate and track trends-including compliance with anti-discrimination requirements.
3. Each agency must complete a Standards Assessment Tool as a part of CoC membership attesting that their practices are in compliance with standards which includes non-discrimination. Assessments & underlying policies & procedures are reviewed by the CoC at least annually and a third-party technical assistance provider to identify concerns and areas for further support.
4. If standards are not met (deficiencies may be identified from Service Standards Checklist or Grievance process), providers must provide an updated policy. In addition, the CoC embeds scoring criteria as a part of the NOFO in which agencies who are not performing up to standards and in compliance based on community or participant feedback may result in reduced funding, reallocation, and/or termination of AWH4T membership.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC’s geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa	35%	Yes-HCV	No
Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency	34%	Yes-HCV	No

1C-7a. Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

1. steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC’s geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2. state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Through coordination with the CoC lead agency in 2023, the Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa (THA) approved revised policies to address eligibility requirements related to criminal history. The adjusted lookback period was reduced from five years to two years and they removed 34 of the previous 47 charges that make a person ineligible for housing assistance. With criminal justice involvement being a major barrier to housing for persons experiencing homelessness in Tulsa, this policy change has expanded housing opportunities for people who need it most. In addition, in July of 2024, THA agreed to a set-aside of 50 vouchers for a new, locally funded PSH program that will assist individuals with severe physical and behavioral health barriers and who have denied services in the past. This partnership will also coordinate with local Certified Community Behavioral Health Centers to reduce barriers to housing and increase housing sustainability. Additionally, THA has committed an additional 75 tenant based vouchers for PSH as part of the CoC’s bonus application. The CoC continues to advocate for a homeless preference and those conversations are ongoing, there are, however, preferences in place for persons fleeing domestic violence and persons with disabilities which has allowed the CoC to increase the number of persons experiencing homelessness to access PHA assistance. Additionally, the CoC has received a commitment for at least 20 units of PSH in an upcoming THA development. This in addition to increased coordination of the THA assistance for move-on shows the progress of the relationship and coordination between the CoC and the Tulsa Housing Authority.
2. NA

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.	Landlord Tenant Resource Center has cultivated a list of landlords to connect to individuals experiencing housing instability or homelessness	Yes

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	Family Unification Program

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes
--	--	-----

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
-------	---	--

NOFO Section V.B.1.h.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.
--

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First—Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
-------	---	--

NOFO Section V.B.1.i.

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	19
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	19
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
--------	--	--

NOFO Section V.B.1.i.

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE CoC EVALUATES COC PROJECTS DURING THE COC ANNUAL COMPETITION. HOUSING FIRST (HF) ITEMS IN E-SNAPS ARE A THRESHOLD CRITERIA FOR ALL PROJECTS & LOCAL CRITERIA USED FOR SCORING. All projects are required to affirm their project’s adherence to HF standards and principles in the local competition application. All projects must follow housing first criteria to meet threshold standards and/or receive points (10-12%). COC also reviews relevant performance data, referral data, participant feedback, & requires projects to complete a HF Matrix.

2. THE CoC EVALUATES HOUSING FIRST FACTORS & ALIGNMENT W/ DOCUMENTED SERVICE STANDARDS. CoC-funded organization’s projects are evaluated based on performance measures linked to successful HF implementation (returns to homelessness, length of stay), regular collaboration with the Lead Agency in evaluating program referrals and outcomes, and iterative processes for reviewing HF fidelity based on participant feedback. All projects must maintain a low-barrier structure, as evidenced in the HF Matrix (projects respond if it would always, might, or never disqualify based on criteria: substance use, mental health, justice involvement, lack of income, service participation, & more.

3. COC USES HF METRICS AS PART OF THE SERVICE STANDARDS COMPLIANCE AND ENSURES USE OF THE HF APPROACH VIA ANNUAL SELF-ASSESSMENTS AND ON-GOING TA WITH COC FUNDED PROJECTS. The CoC’s monitoring includes an evaluation of fidelity to HF & each agency’s policies and procedures. CoC case conferencing meetings (by-population case conf and the escalated Bi-Weekly case conf) are used to staff cases and projects are provided with guidance on how to ensure adherence to HF standards. Program rejections, terminations and exits are reviewed in detail by the Lead Agency (monthly), CES Task Group (quarterly), and the Leadership Council (at least annually). CE data, disaggregated by race, age, and gender, is used to determine if projects are using a HF approach and potential gaps in equitable implementation.

4. The CoC collects self-evaluation tool of CoC Projects using HUD’s HF assessment tool and hosts HF trainings and TA annually for agencies to identify areas of improvement to meet HF fidelity. The CoC created a PSH cohort where all PSH providers received individual Technical Asst, policy & procedure review & change recommendations to improve HF fidelity. The cohort engaged in peer leaning & support around how to serve & retain higher need clients.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Data—Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE COC TAILORED STREET OUTREACH TO PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS WHO ARE LEAST LIKELY TO REQUEST ASSISTANCE THROUGH COORDINATED OUTREACH TASK GROUP (COTG) LED BY THE COC LEAD AGENCY. COTG IS A COLLABORATION OF MULTI-DISCIPLINARY PARTNERS, STREET OUTREACH PROGRAMS AND PEOPLE WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE. It operates under the oversight of the CoC Outreach Lead following guidelines outlined in CoC Service Standards for community-wide street outreach efforts. COTG targets historically underserved populations and hard-to-reach encampments, including in rural areas of the CoC geographic region. To lower barriers, COTG utilizes accessible communication methods for individuals with unique needs, such as disabilities or limited English proficiency. COTG uses multilingual staff, translation svcs by phone or online real-time translation apps to provide rapid translation services . A request form enables first responders, healthcare workers, tribal partners, and other community members to request services on behalf of individuals experiencing homelessness. COTG finds unreached people by ongoing canvassing the CoC-area or by collecting form data to initiate contact, assess needs, and aid ASAP. Individuals can also access services across OK by contacting 2-1-1OK by phone/text. Coordinated Outreach covers 100% of Tulsa County, ensuring that outreach efforts reach all corners of the CoC area. Teams of 2+ staff members are assigned to each sector within Tulsa County. Outreach is scheduled during a variety of time windows to accommodate individuals who may not be available during traditional hours, enhancing access to resources. The CoC actively recruits staff from underserved populations to enhance cultural responsiveness allowing for effective engagement. Collaboration with people with lived experience enhances the effectiveness of outreach efforts by providing unique insights into the barriers faced by those experiencing homelessness and facilitate smooth transitions into housing. COTG connects individuals and families to shelter, housing, document collection, transportation, and services ensuring that the approach is culturally competent and trauma informed. COTG meets bi-weekly to discuss trends, emerging encampments, identify new areas where people may not have connected to services, and coordinate resources. COTG increased successful placements from 3% to 22.8% in HUD FY23.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC's strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes
2.	Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	No

3.	Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?	Yes	No
4.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
	Street-level law enforcement engagement & County Alternative Court	Yes	No

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

	HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	638	567

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
- promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE (CoC) strategically collaborates with healthcare organizations, including those specializing in substance use disorder treatment and mental health services, to facilitate comprehensive healthcare access for program participants. This collaboration is managed through task groups, case conferencing and coordinated outreach meetings, which serve as critical platforms for disseminating information and enhancing partnerships. Organizations such as Family & Children’s Services, GRAND Mental Health, and the Mental Health Association’s Mobile Medical Intervention Team are integral, accepting real-time referrals to their mental, physical, and behavioral health resources through these meetings and when connected by the CES Coordinator. Additionally, the local FQHC operates a free daily transportation route to all participants to low/no cost clinics, one of which is operated by a VSP, exemplifying our efforts to lower healthcare access barriers, offering essential wraparound services. Assistance in accessing Medicaid coverage is included in the CoC’s case management expectations of all programs and both live and recorded training from the OK Healthcare Authority on enrollment and coverage management is available on-demand on OHA website. The CoC and its partner’s training courses are regularly disseminated to all projects through the AWH4T Training Calendar.

2. In promoting SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification among program staff, our CoC maintains a robust training and support framework. We have appointed a SOAR Local Lead responsible for coordinating educational initiatives and liaising with SOAR staff across the CoC. This role ensures that all relevant staff and program management are well-versed in the SOAR model, supporting adoption of the model and consistent implementation across agencies. Our CoC also hosts annual training sessions to further these goals, supported by a dedicated SOAR position within our Lead Agency Street Outreach team, which facilitates CoC-wide referrals through the Coordinated Entry system. This structured approach ensures that our staff are equipped to assist participants effectively in navigating SSI/SSDI benefits, enhancing their overall stability and access to needed services.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies—Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:

1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE CoC works closely with public health agencies to guide the local coordinated RESPONSE TO INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAKS AND TO DEVELOP COC-WIDE POLICIES & PROCEDURES. The CoC coordinates policy with the Tulsa Health Dept, OK State Dept of Health (OKSDH) and OK Department of Commerce (OKDC) to create and refine CoC-wide Written Standards which require providers adhere to outbreak response guidelines set forth by national, state and local public health agencies. plan, train and educate local providers to facilitate a joint statewide response to potential outbreaks affecting persons experiencing homelessness. The OKDC serves at the State jurisdiction's point-of-contact for coordination of ESG standards including the plan for how all CoC and ESG-funded programs respond to infectious disease outbreaks. OKDC holds meetings quarterly with all seven CoCs to collaborate and share resources, including presentations on outbreak response by the OKSDH. OKSDH provides Mobile clinics funded by public health agencies to administer vaccines to the unsheltered population directly in encampments and places not meant for human habitation, and health care providers offer no-cost vaccines and treatment at the emergency shelters. Emergency shelters track infectious disease data in HMIS and are able to collaborate with the Tulsa Health Department.

2. THE CoC HAS PARTNERED WITH STATE AND LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCIES TO DEVELOP COC-WIDE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AND BUILD STRATEGIES TO PREVENT INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAKS AMONG PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS. The Tulsa Health Department's Epidemiology division is focused on education to help prevent the spread of disease, especially among individuals and families experiencing homelessness. Response to the COVID-19 pandemic created permanent infrastructure changes across public health agencies and Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC) have pivoted to making homelessness a top priority. Strategies like implementing non-congregate shelters and providing mobile healthcare services are now readily available interventions in the event of an infectious disease outbreak in order to prevent further spread. Tulsa Health Department created a policy exception around vaccine administration that added a priority for staff working directly with individuals and families experiencing homelessness the ability to access health supplies like tests and vaccines before the general population.

ID-7a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and	
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE CoC COLLABORATES WITH THE TULSA HEALTH DEPT (THD) AND OTHER HEALTHCARE AGENCIES TO PROVIDE REGULAR UPDATES TO THE CoC ON PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS AND RECOMMENDED ACTIONS AND MEASURES. Tulsa Public Health and other healthcare agencies attend Task Group meetings & Coordinated Outreach meetings to increase communication between agencies working at the intersection of homelessness and public health. Public Health agencies share information on current measures, as well as receive feedback from homeless program staff on barriers they face related to the health and safety of individuals and families experiencing homelessness. The CoC recently entered into a data sharing agreement with a Federally Qualified Health Center to assess the of public health and homelessness. The Coordinated Outreach Task Group consisting of 9 different outreach providers facilitates the collection and distribution of protective supplies from THD for persons living in unsheltered locations (ex. cleaning wipes, bug spray to prevent West Nile infections, and information about free vaccine events).

2. THE COC FACILITATES COMMUNICATION BTWN PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCIES AND SERVICE PROVIDERS TO ENSURE THAT PROVIDERS ARE EQUIPPED TO PREVENT OR LIMIT OUTBREAKS AS FOLLOWS: HOUSING SOLUTIONS AS THE COC LEAD AGENCY, WITH LOCAL SHELTER, OUTREACH, AND HOUSING PROVIDERS, PARTICIPATE IN THE PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 2023-2028 TULSA COUNTY COMMUNITY HEALTH IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CHIP) ADOPTED BY THE TULSA HEALTH DEPARTMENT. The CHIP workgroup meets quarterly to discuss public health issues as they relate to housing and homelessness. These meetings facilitate the sharing of infection disease prevention and response information and resources between the public health department, housing, shelters, and street outreach. These meetings also involve coordinating the delivery of supplies and services to prevent the spread of infectious disease among people experiencing homelessness and persons in housing programs. Resources include community popup clinics, the coordination of street medicine services, the sharing hygiene and harm reduction supplies, and vaccines drives. The CoC works with local emergency management authorities and the Tulsa Health Department to ensure providers are equipped, e.g. access to PPE, to address/prevent outbreaks.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;	
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and	
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC IS ABLE TO SERVE EVERY PART OF THE COC AREA THROUGH A ROBUST COORDINATED OUTREACH STRATEGY. Everybody can access CES through multiple entry points, a regional 211OK helpline, shelters, drop-in centers, service providers & street outreach. These channels are widely advertised through United Way's and CoC's social media & websites, referral systems (Unite Us & Neighborhood Resources), and during CE-101 training. The CoC accepts referrals through a web form from citizens, businesses & government officials. Street outreach teams enable unsheltered individuals to be assessed in the field using tablets to complete an assessment.

2. THE COC EMPLOYS A STANDARDIZED ASSESSMENT TOOL for all subpopulations with different vulnerability measures specific to circumstances, e.g., Survivors of DV, and includes local prioritization based on length of time homeless. The tool is designed to accurately & equitably match people to appropriate services while prioritizing those with the highest levels of need with questions specific to a person's unique circumstances collected at intake. The information collected is used during weekly case conferencing meetings. There are separate meetings for specific subpopulations, families, youth, veterans, etc.

3. Staff administering the screening tool are trained in trauma-informed approaches, like private interviews and informing people of their right not to respond. The CoC utilizes data from multiple sources to limit the amount of personal information an individual must share. Data is used from the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), emergency service provider system & the Oklahoma State Court Network for evictions & legal issues.

4. THE COC UPDATES THE CES PROCESS ANNUALLY USING INPUT FROM PARTICIPATING PROJECTS AND INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE ACCESSED THE SYSTEM, ENSURING THAT THE PROCESS REMAINS RELEVANT & EFFECTIVE. The CE Task Force conducts evaluations every 6 months, consulting with providers & project participants. Feedback is collected from HMIS data analysis, surveys, focus groups, and individual interviews. CE process changes are made in collaboration with stakeholders, CoC Board, lived experience groups, HUD Technical Assistance, and the CE Task Force. The CoC's Coordinated Entry System exemplifies a commitment to comprehensive, inclusive, and responsive service delivery for individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and	
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC EMPLOYS A MULTI-FACETED APPROACH TO ENSURE THAT THE CES REACHES PEOPLE LEAST LIKELY TO APPLY FOR HOMELESS ASSISTANCE. CES can be accessed by any day or night shelter, through VSPs, street outreach teams and referrals by partners. CES information is available on the CoC website & all homeless service providers, community food providers, and diversion providers. The multidisciplinary outreach team reach unsheltered individuals through specialized methods for serving people with mental health disorders, fleeing DV/human trafficking, or ESL. ESL individuals are identified through street outreach, day and night shelters, as well as by CM at any of these projects. This is documented through HMIS.
2. THE CES PRIORITIZES HOUSEHOLDS IN NEED THROUGH A STRUCTURED PROCESS. Once assessed, prioritization is based on three tiers: a standardized assessment tool used to gauge vulnerability, the duration of homelessness experienced by the household, factors such as history of victimization, veteran status, or being unsheltered. Length of homelessness is the tie breaker if two receive the same score.
3. THE COC REGULARLY EVALUATES THE CES TO ASSESS TIMELINESS OF PERMANENT HOUSING PLACEMENTS CONSISTENT WITH THEIR NEEDS. To expedite housing placements, the outreach team locates and engages households referred to permanent housing programs to complete documentation & locate housing. Participants are informed programs and can choose which programs they wish to participate in. This includes details about eligibility, admissions, sanctions, dismissals, and grievances.
4. THE CES IS DESIGNED TO STREAMLINE ACCESS, ALLOWING HOUSEHOLDS TO SEEK ASSISTANCE THROUGH A SINGLE POINT. Assessments focus solely on necessary questions to determine eligibility, ensuring that the process is respectful and minimizes intrusion. Additional support services, such as housing search assistance and help with documentation, aid households in overcoming barriers to accessing resources. The CoC solicits feedback during the evaluation process to understand the experience of households navigating the CES and makes necessary updates to reduce burdens based on this feedback. The CoC further reduces burdens on households by prioritizing outreach to underserved populations, employing a structured assessment & prioritization process, and continuously refining services based on feedback.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry–Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies–Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	
	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:	
	1. affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC’s geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;	
	2. informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and	
	3. reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CES MARKETS HOUSING & SERVICES by ensuring providers implement standards & persons are aware of how to access resources/rights. CES Partner Provider Agreement & subsequent training requires providers to use CE in a consistent manner (cultural humility, Fair Housing & Equal Access). CES screens projects on eligibility criteria using info to advertise programs & services directly. Marketing strategies: info posted on provider website, resource links on CoC website; social media accessible based on culture and language. CoC Lead meets with 211OK to assess barriers to referrals & access across systems. Provider agencies trained (Equal Access, Fair Housing, Housing First) on how to affirmatively offer services at physical spaces (signs), during contacts trainings and using CoC Service Standards. At initial contact, a CE tool collects data on potential barriers to housing & analyzed to ensure all options offered. Rights are outlined in consents & grievance policy. Denied referral cases are reviewed by CoC to match participant w/system intervention.

2. Programs must document consent of housing & service rights (VAWA, Equal Access) and affirmatively. Landlord Tenant Resource Center (LTRC) operated by the CoC Lead, integrated w/ CES & provides access to rights and remedies in-person co-located w/Legal Aid & by phone. Staff attend CoC-wide case conferencing meetings, accept referrals & help file grievances. LTRC's staff provide education to property managers to support compliance w/ fair housing.

3. As per CoC Service Standard-grievances can be filed with agencies, the CoC lead, the City, PHAs. or online w/ HUD. Info is provided on filing w/ the HUD Field Office or reporting housing discrimination w/ FHEO online, email, or via phone. The City added online, multilingual FH reporting method. The LTRC staff, integrated onsite w/ Legal Aid, support in person and over the phone persons facing violations, evictions, or displacement – at no cost. The CoC has a strong partnership w/ the City and County and CoC Lead provides direct reports of violations when needed and encourages individuals to directly report violations. Participant Advisory Group updated & CoC board approved a community-wide grievance process to report housing violations and ensure persons are provided info regarding their rights and how to access via a formal body advocating on their behalf. De-identified data collected will be reported to CoC and responsible entities.

1D-9.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness—Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	09/10/2024

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below:

1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC IMPLEMENTED A COMPREHENSIVE SYSTEMS AND OUTCOMES ANALYSES TO EVALUATE EQUITY IN HOUSING AND SERVICES. The CoC quarterly reviews the racial breakdown of households experiencing homelessness compared to the general population to identify disparities. THIS ANALYSIS USED THE PIT, HIC, LSA, SPM, AND U.S. CENSUS DATA. Qualitative data was collected through PAG/YAB discussion and completion of a survey to analyze weighted prioritization resulting in an update to the local prioritization process.

2. THE COC EVALUATES QUARTERLY RACIAL DISPARITIES IN HOMELESSNESS AND SERVICE ACCESS, FOCUSING ON PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COMPARING PROGRAM-LEVEL HMIS DATA TO BROADER SYSTEM DATA, EVALUATING HOW DIFFERENT RACIAL GROUPS ARE BEING SERVED. This assessed whether there is equity in system placements by race, looking at exits to permanent housing, returns to homelessness, and length of time homeless. Program-level data helped pinpoint areas where racial disparities may exist in terms of housing outcomes and service delivery. The Equity Dashboard is updated monthly and provides transparent, public access to CoC data. The CoC participated in HUD's Equity Initiative, which led to ongoing equity analyses through HDX Stella P, done quarterly by the HMIS Lead. The latest reprioritization followed a survey completed by members of the Participant Advisory Group, Youth Action Board, and CES Task Group, incorporating their feedback into systemic changes to improve access and outcomes for marginalized populations. The equity data analysis conducted reveals significant racial disparities in homelessness, highlighting the overrepresentation of Black/African American/African, and American Indian/Alaska Native/Indigenous populations experiencing homelessness. These disparities were identified through monthly analyses of HMIS data and annual reviews of SPM and PIT Count data.

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.
--

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes

6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	The CoC has increased number of required voting seats on the governance board and Task Groups responsible for funding priorities and decisions to include people of different races and ethnicities and lived experiences.	Yes

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity. NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
--------	---	--

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE COC IS DEDICATED TO ELIMINATING RACIAL DISPARITIES IN THE PROVISION AND OUTCOMES OF COC PROGRAM-FUNDED HOMELESS ASSISTANCE through a structured evaluation of SYSTEM-LEVEL PROCESSES, POLICIES, AND PROCEDURES. We continuously address disparities by quarterly monitor s data and implementing targeted efforts to lower barriers to service access. In collaboration with HUD's Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs Coordinated Entry Equity Initiative, we've refined assessment and prioritization processes and updated our Service Standards to ensure inclusivity and enhanced support for historically underserved BIPOC communities. Our annual CoC Monitoring evaluates quantitative data from the quarterly racial disparity analyses involve providing projects with disaggregated data for specific metrics, such as CES referral and enrollment data, to foster targeted improvements and accountability. This ONGOING EVALUATION AND POLICY ADAPTATION ensures the CoC actively works towards eradicating racial disparities within our system. The CoC collects data from various service providers, participants, and the coordinated entry system itself from both HMIS and during biweekly case conferencing. This data includes demographic information (age, disability status, race/ethnicity, and SOGI data) as well as program performance metrics (e.g., housing placement rates, service engagement, and outcomes). The CoC reviews how existing policies impact different groups based on demographic factors. For example, it is examined whether certain populations are disproportionately affected by housing policies or access to services, ensuring fairness and equity. The CoC evaluates the effectiveness of its procedures, such as referral processes, case management protocols, or prioritization rules within the coordinated entry system. Following meetings with the CES Task Force and the PAG, the CES Lead recommends updates or changes to policies and processes to address any inequities or inefficiencies. These changes are then implemented and re-evaluated in future sessions to assess their impact.

1D-9d.	Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The COC CONTINUOUSLY TRACKS PROGRESS TO ELIMINATING RACIAL DISPARITIES THROUGH ONGOING DATA MONITORING AND EVALUATION EFFORTS AT THE SYSTEM AND PROVIDER LEVELS. Annual evaluation tracks progress on system-wide outcomes, such as length of time homeless, returns to homelessness, and first-time homelessness, providing a comprehensive assessment of whether disparities are being addressed over time to identify inequities in housing placements, service access & outcomes, analyze trends, & assess effectiveness. The Equity Dashboard is updated monthly & serves as a real-time tool for tracking disparities in access to and outcomes of homelessness services. The measures reveal whether specific racial/ethnic groups are experiencing overrepresentation as compared to the general population & the calculation for the specific disparity. The Dashboard uses HMIS data to filter results by race, household type & program type. The CoC can quickly identify trends & adjust strategies as needed, instead of waiting for annual reviews. The CoC identifies gaps or disparities specific to providers and offers TA/trainings to ensure their services are culturally competent and accessible to all racial and ethnic groups. A 10-factor Equity Checklist is used to evaluate disparities at the provider level.

2. As part of the annual local evaluation process, the Tulsa CoC takes proactive measures to ensure progress toward resilience and equity in its homelessness response system. CoC-funded projects are required to submit the A Way Home for Tulsa (Tulsa CoC) Resilience and Equity Checklist and provide updates on specific factors designed to eliminate disparities. The checklist focuses on 10 key factors that contribute to reducing disparities. Training on equity and resilience: Helping project staff to better understand how to address the specific needs of populations disproportionately affected by homelessness. The Equity Dashboard is designed to monitor provider-level outcomes regularly. It enables the CoC to track how individual projects and providers are performing on key equity measures. The CoC uses the CoC Analysis Tool: Race and Ethnicity to conduct in-depth analyses of how different racial and ethnic groups are experiencing homelessness. The Stella P Race and Ethnicity Analysis Tool provides further insights into the unique circumstances impacting populations experiencing homelessness, with data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, and other factors.

1D-10.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking—CoC’s Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE COC USES NEW AND TRADITIONAL FORMS OF OUTREACH TO ENGAGE THOSE WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE IN LEADERSHIP ROLES AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES. Outreach, promotion and recruitment efforts continue to utilize traditional channels (social media, email, and outreach to partner agencies for potential participant recommendations). However, over the last year, in light of the growth of our lived experience work, we have also been able to engage in three new strategies to further broaden our reach. First, the CoC has focused on empowering current lived experience advocates (LEA's) to engage and recruit their peers—which has allowed us to step back from unilaterally selecting all participants, to creating a pipeline of people with lived experience of homelessness (PLEH's) that are referred to us by our existing advocates. Second, we have also started engaging CoC member agencies as co-conveners/facilitators, providing a pathway for people with lived experience of homelessness (PLEH) who might not normally be within the CoC committee work an opportunity to learn about and explore a role as a peer advocate and leader. Lastly, we have also engaged in internal partnerships within service-delivery divisions of our agency—creating a pathway for individuals currently receiving services related to homelessness or housing insecurity to engage in compensated systems-change work. This vector for recruitment has the added benefit of providing PLEH or individuals who have recently transitioned into housing, a supportive environment of peers with similar experiences that they can engage and build community with. All three of these new efforts to have allowed our agency and CoC to be far more targeted, efficient, and effective in its outreach and engagement efforts.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
 Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	12	0
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	12	0
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	2	0
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	15	0

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Professional development of people with lived experience (PLE's) within the CoC is highly valued, in large part because they are the next generation of agency leaders. The main avenues of engagement of PLE's in these opportunities come from the two lived-experience advisory bodies within our CoC—the Participant Advisory Group (PAG) and the Youth Action Board (YAB). Common to both entities is onboarding training designed to help participants effectively engage in core CoC activities—including program design, policy and service standards work, engaging elected officials, and funding opportunities. Additionally, members are afforded the opportunity to participate in, and lead, CoC task groups, which allow them to further gain specialized skills used by member agencies (technical writing, service delivery and standards, coordinated entry management and best practices). By participating in these activities, they also are given the opportunity to develop an extensive professional network with leadership from across our 56 member agencies. In the last year, 90% of our PAG members have attained and sustained employment within our agency or with a partner within our CoC.

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;
4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE CoC ROUTINELY GATHERS FEEDBACK FROM PEOPLE WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE OF HOMELESSNESS (PLE) & HOMELESS SERVICES PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS through a variety of venues such as focus groups, one-on-one interviews, community forums and surveys, as well as through direct participation in agency & CoC task groups.

2. FREQUENCY OF FEEDBACK FROM PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS is gathered on a variety of timelines. PLEH's participating in CoC task groups provide feedback monthly. Surveying of lived experience advocates is done annually. The CoC consults with project participants annually to evaluate their experience accessing services.

3. THE CoC ROUTINELY GATHERS FEEDBACK FROM PEOPLE WHO RECEIVED ASSISTANCE VIA COC OR ESG PROGRAMS through convenings of two PLE-led groups, the Participant Advisory Group (PAG) and Youth Action Board (YAB). Members of these two bodies hold seats on the CoC board and positions of leadership on CoC task groups to ensure consistent engagement and the development of a feedback loop. Grievance information is tracked by type to assist in identifying real-time opportunities for system improvements.

4. FREQUENCY OF FEEDBACK FROM PEOPLE WHO HAVE RECEIVED ASSISTANCE THROUGH THE COC OR ESG PROGRAMS occurs on varied timelines that are in alignment with the convening schedules associated with the bodies they are a part of. For all standing CoC committees and task groups, including the Leadership Council, this is a monthly opportunity to gather feedback. For the PAG and YAB, they are engaged no less than ten times annually, with an annual spring survey providing a minimum 11th opportunity to gather feedback.

5. STEPS TAKEN BY THE COC TO ADDRESS CHALLENGES where feedback poses a challenge to a program, policy, or other element within the CoC, the CoC works to create a resolution giving PLE agency in helping determine outcomes. This is generally done through the convening of working groups that involve PLE's and program leaders. Over the last year, PLE's have become more comfortable engaging program leaders in conversations around client access and rights, and while service providers are receptive in hearing, adopting, and implementing PLE's feedback. A recent example being the finalization and adoption of ten pages of newly-written service standards that completely overhauled our CoC's previous grievance procedures. PLE's helped lead the rewriting of these service standards to be more accessible, inclusive, and client centered.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	
	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:	
	1. reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and	
	2. reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Engagement re: zoning and land use policies: CoC leadership continues to serve on the Tulsa Mayor’s Task Force on Housing and Homelessness. As a result of guidance from CoC leadership and others on this task force, the Tulsa City Council has received a recommendation of zoning amendments that will decrease regulatory barriers to developing housing in greater density such as reducing parking requirements, allowing more housing types in office and commercial districts, reducing minimum lot requirements for ADU’s, and encouraging more hotel/office to residential conversions. These proposed changes will appear before the City Council for approval in October 2024.
2. Engagement re: reducing regulatory barriers to housing development: In April of 2024, the City of Tulsa and Partner Tulsa released the Tulsa Housing Strategy as a guide to how the City can meet the need outlined in the Tulsa Housing Study of 12,900 housing units in the next ten years. The Lead Agency served on the Advisory Group for the creation of the strategy in addition to CoC leadership and service providers. Some of the recommendations of the strategy have already been implemented or are in the process of being implemented including the adoption of pre-approved housing design plans to accelerate building department review, a review of Tulsa’s zoning code by AARP to identify barriers and opportunities, and the formation of a housing coalition to communicate about, advocate for, and support housing at the local and state levels. Additionally, the CoC organizes calls to actions of service providers and community members to speak in support of affordable and supportive housing developments at the Tulsa Board of Adjustment hearings.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC’s Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/26/2024
2.	Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC’s local competition.	08/26/2024

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC’s eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes
----	---	-----

1E-2a.	<p>Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.</p> <p>NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.</p>	
--------	--	--

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	14
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	<p>Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.</p> <p>NOFO Section V.B.2.d.</p>	
--------	---	--

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC USES DATA FROM HMIS/COMPARABLE DATABASES TO ANALYZE DATA REGARDING EACH PROJECT THAT HAS SUCCESSFULLY HOUSED PEOPLE IN PERMANENT HOUSING. This data is collected by the HMIS Lead Team & provided to the applicant organizations for their review. They have a 2 week window to review the data & make any necessary corrections. After this, the HMIS Lead Team completes the final data exports. The scoring tool calculates scores based on objective criteria. Renewal applicants are evaluated based on performance measures including housing stability, measured by rates of returns to homelessness, & successful exits to or retention of permanent housing.
2. The CoC uses HMIS data to assess both time from project entry to move-in & length of stay in housing projects, w/scoring criteria that differ based on project types & specific subpopulations, particularly for survivors. Scoring varies depending on the type of housing project. Special considerations for DV projects were made in recognition of the unique challenges faced by survivors of domestic violence & human trafficking.
3. The scoring tool integrates a section focused on assessing projects' ability to serve high-needs populations. Renewal projects may submit a narrative describing the unique challenges their programs face. Based on the narratives, scorers may adjust the evaluation & recommend specific training or capacity-building efforts for the projects. Points are granted for projects that help participants increase their cash income & connect participants to non-cash benefits(SNAP, Medicaid, etc.). Projects that demonstrate success in helping participants secure or increase income are granted up to 12 points. Scorers award additional points to projects that take specific steps to reduce barriers to housing placement & retention.
4. THE COC CONSIDERED SEVERE BARRIERS EXPERIENCED BY HIGHLY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS: (a) survivors of domestic violence-the CoC considered the increased risk of returns to homelessness and/or lack of income/benefits should they be forced to return to an abusive situation; (b) justice-involvement-the CoC considered the higher rates of rejection from rental housing that this population faces, as well as the debt often faced by those who have incurred fines/fees through the court/incarceration process; & (c) longest experiences of homelessness-the CoC considered the higher need for intensive supportive services to address the trauma incurred through that experience.

1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;	
	2. how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and	
	3. how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC OBTAINED INPUT & INCLUDED persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in decision making about RATING FACTORS as NOFO Task Force Members. The Task Force considered, revised, and approved the application, and defined the terms of the scoring rubric. The CoC prioritized diversity of experience, identity, and perspective in recruiting NOFO Task Group members. CoC focused on including people with lived experience of homelessness. This resulted in a NOFO Task Group membership which included Black and Indigenous individuals (populations that are overrepresented in our community experiencing homelessness) as well as people with lived expertise. .

2. The CoC considered diversity of lived experience & BIPOC representation in selecting members. The Standards of Operations outlines the slate requirements used to increase diverse representation. The Rank & Review Panel consisted of a slate w/67% BIPOC (Black and Native) who are overrepresented in the local homelessness population, and persons with lived experience of homelessness.

3. All projects were scored based on the degree to which they identified and helped to address barriers to participation experienced by persons of different races and ethnicities, including those over-represented in the local homelessness population. This included adherence to equity standards included in the scoring tool; satisfaction of equity factors represented at least 30% of the overall score. All projects were scored on inclusion of people with diverse identities/perspectives (that are overrepresented in the population experiencing homelessness) in board and managerial leadership and how/when the project will regularly evaluate program data disaggregated by race/ethnicity, in order to eliminate barriers to accessing and maintaining program assistance. Renewal projects were also scored on whether they have already addressed or have a substantive plan to address inequitable outcomes/trends. New projects were scored on and how the project will ensure equitable outcomes for diverse (BIPOC, 2SLGBTQ+, ELL, etc.) through program structure and policies, citing data regarding the needs of the population the project will serve. In determination of project ranking, the Rank & Review panel considered organizational dedication to equitable outcomes and the impact of ranking decisions on underserved and/or overrepresented populations.

1E-4.	Reallocation—Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	
	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC’s reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;	
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC’s local competition this year;	
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and	
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. CoC’s REALLOCATION PROCESS is outlined in the CoC NOFO Standards of Operations, which are posted on the public website. The CoC considers renewal projects that have previously been renewed under the CoC program as eligible for reallocation. The involuntary reallocation process calls for the Project Review Panel to consider the following: a) Unspent funds & the ability to cut grants w/o cutting service/housing levels; b) History of reallocation, c) Project’s performance, d) Project’s ability to meet financial management standards, e) Specific new PSH or RRH project(s) & specific renewal project(s) at risk of not being funded; f) Alternative funding sources available to support either new or renewal project(s) at risk of not being funded; g) Renewal HUD “covenant” concerns related to grant funds for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction; h) Impact on system performance; & i) Impact on the community in light of community needs. The process provides that high-scoring projects may be reallocated if these considerations warrant that decision. CoC will work w/low-scoring projects & projects that don’t clearly meet financial management standards, including establishing goals to bring the project up to standards. If the project continues to underperform & cannot meet goals, the project will be recommended for reallocation in the next HUD CoC NOFO process.

2. No

3. The CoC did not reallocate any low-performing or less-needed projects as none were identified during the reallocation process.

4. No projects voluntarily reallocated funds and the CoC did not involuntarily reallocate any project’s funding during its local competition due to all projects meeting performance thresholds and the process not identifying low performing projects.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No
--	--	----

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/09/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/09/2024
--	--	------------

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes
--	---	-----

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC’s website or partner’s website—which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	
--	--	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5c.

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC’s website or partner’s website.	
--	---	--

You must enter a date in question 1E-5d.

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	WellSky Community Services
--	--	----------------------------

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC’s HMIS coverage area.	Statewide
--	--	-----------

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	04/09/2024
--	---	------------

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers—CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database—compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. DV HOUSING AND SERVICE PROVIDERS USE A COMPARABLE DATABASE FOR COLLECTING DATA. EmpowerDB is designed specifically for the unique needs of survivors while also adhering to HUD's Universal Data Elements; the CoC is effectively meeting compliance standards. The collaboration between the HMIS Lead and VSP leadership, along with regular meetings to ensure HUD compliance and support data collection, is crucial for maintaining high data quality. The involvement of the VSP's Database Manager in HMIS meetings and training further strengthens their understanding of data standards. Additionally, the focus on monitoring system performance and striving for equitable outcomes demonstrates a commitment to addressing racial disparities within the homeless services system, including the system of services provided by VSPs. This not only ensures compliance but also enhances the effectiveness of services provided to survivors.

2. Yes; THE COC DV HOUSING AND SERVICE PROVIDERS USE A HUD-COMPLIANT COMPARABLE DATABASE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FY2024 HMIS DATA STANDARDS. By ensuring that the database is compliant, the CoC is effectively supporting the collection of accurate and meaningful data, not only meeting HUD requirements but also enhancing the ability to track outcomes and improve services for survivors.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate—Using HIC, HMIS Data—CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	658	110	771	85.30%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	6	0	6	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	191	100	202	94.50%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	567	233	567	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	574	0	582	98.60%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	186	0	189	98.40%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:

1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Bed coverage rate for each program type is 85% or higher.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes
---	-----

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/25/2024
--	---	------------

2B-2.	PIT Count Data—HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/08/2024
--	---	------------

2B-3.	PIT Count—Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC’s Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; |
| 2. | worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC’s most recent PIT count planning process; and |
| 3. | included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC’s most recent unsheltered PIT count. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE YOUTH ACTION BOARD (YAB) IS DEEPLY INTEGRATED INTO COC OPERATIONS AND WORKS CLOSELY WITH YOUTH SERVICE PROVIDERS TO ENGAGE UNACCOMPANIED YOUTH IN THE PIT COUNT. Input from unaccompanied youth was gathered in focus groups with 30 participants held at the youth drop-in center. They leverage these relationships to enhance the accuracy and inclusivity of counting youth during the PIT count. The YAB actively recruited youth service providers to participate in planning and implementation of the PIT Count, fostering more comprehensive data collection, especially for youth experiencing homelessness. The CoC facilitated increased participation through incentives recommended by youth, and updates to the PIT questionnaire to include more relevant language around 2SLGBTQIA+ and racial identities.
2. The PIT facilitation team works with the YAB and Coordinated Street Outreach to identify where youth experiencing homelessness are during both day and night hours. The CoC coordinated with the youth day shelters for the PIT count. Improvements from unaccompanied youth input included expansion of PIT count sites to include previously unknown encampments.
3. The CoC made efforts to notify youth actively engaged in programs about the PIT count and how they could ensure their inclusion in the count. Volunteer opportunities for the PIT count were open to all community members who registered and completed the necessary training, including recruiting YAB members and youth who work as peers, outreach staff, or drop-in center staff. The youth count was coordinated with the broader CoC PIT count to ensure consistency. YAB members participated in the count by collecting surveys.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;	
3.	describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and	
4.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or	
5.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC MAINTAINED THE SAME METHODOLOGY AS IN 2023, ensuring consistency in the approach. On-site volunteers and staff at emergency shelter (ES) locations conducted surveys, achieving a 100% census of individuals staying in shelters. Feedback from prior years was used to improve the process, including enhancing training materials to better prepare surveyors for interacting with shelter residents. The CoC conducted a count of individuals in the county jail, though this data wasn't included in the formal PIT report. This practice allowed the CoC to better understand the needs of people who are incarcerated but may experience homelessness, particularly those with short-term stays in institutional settings. Sheltered locations used a survey format integrated within the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) to track information efficiently.
2. For the unsheltered count, the CoC continued using an external format (survey on GPS enabled device) to track location coordinates, ensuring that the geographic data of where individuals were found was recorded for mapping and analysis. Outreach teams played a key role in support during the count, ensuring a coordinated effort across the full geographic region of the CoC's unsheltered populations. A pre-PIT survey was conducted to better map locations of unsheltered individuals, allowing for more effective planning and staffing at key areas.
3. There was a winter storm crisis in Tulsa, OK the weekend before the PIT Count. Due to this, many unsheltered locations were vacant & people experiencing homelessness in places not meant for habitation were displaced. However, street outreach and case management teams have also been making a conscious effort to encourage people experiencing unsheltered homelessness to come into the emergency shelters. Additionally, the CoC expanded collaboration by involving more agencies in the process. Trainings for the PIT count began in October, a month earlier than the previous year, providing more preparation time for volunteers and staff.
4. People in encampments were displaced, affecting ability to get an accurate count of unsheltered people. Some were able to be located in shelters but others were unable to be located at all. This caused an increase in the percentage of individuals in emergency shelter the night of the PIT count, despite having a well prepared survey team.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless—Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;	
2.	describe your CoC’s strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC saw a 1% decrease in the percentage of first-time homelessness between HUD fiscal years 2022 and 2023, indicating progress in preventing homelessness for vulnerable populations. According to the 2024 Point-in-Time (PIT) count, 47% of respondents identified a lack of affordable housing as their primary barrier to securing stable housing, 45% stated lack of income as a significant barrier, 21% cited mental health challenges as a contributing factor. INTAKE INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED AT THE LANDLORD TENANT RESOURCE CENTER GATHER IMPORTANT DATA ON HOUSING INSECURITY AND RISK FACTORS THAT MAY LEAD TO HOMELESSNESS. Risk factors included lack of affordable housing and income, and other risk factors identified were eviction, mental health, and domestic violence. This data is used to improve homeless prevention programs and refine outreach strategies for those at risk of experiencing homelessness for the first time. Data from Homeless Prevention projects is being collected to analyze the extent to which households are receiving assistance that prevents them from falling into homelessness.
2. The Tulsa Landlord Tenant Resource Center operates the Social Services Hub, a multi-partner collaboration eviction diversion program designed to prevent households from losing their homes. THE SOCIAL SERVICES HUB TARGETS INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES FACING HOUSING INSTABILITY OR EVICTION, PROVIDING RESOURCES AND LEGAL SUPPORT TO DIVERT EVICTIONS AND STABILIZE HOUSING. Recognizing that eviction is a key factor contributing to first-time homelessness, the CoC has implemented multiple eviction prevention strategies to assist households at risk of homelessness. Over 25 CoC agencies are involved in providing prevention services, with outreach teams mobilized to deliver on-the-ground assistance to households facing eviction. Services include financial assistance for tenant’s rent arrears, utilities, landlord mediation, etc.
3. The Center for Housing Solutions , Inc. (CoC Lead)

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC’s Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoC’s geographic area?	No

2C-2.	Reducing Length of Time Homeless—CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

- In the field below:
1. describe your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
 2. describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
 3. provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS IS A KEY PRIORITIZATION FACTOR FOR COORDINATED ENTRY (CE), INDIVIDUALS WITH THE LONGEST EPISODES OF HOMELESSNESS ARE PRIORITIZED FOR HOUSING. The CoC focuses on increasing the number of assessments completed by identifying new access points & all referrals go through the CE system. To reduce the time it takes to identify available housing enrollment, the CoC created a shared unit inventory including collaboration through centralized housing recruitment, connecting multiple agencies to maximize available housing, & CoC-wide outreach & engagement training to support recruitment of housing providers. The CoC recruits & employs individuals with lived experience resulting in higher engagement efficacy; expanded the use of Housing Navigators & Stabilization Case Managers to quickly facilitate permanent housing placement; emphasizes a Housing First approach; & regularly review housing placements for potential systemic inequities through housing retention rates, rates of return to homelessness, & client and housing provider rejection rates by demographics to identify & address any housing placement inequities .

2. Outreach teams focus on persons who are most vulnerable, including those w/the longest history of homelessness. THE COORDINATED ENTRY (CE) PROCESS USES A VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT TOOL COMBINED WITH LENGTH OF TIME (LoT) HOMELESS TO PRIORITIZE HOUSING REFERRALS FOR THOSE IN GREATEST NEED. LoT is based on client’s self-reported date homelessness began, number of times homeless w/in 3 yrs & total mths in last 3 yrs. The CoC offers various training to staff, including motivational interviewing to help clients set/achieve housing goals & trauma-informed care to ensure staff provide person-centered services. Assertive outreach techniques are used to engage individuals with long histories of homelessness for housing navigation services. Outreach staff utilize flexible funding to address immediate barriers to housing (e.g., transportation, identification documents, deposits). This flexibility helps to create pathways to housing by addressing practical obstacles that might prevent someone from securing or maintaining permanent housing.

3. Center for Housing Solutions, Inc. (CoC Lead)

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC’s Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

In the field below:

1.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC’s strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC partners w/City of Tulsa's Affordable Housing Program to increase housing units. The CoC is working to strengthen the coordinated response by broadening the cross-sector collaboration with employment, healthcare & education sectors. Enhanced case conferencing practices allow a more responsive approach to prioritizing housing referrals based on client needs & available units where a lead case manager is assigned to a client to ensure their choices & preferences are respected in the housing process. Case managers regularly report on the housing status until the participant's is housed. The CoC conducts extensive pre-move-in case conference meetings to emphasize housing stabilization. The Coordinated Entry System uses a Vulnerability Assessment Tool combined with Length of Time (LoT) Homeless to prioritize housing for those in greatest need. LoT is based on client's self-reported date homelessness began, number of times homeless w/in 3 yrs & total mths in last 3 yrs.

2. The CoC is committed to increasing services that help households in permanent housing projects maintain their housing. This includes the provision of Housing Stabilization svcs at multiple agencies offering resources such as move-in kits & furnishings to ease the transition into housing & follow-up services to ensure clients are supported long-term in maintaining stable housing. The CoC Service Standards mandate that all staff be trained in evidence-based Housing First practices. The CoC has developed a Mobile Medical Intervention Team that provides both primary care and behavioral healthcare services to households in permanent housing. This service aims to prevent housing instability caused by medical issues or behavioral health challenges by offering in-home care, thereby reducing the risk of losing housing due to health crises. The CoC has expanded its network to include more healthcare and substance use treatment providers such as GRAND Mental Health, Action Steps & Morton Comprehensive Health. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) programs utilize mainstream resources to help households that no longer require intensive support to move on to less supportive housing. Bi-weekly housing case conferences are held to discuss possible terminations or households at risk of returning to homelessness. The CoC PSH cohort received technical assistance to facilitate placements and retention of permanent housing placements.

3. Center for Housing Solutions, Inc. (CoC Lead)

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;	
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and	
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC uses the HUD HDX stella performance module, where THE COC HMIS LEAD INPUTS BOTH LOCAL AND OFFICIAL DATA TO TRACK TRENDS IN RETURN TO HOMELESSNESS RATES WITHIN THE COMMUNITY. ADVANCED REPORTING TOOLS IN HMIS HELP IDENTIFY SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES who have returned to homelessness, along with the organizations they've previously worked with. The CoC is also exploring the use of technology and qualitative data to better identify individuals and families who are at greater risk of returning to homelessness after being housed. This forward-looking approach aims to enhance prevention efforts by proactively addressing risks before they lead to housing instability. Individuals are also identified informally during case conference meetings or through other means such as local legal service orgs.

2. THE COC'S COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM (CES), HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (HMIS), AND OUTREACH TEAM LEADS WORK TOGETHER DURING CASE CONFERENCE MEETINGS TO DISCUSS EACH CLIENT'S UNIQUE SITUATION. This results in a formal and documented Stabilization Case Coordination plan, which includes input from all applicable parties and orgs, ensuring that the best possible support is in place for the client to maintain permanent housing. The stabilization process includes extensive pre- & post-move-in meetings with the client and relevant service providers. The data shows that the highest rate of returns to homelessness occurs within the first six months of being housed. This highlights a need for more intense housing stabilization services immediately after clients move in, which includes ongoing case management, frequent check-ins, & assistance with managing challenges like financial instability, mental health, and maintaining landlord relationships. The CoC HMIS Lead Agency recently launched a dashboard that provides an overview of return rates for households, making it easier for service providers to monitor trends and outcomes. The Chief Data & Policy Officer and the Data Analyst from the lead HMIS agency play a key role in analyzing the return to homelessness report. This analysis is shared & discussed with Tulsa CoC agency providers, including an overview breakdown of each provider's organization, data on specific projects or sub-providers they manage, & individual client information for targeted discussion & intervention.

3. Center for Housing Solutions, Inc. (CoC Lead)

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;	
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and	
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. TO INCREASE PARTICIPANT ACCESS TO EMPLOYMENT CASH RESOURCES, THE COC IS GROWING RELATIONSHIPS W/ CAREER CENTERS & ORGANIZATIONS COMMITTED TO HIRING INDIVIDUALS W/ HOUSING INSTABILITY, WHETHER THEY ARE CURRENTLY OR FORMERLY HOMELESS. THE FOCUS IS ON PROVIDING ACCESS TO PERMANENT EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES, rather than offering stipends for temporary or specific engagement efforts. The aim is to promote long-term stability through sustainable employment. The CoC collaborates w/ educational partners to create skill-building opportunities that pave the way for meaningful, long-term employment. These opportunities help individuals gain the skills needed to secure jobs that lead to self-sufficiency. Tulsa Reentry One Stop is represented within the CoC & plays a critical role in connecting individuals who are discharged from prison w/ employment opportunities to support their successful reintegration into the community. Youth Services of Tulsa (YST), a CoC-funded agency, offers specific employment opportunities for youth. One notable program is T-Town Tacos, which provides youth w/ job opportunities & experience, along with other employment programs aimed at helping young people gain the skills and confidence they need to enter the workforce. The CoC actively recruits program participants into the CoC's internal workforce starting with professional development through Lived Experience Advisory groups.

2. THE COC WORKS WITH VARIOUS MAINSTREAM EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES TO OFFER EMPLOYMENT SUPPORT TAILORED TO BOTH IMMEDIATE NEEDS (SHORT-TERM GOALS) AND CAREER ASPIRATIONS (LONG-TERM GOALS). One key program is A Better Way (ABW), which provides weekly support to eligible households, including resume coaching, application support, interview preparation, goal setting, focusing on both short-term needs and long-term career objectives. The CoC collaborates with several agencies to deliver comprehensive employment services, including Goodwill's Employment Center, The Bridges Foundation, Center for Employment Opportunities, Crossroads Clubhouse, Tulsa Community WorkAdvance, VA's Homeless Veterans Reintegration Program (HVRP). 4% of adult stayers increased their employment income, a notable improvement from the 2% increase seen in the previous year. 3.5% of adult leavers increased their employment income, compared to just 1% the year before, showing positive progress in income growth among both groups.

3. Center for Housing Solutions, Inc. (CoC Lead)

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	
	In the field below:	
	1. describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and	
	2. provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC SUPPORTS ACCESS TO NON-EMPLOYMENT INCOME VIA PARTNERSHIPS & PROVIDING REGULAR TRAINING ON ELIGIBILITY FOR PROGRAMS. The CoC provides regular training on the eligibility criteria for various non-employment income programs, including SNAP (Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) TANF (Temporary Assistance for Needy Families), Social Security Disability (SSI and SSDI), Social Security Administration (SSA) Retirement benefits. Clients with disabilities are added to the SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) waitlist, ensuring that they are assessed for all non-employment cash benefits available through the Social Security Administration. The CoC partners with OK DSH Live, providing proxy/case manager access to the online portal. This enables case managers to register clients for multiple benefits within one application, including SoonerCare insurance (Oklahoma's Medicaid program). Through proxy access, case managers can track the status and progress of applications and make updates during renewal periods to ensure continued support for clients. The CoC delivers support through various services, including Street Outreach, LTRC drop-in center, Emergency Shelters, Case management services within the homelessness response system. 36% of adult stayers were able to increase their non-employment cash income compared to 30% in the previous year, reflecting an improvement in access to and success with these programs.

2. Center for Housing Solutions, Inc. (CoC Lead)

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes
--	--	-----

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
TDC New PSH	PH-PSH	13	Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? TDC New PSH

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): USKWE7EGNQG1

3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 13

5. Select the type of leverage: Both

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No
--	----

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:

1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No
--	--	----

3C-2.	Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:

1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?		Yes
--	--	--	-----

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	8,540
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	136
3.	Unmet Need:	8,404

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	
	Describe in the field below:	
	1. how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
	2. the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
	3. if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The number of survivors in need of housing and/or services is calculated by multiplying the 2022 domestic violence rate in Tulsa County (12.6 per 1000) by the U.S. Census population estimate for Tulsa County, while the "CoC currently serving" was determined by adding DVIS' total households from all RRH projects within the EmpowerDB comparable database program.

2. The domestic violence rate in Tulsa County and the population estimate were sourced from the Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation and the U.S. Census, respectively. DVIS provided information on total households served with data tracked by comparable database EmpowerDB.

3. The main obstacle in meeting survivors' needs is the disparity between high demand and limited resources. Historically, Tulsa County has had the highest domestic violence rate in the state, yet there is a shortage of designated shelter and housing for this particularly vulnerable population . A lack of affordable housing units also hinders survivors' transition into housing, even when housing subsidies are available. Many of those fleeing domestic violence in Tulsa County are single women with children. Safety and the need for stability, including keeping children within a consistent schooling environment further limits the inventory available. For example, a single mother of 2 will struggle to find safe housing in their original school district because it will be more difficult to keep that location unknown to her perpetrator . Many survivors also face an economic barrier because of lack of income, lack of credit, etc. and other adverse factors like having eviction records due to noise violations, frequent police calls, etc.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	
	Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects—only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.	

Applicant Name
Domestic Violence...
The Spring

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	Domestic Violence Intervention Services, Inc.
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	82%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	82%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1. The rate of housing placement is calculated by determining the percentage of clients who exited the program to a permanent housing destination. This method provides a clear measure of successful housing placements based on exit data.
2. YES, the rates do account for exits to safe housing destinations.
3. The rate of housing retention is calculated by determining the percentage of clients who successfully maintained their housing situation after exiting the program. This calculation focuses on the immediate outcomes of clients exiting to permanent housing, rather than their long-term retention status.
4. Outcomes are reported in an HMIS-comparable database, EmpowerDB, which was created specifically for the unique needs of victim service providers.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project applicant:

1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
----	---

2.	prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC’s emergency transfer plan;
3.	determined survivors’ supportive services needs;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Along with following a Housing First approach, DVIS conducts intake & discusses survivor goals within days of program entry. Participants are enrolled regardless of housing barriers & begin housing planning immediately. DVIS offers landlord incentives through the Housing Trust Fund to ensure consistent access to safe, affordable housing. DVIS has a Housing Navigator that maintains relationships w/landlords and works with the Health Department for unit safety reviews. Safety plans are built out at in-take & are client led; clients are given the opportunity to walk through units, with staff, before moving in & make their own decisions about the safety level.
2. DVIS’ projects use Coordinated Entry (CE) for RRH prioritization, assessing survivors using the A Way Home for Tulsa CoC CES Prioritization Tool & then sending de-identified assessment scores to the CoC, where they are assigned a de-identified number for the By-Name-List. They actively participate in the CoC CE Task Force to ensure a client-centered approach for trauma survivors.
3. Each client is assigned a case manager who collaborates with them to create an individualized plan tailored to their specific needs & goals. Additionally, the case manager assists clients in determining their eligibility for mainstream benefits & provides support in completing benefit applications.
4. Clients are provided with information & referrals to access a range of supportive services, including OK Dept of Human Svcs benefits, food pantries, utility assistance programs, workforce readiness classes, & educational opportunities. Survivors choose whether they want to participate in services & which services they want connections to .
5. Case managers play a crucial role in helping survivors to sustain their permanent housing upon exit by leveraging mainstream resources, conducting safety planning, providing employment & education assistance, arranging transportation to requested service offices, other case managers, work, or gas assistance, & offering childcare support. To ensure housing stability, DVIS conducts annual assessments with clients to confirm that mainstream benefits are received & renewed. DVIS also works with survivors to apply for Housing Choice Vouchers through Tulsa Housing Authority if they are on a limited income to ensure their housing stability beyond the subsidy. After move-in and subsidy assistance, case management services are offered for up to 24 months.

4A-3d.	Applicant’s Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors’ Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
3.	keeping survivors’ information and locations confidential;	
4.	training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	

	5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.
--	---

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All intakes occur in private rooms and case managers utilize private offices when working with a client. DVIS also offers safe outdoor spaces and provides noise-cancelling headphones and iPads for confidential remote conversations.
2. DVIS supports clients in choosing their preferred location and housing configuration, allowing them to identify what they consider safe housing. All actions are driven by the survivor's choices. During intake and throughout the process, DVIS consistently asks clients about any concerns related to unsafe individuals or areas, including emotional, financial, and physical safety.
3. RRH offices are in an undisclosed location with no identifiable signage and intentionally unlisted on Google. All law enforcement officers visiting the site are required to sign confidentiality agreements. DVIS conducts tech safety planning with clients, including the use of phone tracking apps, to ensure that perpetrators with shared online accounts cannot locate clients or the site. External correspondence is sent using a separate main office address. Client addresses and contact info are confidential, staff who have access to this information is minimized and they are regularly trained in confidentiality protocols.
4. Confidentiality training is required for staff during both new-hire orientation and annually in the training program for all DVIS employees. 24 hours of safety training is focused on safety planning with survivors and is also provided to staff during new-hire orientation as required by the OK Attorney General's Office. Other training topics include: trauma-informed practices, motivational interviewing, ethics.
5. DVIS ' RRH programs follow a housing-first approach, meaning that survivors along with their case managers are assessing the physical safety of the location dependent upon each survivor's safety needs. DVIS partners with the Tulsa Health Department to ensure units are inspected to promote physical safety. Survivors are also told about the Address Confidentiality Program that allows clients another way to hide their address by using a third party program for mailing purposes. Safety planning with DVIS staff include supplying physical safety tools such as ring cameras, window alarms, door jams, etc.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Surveys are given monthly to clients through a tablet, paper, or QR code by their case manager. These surveys indicate that over 90% of survivors report an increased understanding of safety planning, affirming the efficacy of safety education. Data is also gathered during these monthly meetings for client issues or barriers. If multiple clients report difficulty in something such as a form, that form is reviewed to see if any adjustments are necessary. On a quarterly basis, DVIS' Risk Management Committee (RMC) reviews summaries of critical incident reports, encompassing various incidents from slips and falls to EMSA (Emergency Medical Services Authority) calls. Both the RMC and the Board carefully analyze these reports to identify safety and risk trends, informing necessary adjustments.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:	
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. DVIS has extensive experience prioritizing the placement and stabilization of survivors through our CoC-funded RRH program, which began in 2021. We focus specifically on housing survivors to ensure their physical and emotional stability. With over 45 years of experience serving survivors, DVIS consistently applies trauma-informed approaches while staying true to our core values of curiosity, empathy, and compassion to support survivors in their journey to safety and independence.

2. DVIS has multiple years of experience placing survivors in permanent housing through rental assistance provided by our CoC-funded Rapid Rehousing program. We understand the vital importance of stability after the trauma survivors endure, which often leaves them facing uncertainty. Additionally, we acknowledge that a survivor's definition of "permanent" housing may differ, as safety concerns could require them to relocate and seek new housing for their protection. Our approach prioritizes flexibility and safety, ensuring survivors have secure housing options that meet their unique needs.

3. DVIS treats survivors as the experts of their own lives, and this belief guides how we place and stabilize them in housing. In our RRH programs, we prioritize each survivor's preferences and needs, placing them at the center of the services they receive. This client-centered approach empowers survivors to make informed decisions about their housing options, ensuring the solutions align with their personal safety goals and long-term stability. By focusing on their individual preferences, we support them in achieving safe and sustainable housing on their terms.

4. DVIS respects participant preferences and offers voluntary services, allowing clients to choose when and if they meet with an advocate or work on housing. Clients with high lethality risk are prioritized for rapid placement in permanent housing. Harm reduction principles are used in alignment with participant preferences to promote safety and stability.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)		
Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:		
1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;	
2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;	
3.	emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	
5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and	
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. DVIS fosters a culture of mutual respect among staff and clients by minimizing property rules, meeting weekly to discuss cases and options for clients, and emphasizing respectful, collaborative relationships. Clients do not face punitive consequences for non-engagement or lack of communication. The CoC CES also helps establish equity in our approach based on the survivor's initial assessment and vulnerability by using an outside prioritization tool and not putting it all on DVIS to make those decisions.
2. Clients have access to trauma-related information through various means, including counseling sessions that utilize the Survivor Empowerment Therapy Program (STEP) curriculum, monthly DV 101 groups, completion of the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) tool, intake interviews that inquire about past trauma, and the use of the PCL-5 to measure PTSD symptoms and track progress with some clients.
3. DVIS incorporates strengths-based approaches, including Motivational Interviewing, throughout its culture and staff training. These strategies are aligned with CoC-wide policies, which require all housing programs to adopt strengths-based methods, ensuring access for vulnerable individuals like domestic violence survivors regardless of their entry point into the system of care.
4. DVIS prioritizes cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by promoting ethical communication and anti-oppression frameworks; this includes a focus on specific populations, including LGBTQ+, deaf/hard of hearing, limited English proficiency, and elder survivors. DVIS ensures language accessibility through the Language Line, video ASL translation, and Spanish proficiency by staff members. Upon intake, DVIS addresses antidiscrimination and cultural competence, using inclusive language in the client welcome booklet and providing definitions for gender identity and nonbinary terms. Room assignments for both transitional housing and emergency shelters are based on self-reported gender identity. The organization also conducts outreach to underserved communities to enhance accessibility to all domestic violence services.
5. DVIS fosters community through monthly house meetings and staff-led group classes. Outdoor spaces and playgrounds are actively utilized. DVIS also offers survivors case management, individual and group counseling and legal advocacy both in house and with community partners.
6. Parents receive support through onsite well-child check-ups, eliminating the need to leave the campus for their children's healthcare needs. Childcare services and children's counseling services are offered in-house by DVIS as well. When in house services are at capacity or as needed, DVIS collaborates with partners such as Family & Children's Services, Family Promise of Oklahoma, Family Safety Center, and Youth Services of Tulsa to offer supportive services such as counseling, children's counseling, assistance with school enrollment, childcare, and addressing other related needs.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.	

(limit 5,000 characters)

DVIS is committed to providing comprehensive, confidential supportive services that ensure the safety and swift transition of clients to permanent housing while empowering them to make choices aligned with their unique needs, fostering independence and stability. Case managers meet regularly with clients to provide housing search support and maintain current service plans. Clients may also receive trauma informed counseling services if they choose. Healthcare is integral to DVIS's trauma-informed approach, offering on-site services through external grants, with nurses conducting physicals, managing chronic conditions, and providing wound care while trained in mental health crisis de-escalation to minimize unnecessary ER visits. Mental health is prioritized, with counselors from Family & Children's Services available for participants and their children. Legal services, including court advocacy and assistance with protective orders, divorce, and custody cases, are provided by dedicated staff attorneys, while referrals to organizations like Still She Rises address concerns related to criminal history. Financial stability is crucial for long-term independence, and DVIS partners with local banks and credit unions to offer financial education, credit repair assistance, and connections to the Financial Empowerment Center. Education and employment support are essential components of DVIS's services, as they collaborate with local educational institutions to help clients improve their education and provide employment assistance programs, including mock interviews and resume help. Childcare and family support are also priorities, with an on-site DHS-licensed daycare offering essential support for parents and assistance with school enrollment for older children to ensure a smooth transition. Additionally, DVIS addresses transportation barriers by helping clients attend benefit appointments, employee training, and job interviews. DVIS staff participate in CE Task Group & collaborate with all mainstream organizations to lead training and coordination ensuring referrals appropriately address the participants physical, emotional, safety, privacy, and confidentiality needs.

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)		
Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:		
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;	
2.	place program participants in permanent housing;	
3.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. DVIS will prioritize the placement and stabilization of program participants by continuing to accept referrals through the Coordinated Entry System, and by participating in CoC Task Force Meetings and advocating for the ongoing prioritization of housing for survivors. Program staff will emphasize each participant's immediate goal of obtaining safe and stable housing while providing stability through clear and reliable communication throughout the process. By securing increased funding for rental assistance, DVIS will work to place and stabilize survivors in their own homes, supporting their long-term safety and independence.
2. DVIS will place survivors in permanent housing by helping them lower barriers to obtaining housing while also prioritizing their safety throughout the process. This involves developing a safety plan with each survivor to ensure their housing is secure and minimize barriers to obtaining housing. The focus is on making placements quickly to effectively address any experience of homelessness. With additional funding, DVIS will ensure sufficient resources are available for survivors to overcome obstacles to securing permanent housing assistance. The responsibility for housing placement will be shared among experienced staff at the Tulsa Day Center, who have extensive experience working with landlords and finding permanent housing solutions in the Tulsa area.
3. DVIS will place and stabilize program participants in alignment with their preferences by utilizing new funding to ensure sufficient program staff are available. This proposed staffing increase will allow for individualized attention to each survivor's specific preferences while efficiently addressing their needs to minimize the duration of their homelessness experience. DVIS expects to maintain sustainable caseload ratios. This enables staff to build meaningful and lasting relationships with survivors, fostering a supportive environment conducive to their stabilization.
4. DVIS respects participant preferences and offers voluntary services, allowing clients to choose when and if they meet with an advocate or work on housing. Clients with high lethality risk are prioritized for rapid placement in permanent housing. Harm reduction principles are used in alignment with participant preferences to promote safety and stability.

4A-3i.	Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants' strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

DVIS staff across all programs are trained to provide trauma-informed services to survivors of domestic and sexual violence. This approach utilizes client-centric, strengths-based techniques to address each survivor's self-identified needs. DVIS will continue implementing the following practices throughout all RRH projects to effectively serve survivors and their families:

1. Foster mutual respect with clients by minimizing strict property rules and emphasizing courteous, collaborative relationships. The RRH projects will adhere to a Housing First approach, enabling clients' autonomy while receiving housing services without punitive interventions for non-engagement. Policies will be updated based on best practices and recommendations to ensure equality in participant-staff interactions. To ensure balanced power dynamics tailored to program staff, DVIS will implement a modified version of the Power & Control Wheel for discussions on power differentials. The program supervisor will engage with staff to address questions and concerns, while maintaining adherence to the voluntary service model and fostering a supportive environment .
2. Ensure that clients have access to information about trauma through various program strategies. This includes the use of the STEP (Survivor Therapy Empowerment Program) curriculum during group sessions, the provision of trauma-related content in RRH Client Handbooks, and the monthly DV 101 groups that will cover topics like Power & Control and dynamics of domestic violence relationships. Clients also have the opportunity to engage with the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) tool and voluntarily discuss the results with an advocate. Additionally, monthly program meetings will encourage clients to explore resources, counseling options, and various pathways for accessing trauma-related information through DVIS or other mental health providers, catering to their individual preferences and needs.
3. DVIS embraces a strengths-based approach during client interactions across all DVIS programs. This approach is integrated into the design of questionnaires and case plans, which are specifically structured to assess and build upon clients' strengths.
4. Prioritize cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by utilizing the Language Line for interpretation services, offering video American Sign Language (ASL) translation, and ensuring Spanish-speaking staff at all service sites. Anti-discrimination and cultural competence will be embedded from the intake process, featuring inclusive language in client materials and clear definitions of gender identity. DVIS provides outreach to underserved communities to improve access to domestic violence services .
5. Peer-to-peer connections are fostered voluntarily when clients engage in outpatient services at DVIS, as organizing a mass gathering can be challenging due to the scattered-site nature of rapid re-housing and varied client schedules .
6. Parents will receive support through DHS-licensed on-site daycare services for all RRH survivors, including information about DVIS' range of services, such as access to outpatient counseling services tailored to childcare needs and legal advocacy. DVIS provides Circle of Security parenting classes as well as a Caring Dads parenting class.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:
1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

- DVIS prioritizes equity in housing services by gathering survivor input through voluntary surveys to ensure programs remain inclusive and responsive to their needs. Our leadership and board are a diverse group that includes individuals and survivors with lived homelessness experience, ensuring that a range of perspectives informs decision-making and policy-setting. DVIS have survivors on staff who use their expertise working with their clients.
- DVIS holds open board and committee meetings to ensure transparency and invite contributions from clients and employees, ensuring all stakeholder insights are valued. Our board approves agency policies and has representation of lived experiences of homelessness, diverse gender identity, lived experience with mental health challenges, and experiences of poverty.

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	The Spring
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	0%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	0%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:

1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1. This is a proposed new project housing placement. Housing placement and retention rate would be calculated based on the number of participants placed in permanent housing through the RRH component by a partner VSP organization in the CoC, DVIS, that has operated a Joint TH-RRH project for multiple grant cycles.
2. The rate of housing placement would account for the identification of safe housing destinations and ensuring participants exit to those destinations.
3. This is a proposed new project housing placement. Housing placement and retention rate would be calculated based on the number of participants placed in permanent housing through the RRH component by a partner VSP organization in the CoC, DVIS, that has operated a Joint TH-RRH project for multiple grant cycles.
4. The data source for outcomes of the proposed new TH-RRH project will be the partner VSP’s HMIS comparable database, EmpowerDB.

4A-3c.	Applicant’s Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:	
	1. ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;	
	2. prioritized survivors—you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC’s emergency transfer plan;	
	3. determined survivors’ supportive services needs;	
	4. connected survivors to supportive services; and	
	5. moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain—address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Spring conducts intake at first contact in the emergency shelter to gather basic info, demographics, and what immediate needs require addressing; a more expanded intake is done within 72 hours to discuss goals, needs, & what help is available to survivors. The proposed new project will follow a Housing First (HF) approach and will enroll participants regardless of housing barriers and begin housing planning at the start of survivor participation. The Spring uses a client-centered approach which focuses on lifting up survivor strengths and overcoming identified housing barriers as quickly as possible.
2. The Spring project will utilize Coordinated Entry (CE) for RRH prioritization, sending assessment scores to the CoC, who will then prioritize referrals to be sent back. If funded, The Spring program staff will actively participate in the CoC CE Task Force to ensure a client-centered approach for trauma survivors. The Spring case managers will be the ones who typically conduct CE assessments.
3. Each client is assigned a case manager advocate who collaborates with them to create an individualized plan tailored to their specific needs and goals. Additionally, the advocate assists clients in determining the client's eligibility for mainstream benefits and provides support in completing benefit applications. Advocates and clients meet at least once a week to discuss progress and update goals and needs.
4. Clients are provided with information and referrals to access a range of supportive services, including Oklahoma Human Services benefits, food pantries, utility assistance programs, workforce readiness classes, and educational opportunities. The Spring works with community partners such as Tulsa Housing Authority, Mental Health Association of Oklahoma, and other shelters to connect clients to resources that orient them toward their stated goals and needs.
5. The Spring Advocates play a crucial role in helping survivors sustain their permanent housing upon exit by leveraging mainstream resources, conducting safety planning, providing employment and education assistance, arranging transportation, and offering childcare support all in-house. Advocates and clients meet at a minimum once a week during the transitional phase and then move to once a month for continued support and check ins on needs. To ensure housing stability, The Spring conducts annual assessments with clients to confirm that mainstream benefits are received & renewed.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:	
	1. taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;	
	2. making determinations and placements into safe housing;	
	3. keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;	
	4. training staff on safety and confidentiality policies and practices; and	
	5. taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. All intakes occur in private rooms, with Advocates having private offices. The Spring offers safe outdoor spaces and provides noise-cancelling headphones and iPads for confidential conversations.
2. The Spring supports clients in choosing their preferred location and housing configuration, allowing clients to identify what they consider safe housing. All actions are driven by the survivor's choices. During intake and throughout the process, The Spring consistently asks clients about any concerns related to unsafe individuals or areas, including emotional, financial, and physical safety.
3. All law enforcement officers visiting the site are required to sign confidentiality agreements. The Spring conducts tech safety planning with clients, including the use of phone tracking apps, to ensure that perpetrators with shared online accounts cannot locate clients or the site. External correspondence is sent using a separate head office address. Staff members receive training in client confidentiality and access to this information is restricted. Program offices are in an undisclosed location, intentionally unlisted and unsearchable on Google, with no identifiable signage.
4. Confidentiality training is provided to staff during new-hire orientation and then annually as part of regular yearly training provided to all The Spring staff. Safety training is focused on safety planning with DV survivors and is also provided to staff during new-hire orientation as required by the Oklahoma Attorney General's Office.
5. Programs use a person-centered approach meaning that survivors along with their Advocates are assessing the physical safety of the location dependent upon each survivor's safety needs. The Spring partners with the Tulsa Health Department to get units inspected to ensure that units are physically safe to live in.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

While The Spring does not have a current permanent housing project, it does have two current programs: the shelter program and a non-residential program. In these two programs, The Spring offers exit and routine surveys for clients to gather data on their progress and provide feedback on programming. Surveys include questions such as "Do you have a better understanding of safety and safety planning" and "Do you have a better understanding of community resources?" Additionally, In 2012, The Spring became the first shelter in Oklahoma to be certified by the State Attorney General's office — standards and compliance around client safety come with this agreement. Any critical incident reports must be passed onto the OAG, along with internal processes of review. This designation and review process provide a consistent and thorough method for evaluating how the Spring ensures survivor safety.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:	
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;	
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;	
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and	
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. While The Spring does not have a current permanent housing project, in current programs The Spring prioritizes placement and stabilization of survivors by taking a housing first approach that emphasizes moving quickly into safe housing consistent with the survivor's healing journey. The Spring does intensive intake within 72 hours of contact, assign an Advocate, determines goals and resources available to clients, and discusses safety planning with clients. Advocates follow and support clients through their time in the shelter and offer support as they become stabilized.

2. The Spring has over twenty years of experience placing survivors into housing from its existing shelter programs. Once clients indicate a need for housing, the applicant works with existing community partnerships built over time since first opening in 1980. These strong connections will help the applicant ensure quick transitions to housing placements with trusted pre-existing partners, or with new landlords and partners preferred by the survivor.

3 & 4. The Spring places and stabilize survivors consistent with their stated needs and preferences by carrying out client-centered and-client driven interviews to identify these preferences and needs which are collected and documented in surveys and meeting logs. The Spring then offers voluntary services guided by the client's requests. This practice allows clients to choose when and if they meet with an advocate or work on housing. Advocates also use this information to tailor housing connections that meet the needs and preferences they have expressed.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	
	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:	
1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;	
2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;	
3.	emphasizing survivors' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;	
4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;	

5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. The Spring fosters a culture of mutual respect by reducing property rules and emphasizing respectful client relationships. All the Spring's staff are intensely trained in trauma-informed services which lessen the power differentials existing during any interaction with a survivor. Programming is client-centered, giving clients agency and control over their lives and allowing them to make decisions and take initiative.
2. Clients at The Spring have access to trauma-related information through various means, including during counseling sessions, during intake interviews that inquire about past trauma, while using a monthly Hope Scale that is offered, and various while attending educational and support groups offered on a weekly basis. Staff are required to complete 40 hours of specific trauma-informed care and cultural responsiveness trainings at orientation and regularly receive ongoing education through quarterly staff trainings.
3. The Spring incorporates strengths-based approaches, including Motivational Interviewing, throughout its culture and staff training. We offer the Hope Scale to each participant monthly to gauge a client's goals (approaching life in a goal-oriented way), pathways (finding different ways to achieve goals), and agency (believing that these goals are achievable and the client can instigate changes in their own life). This helps us identify strengths and challenges and how to work with or around them.
4. The Spring prioritizes cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by promoting ethical communication and anti-oppression frameworks; this includes a focus on meeting the unique needs of specific populations, including LGBTQ+ folks, people who are deaf/hard of hearing, people w/limited English proficiency, and elders. The Spring ensures language accessibility through Stratus Video Interpreting service. From intake, The Spring addresses antidiscrimination and cultural competence, using inclusive language in the client welcome booklet and providing definitions for gender identity and nonbinary terms. Room assignments are based on self-reported gender identity. Clients are educated on the distinction between feeling unsafe and uncomfortable in order to facilitate equal access. The organization also conducts outreach to underserved communities to enhance accessibility to all domestic violence services.
5. The Spring fosters community through voluntary weekly community meetings, support groups, educational groups and bible study. Outdoor spaces and playgrounds are actively used as well as several community spaces inside and outside of our property.
6. Parents receive support through onsite well-child check-ups, eliminating the need to leave the campus for their children's healthcare needs. The Spring collaborates with Saint Francis Health Care through our on-site medical space. To provide additional support for parenting survivors, The Spring collaborates with partners such as Family & Children's Services (mental & health services, trauma counseling), Family Promise of Oklahoma (shelter, meals support for homeless children/families), Family Safety Center (law enforcement assistance, legal assistance and advice, referrals), Youth Services of Tulsa (counseling & youth development programs), Parent Child Center (community education, outreach, therapeutic services), and Grand Lake Mental Health (crisis services, community behavioral health support, addiction recovery services). We also have on-site legal assistance through Legal Aid Services of Oklahoma that are available twice a week for in-person meetings.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

The Spring is committed to providing comprehensive and confidential supportive services while ensuring the safety and swift transition of clients to permanent housing. Clients are empowered to make choices that align with their unique needs and aspirations, fostering their independence and stability. Case managers play a crucial role in this process by meeting with clients regularly to provide housing search support and ensuring service plans remain current. Healthcare is another critical aspect of The Spring's integrated approach. The organization offers healthcare services directly at the shelter through partnership with Saint Francis Health Care Systems, with nurses on site to conduct basic physicals and help manage chronic health conditions. This accessibility to healthcare addresses the immediate needs of participants. Mental health and emotional well-being are given the utmost attention. Participants, as well as their children, can access counselors on-site with Grand Lake Mental Health. Legal services, including court advocacy and assistance with protective orders, divorce, and custody cases, are provided by partnership with Legal aid Services of Oklahoma. Education and employment support are key components of The Spring's services. The organization coordinates with local educational institutions to help clients increase their educational levels. Employment assistance programs, including mock interviews and resume help, are available to all clients, facilitating their journey towards self-sufficiency. The Spring also addresses transportation barriers by providing this assistance to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employee training, and job interviews. The Spring staff will participate in CE Task Group & collaborate with all mainstream organizations to lead training and coordination ensuring referrals appropriately address the participants physical, emotional, safety, privacy, and confidentiality needs .

4A-3h.	Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:

- | | |
|----|---|
| 1. | prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants; |
| 2. | place program participants in permanent housing; |
| 3. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and |
| 4. | place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Spring will be trained in utilizing the Coordinated entry system, from which it will receive prioritized referrals. Dedicated program staff use housing-focused case management to help survivors locate a unit and help find suitable housing options that the survivor deems safe. Upon being placed in housing, clients will also receive comprehensive case management support and assistance obtaining resources to bolster stabilization and housing retention.

2. This program will help place program participants in permanent housing by helping locate units and offering support to remove barriers to housing, including financial support for moving costs. The Spring will employ a dedicated staff member to help survivors find suitable housing options, leveraging The Spring’s existing landlord relationships and community partnerships where appropriate. The Spring staff will help survivors navigate the unit application processes such as speaking with landlords and completing paperwork.

3. & 4. The Spring will place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated preferences & needs by first identifying these during client-centered preference assessments at intake. Needs and preferences will continue to be assessed through surveys and ongoing meetings with case managers. The Spring will connect the survivor to multiple housing options to match their preferences and maximize choice. The Spring also offers voluntary services tailored to the survivor’s requests.

4A-3i.	Applicant’s Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:	
1.	establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasize program participants’ strengths—for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	provide a variety of opportunities for program participants’ connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. The Spring fosters a culture of mutual respect by reducing property rules and emphasizing respectful client relationships. All the Spring's staff are intensely trained in trauma informed services which takes away the power differentials with any interaction with a survivor that would be coming to us. Programming is client centered, giving clients agency and control over their lives and allowing them to make decisions and make initiative.
2. Clients at The Spring have access to trauma-related information through various means, including counseling sessions, intake interviews that inquire about past trauma, a monthly Hope Scale that is offered, and various educational and support groups offered on a weekly basis. Staff are required to complete 40 hours of specific trauma and cultural trainings at orientation and regularly through quarterly staff trainings.
3. The Spring incorporates strengths-based approaches, including Motivational Interviewing, throughout its culture and staff training. We offer the Hope Scale to each participant monthly to gauge a client's agency and pathway. This helps us identify strengths and weaknesses and how to work around them.
4. The Spring prioritizes cultural responsiveness and inclusivity by promoting ethical communication and anti-oppression frameworks; this includes a focus on specific populations, including LGBTQ+, deaf/hard of hearing, limited English proficiency, and elders. The Spring ensures language accessibility through Stratus Video Interpreting service. From intake, The Spring addresses antidiscrimination and cultural competence, using inclusive language in the client welcome booklet and providing definitions for gender identity and nonbinary terms. Room assignments are based on self-reported gender identity. Clients are educated on the distinction between feeling unsafe and uncomfortable. The organization also conducts outreach to underserved communities to enhance accessibility to all domestic violence services.
5. The Spring fosters community through weekly community meetings, support groups, educational groups and bible study. Outdoor spaces and playgrounds are actively used as well as several community spaces inside and outside of our property.
6. Parents receive support through onsite well-child check-ups, eliminating the need to leave the campus for their children's healthcare needs. The Spring collaborates with Saint Francis Health Care through our on-site medical space. The Spring collaborates with partners such as Family & Children's Services, Family Promise of Oklahoma, Family Safety Center, and Youth Services of Tulsa, Parent Child Center and Grand Lake Mental Health. We also have on-site legal assistance through Legal Aid Services of Oklahoma that are available twice a week for in-person meetings.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	
	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:	
1.	with a range of lived expertise; and	
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The Spring currently has a plan in place to establish a peer advisory board and panel to help identify concerns and needs throughout the program implementation. The Spring consistently seeks input from survivors with lived experiences while they are in the program and from alumni and strives for further involvement from these individuals in decision-making processes throughout our programs and organization.

2. The proposed peer advisory board will work directly on program policies and development to ensure that our services always align with survivor needs . The CoC engages with community members and organizations to obtain feedback during the design, planning, and implementation phases of programs and activities. As a new recent CoC member, The Spring collaborates with the Lived Experience representative on the CoC board to gather input for its programs, policies, and outcomes.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1. You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2. You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3. We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported—please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4. Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5. Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed—including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6. If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
 - . We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
 - . We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7. After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8. Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/25/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	10/25/2024
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/25/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	10/25/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/25/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/25/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/25/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/25/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/25/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting—CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	FY 2024 HDX Compe...	10/25/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	10/25/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	10/25/2024
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No	Project List for ...	10/25/2024
Other	No	OTHER ATTACHMENTS	10/25/2024

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: FY 2024 HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreement

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project List for Other Federal Statutes

Attachment Details

Document Description: OTHER ATTACHMENTS

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/26/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/25/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/25/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/25/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	Please Complete
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/25/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/25/2024
2C. System Performance	10/25/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/25/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/25/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/25/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/25/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
PHA Homeless Preference
(Question 1C-7)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
Tulsa Housing Authority Administrative Plan Excerpt – homeless preference	2-9
Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency Administrative Plan Excerpt – homeless preference	10-21



Housing Choice Voucher Administrative Plan

October 2, 1995

Revision Date	Revision Date
January 18, 2018	November 10, 2021
June 21, 2018	May 11, 2023
February 14, 2019	August 10, 2023
December 12, 2019	June 11, 2024
August 12, 2021	

CHAPTER 1 STATEMENT OF POLICIES AND OBJECTIVES **5**

CHAPTER 2 ELIGIBILITY FOR ADMISSION **14**

CHAPTER 3 APPLYING FOR ADMISSION **21**

CHAPTER 4 ESTABLISHING PREFERENCES AND MAINTAINING THE WAITING LIST **26**

CHAPTER 5 SUBSIDY STANDARDS **31**

CHAPTER 6 FACTORS RELATED TO TOTAL TENANT PAYMENT DETERMINATION **35**

CHAPTER 7 VERIFICATION PROCEDURES **55**

CHAPTER 8 HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER (HCV) ISSUANCE AND BRIEFINGS **71**

CHAPTER 9 REQUEST FOR TENANCY APPROVAL AND CONTRACT EXECUTION **75**

CHAPTER 10 HOUSING QUALITY STANDARDS AND INSPECTIONS **82**

CHAPTER 11 OWNER RENTS, RENT REASONABLENESS, AND PAYMENT STANDARDS **90**

CHAPTER 12 RECERTIFICATIONS **94**

CHAPTER 13 MOVES WITH CONTINUED ASSISTANCE/PORTABILITY 99

CHAPTER 14 TERMINATIONS 104

CHAPTER 15 DENIAL OR TERMINATION OF ASSISTANCE 109

CHAPTER 16 OWNER DISAPPROVAL 118

CHAPTER 17 OWNER OR TENANT DEBTS OWED TO THA 122

CHAPTER 18 COMPLAINTS AND HEARINGS 124

CHAPTER 19 HOMEOWNERSHIP 127

CHAPTER 20 PROJECT-BASED VOUCHERS 134

CHAPTER 21 RAD PROGRAM PROJECT BASED VOUCHERS 170

CHAPTER 22 CHOICE MOBILITY POLICY 198

CHAPTER 23 EMERGENCY HOUSING VOUCHERS (EHVS) 204

CHAPTER 24 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT (VAWA) 218

CHAPTER 25 LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY POLICY 226

CHAPTER 26 FAMILY SELF-SUFFICIENCY (FSS) PROGRAM	229
CHAPTER 27 EIV SECURITY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES	234
CHAPTER 28 PROGRAM INTEGRITY	237
CHAPTER 29 CHRONICALLY HOMELESS PROGRAM	239
CHAPTER 30 GLOSSARY OF TERMS IN SUBSIDIZED HOUSING	242
CHAPTER 31 GLOSSARY OF TERMS USED IN THE NONCITIZENS RULE	253
CHAPTER 32 ACRONYMS USED IN SUBSIDIZED HOUSING	254
APPENDIX I SCREENING OF SECTION 8 APPLICANTS & PARTICIPANTS	257

CHAPTER 2 ELIGIBILITY FOR ADMISSION

This Chapter defines both HUD's and THA's criteria for admission and denial of admission to the program. The policy of THA is to strive for objectivity and consistency in applying these criteria to evaluate the eligibility of families who apply. THA staff will review all information provided by the family carefully and without regard to factors other than those defined in this Chapter. Families will be provided the opportunity to explain their circumstances, to furnish additional information, if needed, and to receive an explanation of the basis for any decision made by THA pertaining to their eligibility.

Preference Processing

1. Involuntary Displacement: At the time of application, an applicant must provide a letter from the Federal Emergency Management Agency or Tulsa Housing Authority.
2. Elderly: At the time of application, an applicant must provide a government issued identification showing date of birth.
3. Disabled: At the time of application, an applicant must provide a Social Security Award Letter or HUD Form 90103 [available on THA's website]
4. Veteran: At the time of application an applicant must provide a DD214 Form or for active duty a military issued identification.
5. Domestic Violence: At the time of application, an applicant must provide a letter from a shelter indicating residency of the applicant due to domestic violence or a protective order indicating domestic violence.
6. Chronically Homeless: At the time of application, an applicant must qualify as chronically homeless as defined in Chapter 4, be registered with the Continuum of Care's Coordinated Entry System and be eligible for case management services/supportive services from the Continuum of Care or partnering service providers.

Chronically
homeless
preference

Eligibility Factors

To be eligible for participation, an applicant must meet HUD's criteria, as well as any permissible additional criteria established by THA.

The HUD eligibility criteria are:

1. An applicant must be a "family"
2. An applicant must be within the appropriate Income Limits
3. An applicant must provide documentation of preference
4. An applicant must furnish and verify Social Security Numbers
5. An applicant must furnish evidence of Citizenship/Eligible Immigrant Status

CHAPTER 4

ESTABLISHING PREFERENCES AND MAINTAINING THE WAITING LIST

It is THA’s objective to ensure that families are placed in the proper order on the waiting list so that an offer of assistance is not delayed to any family or made to any family prematurely. This chapter defines the eligibility criteria for the preferences and explains THA’s system of applying them. By maintaining an accurate waiting list, THA will be able to perform the activities which ensure that an adequate pool of qualified applicants will be available so that program funds are used in a timely manner.

Application Pool

The waiting list will be maintained in accordance with the following guidelines:

- The application will be a permanent file.
- All applicants in the pool will be maintained in order of preference.
- Applications equal in preference will be maintained by date and time sequence.
- All applicants must meet “Income” eligibility requirements as established by HUD. Any exceptions to these requirements, other than those outlined in Chapter 2, “Eligibility for Admission,” must have been approved previously by the HUD Field Office.

Special Admissions

Applicants who are admitted under Special Admissions, rather than from the waiting list, are identified by codes in the automated system and are not maintained on separate waiting lists.

Applicants who are admitted under targeted funding and are not identified as a Special Admission are identified by codes in the automated system and are not maintained on separate waiting lists.

Waiting List Preferences

Ranking Preferences- These preferences will be weighted higher than any other preferences.

Involuntary Displacement – The family is involuntarily displaced by the Tulsa Housing Authority action or by declaration of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Elderly – The head of household or spouse is age 62 or older.

The elderly preference will apply to the following properties when converted to RAD PBV for families where the head of household or spouse is age 62 or older:

- Pioneer Plaza
- Hewgley Terrace
- LaFortune Tower
- Country Club Gardens (Latimer)

Chronically Homeless Program Chronically homeless definition

The applicant family is chronically homeless and participating in homeless assistance programs and/or initiatives; or special purpose voucher programs, when necessary to prevent homelessness or promote ending homelessness, based on referrals from identified agencies with whom we would partner.

Chronically homeless persons and families must be certified and referred for an application via the Continuum of Care (CoC) lead organization under a Memorandum of Understanding with THA. CoC partner agencies must be participating in the homeless initiatives and may include those that assist the chronically homeless person and family in a transitional or permanent supportive housing program supported by homeless initiatives. The referring agency must certify the chronically homeless status of those referred through the CoC to THA.

Additionally, families already on the waiting list who declare themselves homeless, but not referred to by CoC or partner agencies, must provide certification from a CoC and/or partner agencies under a Memorandum of Understanding with THA.

Applicants must meet all eligibility requirements in addition to the Chronically Homeless Program requirements. The number of families who can qualify for this preference will be limited to a number as annually determined by the THA.

THA also offers the following three preferences

Domestic Violence: The applicant family is residing in a public or private shelter as a result of domestic violence; and/or has had actual or threatened physical violence directed against the applicant or the applicant’s family by a spouse or other household member who was living in the unit with the family.

The actual or threatened violence must have occurred within the past 6 months prior to certification or be of a continuing nature. Domestic violence will be considered “continuing” if the applicant provides medical records, police reports or statements from qualified domestic violence social workers documenting repeated abuse and the most recent episode was not more than six (6) months prior to the date that THA is certifying the applicant eligible for assistance.

An applicant who lives in a violent neighborhood or is fearful of other violence outside the household is not considered a victim of domestic violence.

Disabled: The applicant family has one or more family members who are disabled.

Veteran: The head or spouse of the applicant family is a current member of the military, a veteran, the surviving spouse of a veteran.

Initial Determination Of Preference Qualification

An applicant will not be granted any preference if any member of the family has been evicted from any federally assisted housing during the past two years because of criminal convictions.

THA will grant an exception to such a family if:

- The responsible member has successfully completed a rehabilitation program.
- The evicted person was not involved in the criminal conviction that occasioned the eviction and the applicant certifies to THA that the person that was involved will not be permitted to reside in the assisted household.
- At the time of application, an applicant must provide documentation of the preference and the preference must be verified before the applicant is added to the waiting list.

If the preference verification indicates that an applicant does not qualify for the preference, the applicant will be added to the waiting list and ranked without the preference and given an opportunity for a review.

Exceptions For Special Admissions

If HUD awards THA program funding that is targeted for specifically named families, THA will admit these families under a Special Admission procedure.

Special admissions families will be admitted outside of the regular waiting list process. They do not have to qualify for any preferences, nor are they required to be on the program waiting list. THA maintains separate records of these admissions.

The following are examples of types of program funding that may be designated by HUD for families living in a specified unit:

1. A family displaced because of demolition or disposition of a public or Indian housing project.
2. A family residing in a multifamily rental housing project when HUD sells, forecloses or demolishes the project.
3. For housing covered by the Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act of 1990.
4. A family residing in a project covered by a project-based Section 8 HAP contract at or near the end of the HAP contract term.



ADMINISTRATIVE PLAN

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER (HCV) PROGRAM

October 1, 2024



Administrative Plan -Table of Contents

	Prohibited Reasons for Denial of Program Assistance [24 CFR 982.202(b), 24 CFR 5.2005(b)]	3-24
3-III.B.	Mandatory Denial of Assistance [24 CFR 982.553(a), Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act (QHWRA), HUD Memorandum from Sandra B. Henriquez February 10, 2011]	3-25
3-III.C.	Other Permitted Reasons for Denial of Assistance.....	3-26
	Criminal Activity [24 CFR 982.553].....	3-26
	Previous Behavior in Assisted Housing [24 CFR 982.552(c)].....	3-27
3-III.D.	Screening	3-28
	Screening for Eligibility	3-29
	Screening for Suitability as a Tenant [24 CFR 982.307].....	3-30
3-III.E.	Criteria for Deciding to Deny Assistance	3-30
	Evidence [24 CFR 982.553(c)].....	3-30
	Consideration of Circumstances [24 CFR 982.552(c)(2)].....	3-31
	Removal of a Family Member's Name from the Application [24 CFR 982.552(c)(2)(ii)]	3-32
	Reasonable Accommodation [24 CFR 982.552(c)(2)(iv)]	3-32
3-III.F.	Notice of Eligibility or Denial	3-32
3-III.G.	Prohibition Against Denial of Assistance to Victims of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.....	3-33
	Notification	3-34
	Documentation.....	3-34
Exhibit 3-1:	Detailed Definitions Related to Disabilities	3-36
	Person with Disabilities [24 CFR 5.403].....	3-36
	Individual with Handicaps [24 CFR 8.3].....	3-35
Exhibit 3-2:	Definition of Institution of Higher Education [20 U.S.C. 1001 and 1002]	3-39
	Eligibility of Students for Assisted Housing Under Section 8 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937; Supplementary Guidance; Notice [Federal Register, April 10, 2006]	3-39

**Chapter 4
APPLICATIONS, WAITING LIST AND TENANT SELECTION**

PART I:	THE APPLICATION PROCESS	4-2
4-I.A.	Overview.....	4-2
4-I.B.	Applying for Assistance [HCV GB, pp. 4-11 – 4-16, Notice PIH 2009-36].....	4-2
4-I.C.	Accessibility of the Application Process	4-3
	Elderly and Disabled Populations [24 CFR 8 and HCV GB, pp. 4-11 – 4-13]	4-3
	Limited English Proficiency	4-3
4-I.D.	Placement on the Waiting List.....	4-3
	Ineligible for Placement on the Waiting List.....	4-3
	Eligible for Placement on the Waiting List	4-4

Administrative Plan -Table of Contents

PART II:	MANAGING THE WAITING LIST.....	4-4
4-II.A.	Overview.....	4-4
4-II.B.	Organization of the Waiting List [24 CFR 982.204 and 205]	4-4
4-II.C.	Opening and Closing the Waiting List [24 CFR 982.206]	4-5
	Closing the Waiting List.....	4-5
	Reopening the Waiting List.....	4-5
4-II.D.	Family Outreach [HCV GB, pp. 4-2 to 4-4].....	4-6
4-II.E.	Reporting Changes in Family Circumstances.....	4-7
4-II.F.	Updating the Waiting List [24 CFR 982.204]	4-7
	Purging the Waiting List.....	4-7
	Removal from the Waiting List.....	4-8
PART III:	SELECTION FOR HCV ASSISTANCE	4-8
4-III.A.	Overview.....	4-8
4-III.B.	Selection and HCV Funding Sources	4-9
	Special Admissions [24 CFR 982.203]	4-9
	Targeted Funding [24 CFR 982.204(e)]	4-9
	Regular HCV Funding.....	4-10
4-III.C.	Selection Method.....	4-10
	Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16].....	4-10
	Homeless Preference	4-11
	Homeless Verification	4-12
	Special Purpose Vouchers	4-13
	Oklahoma Health Care Authority	4-14
	Oklahoma Homeless Alliance	4-14
	Youth Aging out of Foster Care	4-15
	Mental Health Association of Oklahoma	4-15
	Special Disaster Assistance	4-15
	Richard S. Lillard Emergency Housing Assistance Fund	4-15
	Income Targeting Requirement [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)].....	4-16
	Order of Selection.....	4-16
4-III.D.	Notification of Selection.....	4-17
4-III.E.	The Application Interview.....	4-17
4-III.F.	Completing the Application Process	4-19

**Chapter 5
BRIEFINGS AND VOUCHER ISSUANCE**

PART I:	BRIEFINGS AND FAMILY OBLIGATIONS.....	5-2
5-I.A.	Overview.....	5-2
5-I.B.	Briefing [24 CFR 982.301].....	5-2
	Notification and Attendance	5-2
	In Person Briefings	5-2
	Remote Briefings.....	5-4
	Accessibility Requirements For Persons With Disabilities and LEP Individuals	5-4

If the notice is returned by the post office with a forwarding address, the family's address will be updated in the system and the family remains on the waiting list.

If a family is removed from the waiting list for failure to respond, the family may be reinstated if it is determined the lack of response was due to OHFA error, or to circumstances beyond the family's control.

Removal from the Waiting List

If at any time an applicant family is on the waiting list, OHFA determines that the family is not eligible for assistance (see Chapter 3); the family will be removed from the waiting list.

If a family is removed from the waiting list because OHFA has determined the family is not eligible for assistance, a notice will be sent to the family's address of record as well as to any alternate address provided on the initial application. The notice will state the reasons the family was removed from the waiting list and will inform the family how to request an informal review regarding OHFA's decision (see Chapter 16) [24 CFR 982.201(f)].

PART III: SELECTION FOR HCV ASSISTANCE

4-III.A. OVERVIEW

As vouchers become available, families on the waiting list must be selected for assistance in accordance with the policies described in this part.

The order in which families receive assistance from the waiting list depends on the selection method chosen by OHFA and is impacted in part by any selection preferences that the family qualifies for. The source of HCV funding also may affect the order in which families are selected from the waiting list.

OHFA must maintain a clear record of all information required to verify that the family is selected from the waiting list according to OHFA's selection policies [24 CFR 982.204(b) and 982.207(e)].

4-III.B. SELECTION AND HCV FUNDING SOURCES

Special Admissions [24 CFR 982.203]

HUD may award funding for specifically-named families living in specified types of units (e.g., a family that is displaced by demolition of public housing; a non-purchasing family residing in a HOPE 1 or 2 projects). In these cases, OHFA may admit families that are not on the waiting list, or without considering the family's position on the waiting list. OHFA must maintain records showing that such families were admitted with special program funding.

Targeted Funding [24 CFR 982.204(e)]

HUD may award OHFA funding for a specified category of families on the waiting list. OHFA must use this funding only to assist the families within the specified category. Within this category of families, the order in which such families are assisted is determined according to the policies provided in Section 4-III.C.

OHFA administers the following types of targeted funding:

Tenant Protection Vouchers and Enhanced Vouchers

Through the Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) initiative HUD will provide Tenant Protection Vouchers (TPVs) for youth eligible under the Family Unification Program (FUP), subject to availability. Per the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2019 (2019 Appropriations Act) (Public Law 116-6, approved February 15, 2019), TPV appropriated funds may be used for FUP under Section 8(x) of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437f(x)).

Through this targeted allocation, HUD is investing in local, cross-system collaborative efforts to prevent and end homelessness among youth with a current or prior history of child welfare involvement.

The Foster Youth to Independence Initiative requires community partners to coordinate, identify, target, and connect eligible youth at-risk of or experiencing homelessness to housing and related supports. This initiative calls for public housing agencies (PHAs), public child welfare agencies (PCWAs), and continuums of care (CoCs) to work together to determine the most appropriate intervention for each young person.

OHFA in collaboration with the Oklahoma Department of Human Services (DHS) and the National Resource Agency for Youth Services (NRCYS) operating through the Oklahoma Successful Adulthood Program (OKSA) and the Yes I Can! (YIC) Resource and Referral Helpline will work together on this initiative.

OHFA must enter into a partnership agreement with a PCWA. There is no minimum number of tenant protection vouchers (TPVs) that must be requested; however, OHFA is limited to a maximum award of 25 vouchers in a fiscal year. An application for TPVs under this program may not occur until OHFA has received a referral of a FUP-eligible youth by the partnering PCWA. When OHFA's waiting list is closed, OHFA may continue to accept these referrals.

The provision of supportive services is not an eligible use of the HUD funding.

To be eligible for assistance under the Foster Youth to Independence (FYI) initiative applicants must have a written referral from the Oklahoma Department of Human Services (DHS) and/or its designated partner determining that a child is at imminent risk of placement in out-of-home care or that a child in out-of-home care under the supervision of the public agency may be returned to his or her family, or that a youth is at least 18 years and not more than 24 years of age and left foster care, or will leave foster care within 90 days, in accordance with a transition plan described in section 475(5)(H) of the Social Security Act, and is homeless or is at risk of becoming homeless.

Regular HCV Funding

Regular HCV funding may be used to assist any eligible family on the waiting list. Families are selected from the waiting list according to the policies provided in Section 4-III.C.

Chronically
homeless
preference

4-III.C. SELECTION METHOD

OHFA must describe the method for selecting applicant families from the waiting list, including the system of admission preferences that OHFA will use [24 CFR 982.202(d)].

Local Preferences [24 CFR 982.207; HCV p. 4-16]

OHFA is permitted to establish local preferences, and to give priority to serving families that meet those criteria. HUD specifically authorizes and places restrictions on certain types of local preferences. HUD also permits OHFA to establish other local preferences, at its discretion. Any local preferences established must be consistent with the OHFA plan and the consolidated plan, and must be based on local housing needs and priorities that can be documented by generally accepted data sources.

OHFA offers the following local preferences:

OHFA will offer a preference to any family that has been terminated from its HCV program due to insufficient program funding. Disability Preference: This preference is extended to disabled persons or families with a disabled member as defined in this plan.

Proof of disability will be required at the time of placement on the waiting list. A disabled person must meet one of the following definitions:

42 U.S.C. Section 423 (d)(1) defines disability as (A) inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. A disabled person whose physical impairment is expected to be long, continued and of indefinite duration and substantially impedes his or her ability to live independently, and is of such a nature that such a disability could be improved by more suitable housing; or (B) in the case of an individual who has attained the age of 55 and is blind (within the meaning of “blindness” as defined in section 416(i)(1) of this title), inability by reason of such blindness to engage in substantial gainful activity requiring skills or abilities comparable to those of any gainful activity in which he has previously engaged with some regularity and over a substantial period of time; or

Is determined to have a development disability as defined in the Developmental Disabilities Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 15001 section 102).

The disabled person definition does not exclude persons who have the disease of AIDS or any conditions arising from the etiologic agent for the AIDS.

The disabled person definition does not include a person whose disability is based solely on any drug or alcohol dependence (for eligibility purposes).

Chronically
homeless
preference

Homeless Preference: A homeless family is defined as:

Lacking a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence; AND has a primary nighttime residence that is a supervised public or private shelter providing temporary accommodations or a public or private place not ordinarily used as a sleeping accommodation for human beings; OR

An individual or family who has been displaced due to a major disaster declared by the President of the United States AND receives temporary federal housing assistance within the state of Oklahoma AND has a valid personal federal disaster identification number issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

An individual or family residing with friends or relatives on a temporary basis is not eligible for the homeless preference unless the family has been displaced due to a major

disaster declared by the President of the United States AND has established residency within the state of Oklahoma (employment, school enrollment, etc.) AND has a valid personal federal disaster identification number issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

Youth aging out of foster care referred to OHFA by the Oklahoma Department of Human Services (OKDHS).

Victims of human trafficking may be included in the homeless definition that includes both sex and labor trafficking, as outlined in the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (TVPA), as amended (22 U.S.C. § 7102). These are defined as:

Sex trafficking means the recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, obtaining, patronizing, or soliciting of a person for the purpose of a commercial sex act, in which the commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age; (and)

Labor trafficking means the recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services, through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery.

Homeless Verification:

To verify homeless eligibility, the homeless applicant must provide one of the following:

A referral from the shelter that the applicant is residing at; or

If the shelter is full, a statement from each local shelter in the county verifying that the shelters are unable to accommodate the applicant; or

If the county does not have a shelter, a statement from DHS that the applicant is homeless and there are not any shelters in that county; or

A referral from a Continuum of Care (CoC) entity certifying that a person is living on the street and/or other places not meant for human habitation; or

An established domestic violence victim services provider referral certifying that the individual or family is fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or human trafficking.

Victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking who are displaced as a result of fleeing violence in the home will be included in the homeless definition if the following conditions are met [Notice PIH 2013-15]:

Has no other residence [Notice PIH 2013-15]; and

Lacks the resources or support networks, e.g., family, friends, and faith-based or other social networks, to obtain other permanent housing [Notice PIH 2013-15]; and

The actual or threatened violence occurred within the past 90 days or be of a continuing nature; and

If victim of domestic violence, the actual or threatened physical violence is directed against the applicant or the applicant's family by a spouse or other household member who lives in the unit with the family. The abuser must still reside in the unit from which the victim was displaced. The applicant must certify that the abuser will not reside with the applicant.

If the abuser returns to the family without approval of OHFA, OHFA will deny or terminate assistance for breach of the certification.

OHFA may approve the return of the abuser to the household if a counselor, therapist, or other appropriate professional recommends, in writing, that the individual be allowed to reside with the family.

At the family's request, OHFA will take precautions to ensure that the new location of the family is concealed in cases of domestic abuse.

The homeless preference does not apply to any individual imprisoned.

An applicant who lives in a violent neighborhood or is fearful of other violence outside the household will not be included in the domestic violence definition.

SPECIAL PURPOSE VOUCHERS

Oklahoma Health Care Authority: OHFA shall commit up to 50 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) per calendar year for the Oklahoma Health Care Authority's (OHCA) Living Choice program to provide rental assistance to persons with disabilities (must meet OHFA's definition of disabled) who are transitioning from a nursing home or assisted living facility. Vouchers not utilized in a calendar year will not carry forward to the next calendar year. Commitment of these

vouchers shall be contingent upon available funding and an adequate supply of available vouchers (may not exceed OHFA's authorized baseline for the HCV program).

Families referred by Oklahoma Health Care Authority must meet OHFA's eligibility requirements for the HCV program in order to receive assistance.

Families referred by the Oklahoma Health Care Authority will be required to complete an application for the HCV program. Eligible families will be placed on the HCV waiting list in date order and given a unique identification number that will allow OHFA to track each family's progression through the program. When OHFA's waiting list is closed, OHFA may continue to accept referrals from the Oklahoma Health Care Authority until the 50 vouchers reserved for the calendar year have been utilized.

Oklahoma Homeless Alliance: OHFA shall commit up to 10 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) per month (maximum of 120 HCVs per calendar year) to provide rental assistance to chronically homeless families referred by the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance who, at a minimum, meet OHFA's definition of homeless. Vouchers not utilized in a calendar year will not carry forward to the next calendar year. Commitment of these vouchers shall be contingent upon available funding and an adequate supply of available vouchers (may not exceed OHFA's authorized baseline for the HCV program).

Chronically
homeless
preference

Families referred by the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance must meet OHFA's eligibility requirements for the HCV program in order to receive assistance.

Families referred by the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance will be required to complete an application for the HCV program. Eligible families will be placed on the HCV waiting list in date order and given a unique identification number that will allow OHFA to track each family's progression through the program. When OHFA's waiting list is closed, OHFA may continue to accept referrals from the Oklahoma Homeless Alliance until the 120 vouchers reserved for the calendar year have been utilized.

An applicant will not be granted a local preference if any member of the family has been evicted from housing assisted under a 1937 Housing Act Program during the past three years.

If an applicant makes a false statement in order to qualify for a local preference, OHFA will deny the family admission to the program.

A family who has been denied assistance or terminated from the program due to a program violation may not apply for preference status for a period of three years from the date of denial or termination.

Youth Aging out of Foster Care: OHFA shall commit up to 50 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) per calendar year to provide rental assistance to youth aging out of foster care in an effort to prevent youth from aging out of foster care and into homelessness. Youth must meet OHFA's and the Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) eligibility requirements for participation in the HCV program. OHFA will only accept referrals from the Oklahoma Department of Human Services (OKDHS).

HCVs not utilized in a calendar year will not carry forward to the next calendar year.

Commitment of HCVs will be contingent upon available funding and an adequate supply of available rental vouchers, which may not exceed OHFA's total authorized baseline for the HCV program as established annually by HUD.

OHFA may continue to accept referrals from OKDHS when OHFA's waiting list for the HCV program is closed to all other applicants until the 50 HCVs reserved for the calendar year have been utilized.

Mental Health Association Oklahoma: OHFA shall commit up to 10 Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) per month (maximum of 120 HCVs per calendar year) to provide rental assistance to chronically homeless families referred by the Mental Health Association Oklahoma who, at a minimum, meet OHFA's definition of homeless. Vouchers not utilized in a calendar year will not carry forward to the next calendar year. Commitment of these vouchers shall be contingent upon available funding and an adequate supply of available vouchers (may not exceed OHFA's authorized baseline for the HCV program).

Chronically
homeless
preference

Families referred by the Mental Health Association Oklahoma must meet OHFA's eligibility requirements for the HCV program in order to receive assistance.

Families referred by the Mental Health Association Oklahoma will be required to complete an application for the HCV program. Eligible families will be placed on the HCV waiting list in date order and given a unique identification number that will allow OHFA to track each family's progression through the program. When OHFA's waiting list is closed, OHFA may continue to accept referrals from the Mental Health Association Oklahoma until the 120 vouchers reserved for the calendar year have been utilized.

SPECIAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE

Richard S. Lillard Emergency Housing Assistance Fund: OHFA shall commit \$500,000 per fiscal year to be available for an Oklahoma disaster receiving a federal declaration and/or a disaster declared a state of emergency by the Governor of Oklahoma where a minimum of 25

families are impacted. No additional funds will be available within a fiscal year after the \$500,000 has been exhausted.

Funds may only be used in Oklahoma.

Funds will only be disbursed in the fiscal year in which the disaster occurred.

Funds may be applied for up to 60 days after the disaster.

An eligible family may receive up to \$1,500 per fiscal year for assistance with paying one-month's rent, security (rent) deposit, utility deposit(s), and/or hotel accommodations.

Funds will be disbursed directly to the housing or utility provider. Funds may not be "banked" for later use.

Disbursed funds are considered a grant and will not be required to be repaid to OHFA unless funds were disbursed as a result of a fraudulent claim.

Income Targeting Requirement [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)]

HUD requires that extremely low-income (ELI) families make up at least 75 percent of the families admitted to the HCV program during OHFA's fiscal year. ELI families are those with annual incomes at or below the federal poverty level or 30 percent of the area median income, whichever number is higher. To ensure this requirement is met, OHFA may skip non-ELI families on the waiting list in order to select an ELI family.

Low-income families admitted to the program that are "continuously assisted" under the 1937 Housing Act [24 CFR 982.4(b)], as well as low-income or moderate-income families admitted to the program that are displaced as a result of the prepayment of the mortgage or voluntary termination of an insurance contract on eligible low-income housing, are not counted for income targeting purposes [24 CFR 982.201(b)(2)(v)].

OHFA will monitor progress in meeting the ELI requirement throughout the fiscal year. Extremely low-income families will be selected ahead of other eligible families on an as-needed basis to ensure the income-targeting requirement is met.

Order of Selection

OHFA's system of preferences may select families either according to the date and time of application, or by a random selection process [24 CFR 982.207(c)]. When selecting families from the waiting list, OHFA is required to use targeted funding to assist only those families who meet the specified criteria, and OHFA is not permitted to skip down the waiting list to a family

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
PHA Moving On Preference
(Question 1C-7)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
ATTACHMENT IS N/A NO PHA MOVING ON PREFERENCE	1

OK-501 Tulsa City and County CoC

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Lived Experience Support Letter
(Question 1D-10a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
Letter Signed by A Way Home for Tulsa Participant Advisory Group Chair	2 - 3
Participant Advisory Group Vote Roster	4
CoC OK-501 Tulsa City and County Leadership Council Roster	5



A Way Home for Tulsa
P.O. Box 4628
Tulsa, OK 74159
WWW.AWH4T.ORG

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

October 22, 2024

Re: Participant Advisory Group Support for the FY24 Continuum of Care (CoC) Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Collaborative Application

To Whom it May Concern:

We, the members of the A Way Home for Tulsa (AWH4T) Participant Advisory Group (PAG), are writing to demonstrate support of OK-501 Tulsa City and County Continuum of Care's (CoC) priorities for serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs and to confirm the information provided in response to NOFO Section V.B.1.q in the FY24 CoC NOFO Collaborative Application submitted on behalf of the OK-501 Tulsa CoC.

The CoC has included people with lived experience of homelessness in the CoC's decision making process. These actions include:

- Outreach efforts focus on engaging those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision-making processes across the CoC.
- Provide professional development (internships, continuing education, skill-based training) and employment opportunities to people experiencing homelessness, either directly or through member organizations.
- Participation on the CoC Board (Leadership Council), Task Groups, and work groups, and contribute to decision-making efforts aimed at addressing homelessness.
- Play a key role in the development or revision of local competition rating factors and the coordinated entry process of the CoC.
- Gather feedback regularly from people experiencing homelessness and past recipients of CoC or ESG assistance to refine services and address any challenges highlighted.

Signed,

Lacreshia Jackson

Lacreshia Jackson (Oct 23, 2024 12:27 CDT)

Lacreshia Jackson, Chair
Participant Advisory Group
A Way Home for Tulsa






PAG_FY24 NOFO

Final Audit Report

2024-10-23

Created:	2024-10-23
By:	Erin Velez (evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAghJFR4gybRJETp9-DBSixDrQT3RgkGEe

"PAG_FY24 NOFO" History

-  Document created by Erin Velez (evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org)
2024-10-23 - 5:22:38 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Lacreshia Jackson (ljackson@housingsolutionstulsa.org) for signature
2024-10-23 - 5:22:40 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Lacreshia Jackson (ljackson@housingsolutionstulsa.org)
2024-10-23 - 5:27:16 PM GMT
-  Document e-signed by Lacreshia Jackson (ljackson@housingsolutionstulsa.org)
Signature Date: 2024-10-23 - 5:27:47 PM GMT - Time Source: server
-  Agreement completed.
2024-10-23 - 5:27:47 PM GMT

Participant Advisory Group Virtual Vote Roster - PAG Support Letter for FY24 CoC Competition

Id	Start time	Completion time	Email	Do you approve the support letter?	What is your name?
1	10/20/2024 15:53	10/20/2024 15:53	anonymous	Yes	Benny N.
2	10/20/2024 23:35	10/20/2024 23:35	anonymous	Yes	Lacreshia J.
3	10/21/2024 10:07	10/21/2024 10:07	anonymous	Yes	sharelle w.
4	10/21/2024 11:29	10/21/2024 11:29	anonymous	Yes	Susan M.
5	10/22/2024 23:22	10/22/2024 23:24	anonymous	Yes	Nedia V.
6	10/25/2024 8:49	10/25/2024 8:49	anonymous	Yes	Sogourney J.

At least 3 members



LC Attendance

	Name	Representing	Category	Term
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ginny Hensley, Chair	Tulsa Housing Authority	Fixed Position, Appointed	03/2024 - 02/2026
<input type="checkbox"/>	Travis Hulse	City of Tulsa	Fixed Position, Appointed	08/2022 - 07/2026
<input type="checkbox"/>	James Rea	Tulsa County	Fixed Position, Appointed	09/2022 - 11/2024
<input type="checkbox"/>	Noe Rodriguez	Tulsa Day Center	Provider Representative, Elected	02/2024-01/2026
<input type="checkbox"/>	Claudia Brierre	INCOG	Fixed Position, Appointed	03/2024 - 02/2026
<input type="checkbox"/>	Rhonda Clemons	Cherokee Nation	Tribal Representative, Appointed	05/2023 - 04/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>		Muscogee (Creek) Nation	Tribal Representative, Appointed	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sarah Grounds	City Lights Foundation	Provider Representative, Elected	010/2023 - 09/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>	Beth Svetlic	Youth Services of Tulsa	Provider Representative, Elected	04/2023 – 3/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>	Lacreshia Jackson	Participant Advisory Group	Consumer Representative, Elected	1/2024-12/2024
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vacant	Youth Advisory Board	Consumer Representative, Elected	1/2024-12/2024
<input type="checkbox"/>	Lauren Sherry	QuikTrip Corporation	Business/Commerce Representative, Invited	04/2023 - 03/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>	Elizabeth Hall	Burnstein Family Foundation	Funder Representative, Invited	04/2023 - 03/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>	Jesse Guardiola	Tulsa Area United Way	At-Large Representative, Invited	10/2023 - 09/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>			At-Large Representative, Invited	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Stephanie Horten	JusticeLink	At-Large Representative, Invited	10/2023 - 09/2025
<input type="checkbox"/>	Richard Alexander	Tulsa Police Department	At-Large Representative, Invited	05/2024 - 04/2026

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Housing First Evaluation
(Question 1D-2a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
Housing First Standards Assessment Tool for Mental Health Association Oklahoma	2-7

Review: AWH4T Housing First Assessment Tool

Respondent

2

Anonymous

04:35
Time to complete

48/48
Points

Provider Information

1. Provider Legal Name *

Score / 0 pts

Mental Health Association Oklahoma

2. Acronym (if applicable)

Score / 0 pts

MHAOK

3. Your Name *

Score / 0 pts

Susan Scott

4. Your Email *

Score / 0 pts

susan.scott@mhaok.org

5. Project Name *

Score / 0 pts

LTS Tulsa Expansion

6. Which best describes this project? *

Score / 0 pts

- Street Outreach
- Emergency Shelter
- Transitional Housing
- Joint Transitional Housing & Rapid Rehousing
- Rapid Rehousing
- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Supportive Services: Coordinated Entry
- Supportive Services: Drop-In
- Supportive Services: Diversion

7. Are your services targeted to any of the following populations specifically? *

Score / 0 pts

- People in Recovery
- Domestic Violence Survivors
- Youth/Young Adults
- This project does not target a specific population.

8. Name of CEO/Executive Director *

Score / 0 pts

Carrie Blumert

9. Email Address of CEO/Executive Director *

Score / 0 pts

cblumert@mhaok.org

Projects are low-barrier

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

10. Admission to projects is not contingent on pre-requisites such as abstinence of substances, minimum income requirements, health or mental health history, medication adherence, age, criminal justice history, financial history, completion of treatment, participation in services, "housing readiness," history or occurrence of victimization, survivor of sexual assault or an affiliated person of such a survivor or other unnecessary conditions unless required by law or funding source. *

	Not at All	Sometimes	Always
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Access regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, or marital status

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

11. Equal access is provided in accordance with the 2012 and 2016 Equal Access Rules, meaning that any project funded by HUD must ensure equal access for persons regardless of one's sexual orientation or marital status, and in accordance with one's gender identity. Adult only households, regardless of marital status, should have equal access to projects (if these project types are not available within a CoC, the CoC should conduct an assessment to determine if these project types are needed and work with providers to accommodate the need). *

Please see Equal Access Rules here: <https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/1991/equal-access-to-housing-final-rule/>

	Not at All	Sometimes	Always
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Admission process is expedited with speed and efficiency

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

12. Projects have expedited admission processes, to the greatest extent possible, including helping participants obtain documentation required by funding sources, as well as processes to admit participants regardless of the status of their eligibility documentation whenever applicable. *

	Not at All	Sometimes	Alwyas
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do it	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Intake processes are person-centered and flexible

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

13. Intake and assessment procedures are focused on the individual's or family's strengths, needs, and preferences. Projects do not require specific appointment times, but have flexible intake schedules that ensure access to all households. Assessments are focused on identifying household strengths, resources, as well as identifying barriers to housing that can inform the basis of a housing plan as soon as a person is enrolled in the project. *

	Not at All	Sometimes	Always
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

The provider/project accepts and makes referrals directly through Coordinated Entry

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

14. Projects actively participate in the CoC-designated Coordinated Entry processes as part of streamlined community-wide system access and triage. If these processes are not yet implemented, projects follow communities' existing referral processes. Referrals from Coordinated Entry are rarely rejected, and only if there is a history of violence, the participant does not want to be in the project, there are legally valid grounds (such as restrictions regarding sex offenders) or some other exceptional circumstance that is well documented. *

	Not at All	Sometimes	Always
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Exits to homelessness are avoided

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

15. Projects that can no longer serve particular households utilize the coordinated entry process, or the communities' existing referral processes if coordinated entry processes are not yet implemented, to ensure that those individuals and families have access to other housing and services as desired, and do not become disconnected from services and housing. Households encounter these exits under certain circumstances, such as if they demonstrate violent or harassing behaviors, which are described within agencies' regulation-adherent policies. *

	Not at All	Sometimes	Always
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Participant education is ongoing

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

✓ **Correct** 6/6 Points

6 / 6 pts

16. Project participants receive ongoing education on Housing First principles as well as other service models employed in the project. In the beginning of and throughout tenancy, participants are informed about their full rights and responsibilities as lease holders, including the potential causes for eviction. *

	Not at All	Sometimes	Always
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Projects create regular, formal opportunities for participants to offer input

For each standard, please select "Not at all" or "Sometimes" or "Always". Marking "Always" signifies full compliance for the standard.

✓ **Correct** 6/6 Points

6 / 6 pts

17. Input is welcomed regarding the project's policies, processes, procedures, and practices. Opportunities include involvement in: quality assurance and evaluation processes, a participant leadership/advisory board, processes to formally communicate with landlords, the design of and participation in surveys and focus groups, planning social gatherings, integrating peer specialists and peer-facilitated support groups to compliment professional services. *

	Not at All	Sometimes	Always
Say It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Document It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Do It	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Local Competition Scoring Tool
(Question 1E-2)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
Local Competition Scoring Tool for Applications – Combined Project Types	2 - 12
Local Competition Scoring Guidance for Reviewers	13 - 19

A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool NOFO 2024



Organization Name: _____
 Project Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance Measures

Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 RRH (General) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days			out of 2 points
2 RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days			out of 2 points
3 PSH (General) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days			out of 2 points
4 PSH (General) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	365 days			out of 1 points
5 PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days			out of 2 points
6 TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	180 days			out of 2 points
7 TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	180 days			out of 2 points
8 TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	180 days			out of 1 points
9 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days			out of 1 points
10 TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	180 days			out of 1 points
11 TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days			out of 1 points

Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %			out of 20 points

2	RRH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %			out of 15 points
3	RRH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %			out of 10 points
4	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	85 %			out of 20 points
5	RRH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	75-84 %			out of 15 points
6	RRH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	65-74 %			out of 10 points
7	PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %			out of 20 points
8	PSH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %			out of 15 points
9	PSH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %			out of 10 points
10	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	85 %			out of 20 points
11	PSH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	75-84 %			out of 15 points
12	PSH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	65-74 %			out of 10 points
13	TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %			out of 20 points
14	TH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %			out of 15 points
15	TH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %			out of 10 points
16	TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	85 %			out of 20 points
17	TH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	75-84 %			out of 15 points
18	TH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	65-74 %			out of 10 points
19	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %			out of 20 points
20	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %			out of 15 points
21	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %			out of 10 points
22	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	85 %			out of 20 points
23	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	75-84 %			out of 15 points
24	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	65-74 %			out of 10 points

Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)		Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1	RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %			out of 6 points
2	RRH (General) - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %			out of 3 points
3	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %			out of 6 points

4	PSH (General) - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %			out of 3 points
5	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %			out of 6 points
6	TH (General) - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %			out of 3 points
7	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %			out of 6 points
8	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %			out of 3 points

New or Increased Income and Earned Income

		Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 2 points
2	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 3 points
3	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 2 points
4	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 3 points
5	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 2 points
6	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 3 points
7	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 2 points
8	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 3 points

9	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
10	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
11	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	12 %			out of 2 points
12	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	12 %			out of 2 points
13	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
14	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
15	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
16	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points

17	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 2 points
18	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 3 points
19	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 2 points

20	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 3 points
21	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 2 points
22	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 3 points
23	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 2 points
24	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 3 points
25	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 2 points
26	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 3 points
27	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	12 %			out of 2 points
28	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	12 %			out of 3 points
29	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 2 points
30	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 3 points
31	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 2 points
32	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 3 points
Serve High Needs Populations		Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
2	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %			out of 1 points
3	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
4	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 %			out of 1 points
5	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %			out of 1 points
6	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	40 %			out of 1 points
7	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %			out of 1 points
8	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 %			out of 1 points
9	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
10	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %			out of 1 points
11	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points

12	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 %			out of 1 points
13	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
14	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %			out of 1 points
15	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
16	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 %			out of 1 points

Project Effectiveness

		Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 5 points
2	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 6 points
3	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 5 points
4	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 6 points
5	TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 5 points
6	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 6 points
7	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 5 points
8	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 6 points
9	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 10 points
10	RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 12 points
11	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 10 points
12	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 13 points
13	TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 10 points
14	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 12 points

15	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of <u>10</u> points
16	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of <u>12</u> points
Performance Measures Subtotal					out of <u>54</u> points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value	
1	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes		out of <u>2</u> points	
2	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes		out of <u>2</u> points	
3	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes		out of <u>5</u> points	
4	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes		out of <u>5</u> points	
Equity Factors Subtotal					out of <u>14</u> points

Program Participant Outcomes

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value	
1	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes		out of <u>8</u> points	
2	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes		out of <u>8</u> points	
3	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes		out of <u>8</u> points	
Program Participant Outcomes Subtotal					out of <u>24</u> points

Other and Local Criteria

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes		out of <u>8</u> points

Other and Local Criteria Subtotal

out of 8 points

Total Maximum Score

RRH-General projects:	out of	100	points
RRH-DV projects:	out of	100	points
PSH-General projects:	out of	100	points
PSH-DV projects:	out of	100	points
TH-General projects:	out of	100	points
TH-DV projects:	out of	100	points
TH+RRH-General projects:	out of	100	points
TH+RRH-DV projects:	out of	100	points

Project Financial Information

CoC funding requested _____

Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) _____

Amount of private funding _____

TOTAL PROJECT COST _____

CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year _____

CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year _____

Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year _____

NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Experience

- General-A.** Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.
- DV-A.** Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.

Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
Yes			out of 4 points
Yes			out of 4 points

General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include

- 1) eligibility criteria;
- 2) process for accepting new clients;
- 3) process and criteria for exiting clients.

3 Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance use, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.

Yes

--

--

out of 2 points

DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include

- 1) eligibility criteria;
- 2) process for accepting new clients;
- 3) process and criteria for exiting clients.

4 Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance use, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.

Yes

--

--

out of 2 points

General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public

5 funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.

Yes

--

--

out of 2 points

DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding,

6 including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.

Yes

--

--

out of 2 points

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

General-A. Extent to which the applicant

- 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.
- 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served.
- 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served.
- 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits.
- 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.

Goal

Performance

Points Awarded

Max Point Value

--

--

Yes

out of 4 points

- DV-A.** Extent to which the applicant
 - 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.
 - 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served.
 - 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served.
 - 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits.
 - 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.
- General-B.** Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.
- DV-B.** Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.
- General-C.** Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.
- DV-C.** Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.
- General-D.** Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.
- DV-D.** Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.
- General-E.** Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.
- DV-E.** Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.

Yes			out of <u>4</u> points
Yes			out of <u>4</u> points
Yes			out of <u>4</u> points
Yes			out of <u>2</u> points
Yes			out of <u>2</u> points
Yes			out of <u>2</u> points
Yes			out of <u>2</u> points
Yes			out of <u>2</u> points

Timeliness

- General-A.** Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.
- DV-A.** Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.

Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
Yes			out of <u>4</u> points
Yes			out of <u>4</u> points

Financial

- General-A.** Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.
- DV-A.** Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.
- General-1.** Found no exceptions to standard practices

Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
Yes			out of <u>2</u> points
Yes			out of <u>2</u> points
Yes			out of <u>4</u> points

4 DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices

Yes out of 4 points

5 General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'

Yes out of 4 points

6 DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'

Yes out of 4 points

7 General-3. Indicates no findings

Yes out of 4 points

8 DV-3. Indicates no findings

Yes out of 4 points

9 General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.

Yes out of 2 points

10 DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.

Yes out of 2 points

11 General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.

Yes out of 12 points

12 DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.

Yes out of 12 points

Project Effectiveness

1 General- Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals

Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
100 %			out of 4 points

2 DV- Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals

100 %			out of 4 points
-------	--	--	-----------------

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

1 New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions

Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
Yes			out of 6 points

2 New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))

Yes			out of 6 points
-----	--	--	-----------------

3 New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one

Yes			out of 6 points
-----	--	--	-----------------

4 New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes

Yes			out of 6 points
-----	--	--	-----------------

Program Participant Outcomes

Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
------	-------------	----------------	-----------------

- 1 New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review
- 2 New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review
- 3 New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review

Yes

out of 6 points

Yes

out of 6 points

Yes

out of 6 points

Total Maximum Score

General projects: 100 points

DV projects: 100 points

Project Financial Information

CoC funding requested _____
 Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) _____
 Amount of private funding _____
TOTAL PROJECT COST _____

A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool
NOFO 2024



RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance Measures

Project Effectiveness		Goal	Max Point Value	Logic
1	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	5 points	Housing Solutions D&A Department Confirmation
2	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	6 points	
3	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	5 points	
4	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	6 points	
5	TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	5 points	
6	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	6 points	
7	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	5 points	
8	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	6 points	
9	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points	Housing First Assessment
10	RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	12 points	
11	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points	
12	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	13 points	
13	TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points	
14	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	12 points	
15	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points	
16	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	12 points	
Equity Factors				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
1	Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	2 points	Does the organization employ in leadership roles (organization or program management, etc.) members of under-represented communities (BIPOC, 2SLGBTQ+, etc.)? Yes/No responses

2	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	2	points	Does the organization board of directors include at least 2 individuals with lived experience?	Yes/No responses
3	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	5	points	Does the organization have a relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness?	Yes/No responses
4	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	5	points	Has the organization reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and developed a plan for implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers?	Yes/No responses

Program Participant Outcomes

	Goal		Max Point Value		Logic	
1	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	8	points	[Renewal Projects Only] Have you reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations?	Yes/No responses
2	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	8	points	[Renewal Projects Only] Describe any programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes.	Applicant has: (1) identified issues/opportunity areas for improvement regarding equitable program outcomes; and (2) described a plan to address the issue in project implementation. If they provided no answer, award no points; if they provided 1 answer but not both, award 4 points; and if they provided both, award full 8 points.
3	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	8	points	Does/will the project participate in regular collaboration with the Collaborative Applicant to review project HMIS data and outcomes with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations?	Yes/No responses

Other and Local Criteria

	Goal		Max Point Value		Logic	
1	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	8	points	(1) Is the organization a member of A Way Home for Tulsa? / If no, does the organization commit to joining A Way Home for Tulsa, upon consideration and approval of the Leadership Council? (2) Does your organization agree to implement the A Way Home for Tulsa Service Standards for all CoC-funded projects? (3) Is a member of the organization regularly attending A Way Home for Tulsa meetings? (4) Does the organization currently participate in the Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS) or, if a Victim Service Provider (VSP), an HMIS-comparable database? / If no, does the applicant agree to participate in the Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS) or, if a Victim Service Provider (VSP), an HMIS-comparable database? (5) The project organization/sponsor has policies that are compliant with HUD CoC Program requirements	(1) 1 pt value: Yes/No responses (2) 2 pt value: Yes/No responses (3) 1 pt value: Yes/No responses (4) 1 pt value: Yes/No responses (5) 3 pt value: If none of the policies are selected, award 0 points; if 1-6 are selected, award 2 points; if all are selected, award 3 points.

NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Experience	Goal	Max Point Value	Logic
<p>General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.</p> <p>DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.</p>	Yes	4 points	<p>Citing local, state, and/or federal data, organization insight, project data, or other relevant sources, briefly describe the needs of the population(s) the project is intended to serve.</p> <p>If they provided no answer, award no points; if they provided partial response, award 2 points; if they provided complete response that addresses question, award full 4 points</p>
<p>General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> eligibility criteria; process for accepting new clients; process and criteria for exiting clients. <p>Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance use, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression.</p> <p>Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.</p> <p>DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> eligibility criteria; process for accepting new clients; process and criteria for exiting clients. <p>Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance use, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression.</p> <p>Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.</p>	Yes	2 points	
<p>General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.</p> <p>DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.</p>	Yes	2 points	<p>Has the organization successfully administered at least one other government grant or other major grant of this size and complexity, within or without the CoC or homelessness services?</p> <p>Has the applicant/sponsor had a financial audit?</p> <p>Yes/No responses (1 point each)</p>
<p>General-A. Extent to which the applicant</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 	Yes	4 points	

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

General-A. Extent to which the applicant

- Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.
- Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served.
- Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served.
- Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits.
- Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.

Goal

Max Point Value

Yes

4 points

Logic

(1) Describe the housing and supportive services provided to participants by program staff and subrecipients/subcontractors, if applicable. Include how participants will be assisted in obtaining and maintaining mainstream benefits and income (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, earned income, etc.) and which staff will be responsible for this assistance. If the organization utilizes the skills of a SOAR specialist or other SOAR-certified staff, indicate what role they have in the project.

(1) 1 pt if description addresses question;
(2) 1 pt if response addresses question;

<p>DV-A. Extent to which the applicant</p> <p>1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served.</p> <p>2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served.</p> <p>3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served.</p> <p>4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits.</p> <p>5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>4 points</p>	<p>(2) How will the services equitably meet the needs of the identified population? Include insight from project population data and any programmatic structures/changes that have been or will be implemented to make participant outcomes more equitable.</p> <p>(3) Identify 3 performance measures (objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD/CoC benchmarks, see NOFO III.C., NOFO V.B., and Scoring Tool) that the project will utilize to measure success</p>	<p>(3) 2 pts if 3 performance measures present; 1 pt if 1-2 performance measures present</p>
<p>General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>4 points</p>	<p>(1) Describe the housing and supportive services provided to participants by program staff and subrecipients/subcontractors, if applicable. Include how participants will be assisted in obtaining and maintaining mainstream benefits and income (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, earned income, etc.) and which staff will be responsible for this assistance. If the organization utilizes the skills of a SOAR specialist or other SOAR-certified staff, indicate what role they have in the project.</p> <p>(2) How does the project improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or human trafficking, including the use of victim-centered practices and offering Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) housing protections? If the applicant is not a Victim Service Provider (VSP), include the internal staff positions responsible for coordinating with property managers to ensure all VAWA Housing Rights are followed during a VAWA Emergency Transfer.</p> <p>(3) How does the project ensure seamless access and equitable outcomes for racial/ethnic groups that are systematically marginalized, discriminated against, and often overrepresented in the population of people experiencing homelessness, such as Black, Indigenous/Native American, Hispanic/Latino individuals/families?</p> <p>(4) Describe how the project ensures all 2SLGBTQ+ participants are provided privacy, respect, safety, and access to services regardless of gender identity or sexual orientation</p>	<p>(1) 1 pt if applicant provides substantial evidence of pathway to permanent housing plan;</p> <p>(2) 1 pt if applicant describes how safety of survivors and individuals fleeing DV and human trafficking will be protected;</p> <p>(3) 1 pt if applicant includes a plan to ensure racial/ethnic equity in the provision of project services and outcomes.</p> <p>(4) 1 pt if applicant includes a plan to meet the privacy and safety needs of 2SLGBTQ+ participants so that they may access and utilize services.</p>
<p>DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>4 points</p>	<p>Describe the housing and supportive services provided to participants by program staff and subrecipients/subcontractors, if applicable. Include how participants will be assisted in obtaining and maintaining mainstream benefits and income (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, earned income, etc.) and which staff will be responsible for this assistance. If the organization utilizes the skills of a SOAR specialist or other SOAR-certified staff, indicate what role they have in the project.</p>	<p>2 pts if applicant provides outline of how program will support clients in attaining income increases</p>
<p>General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>2 points</p>	<p>Does the project leverage funding by partnering with any of the below entities? (MOU or agreement is established between entities; NOFO V.B.6.)?</p>	<p>2 pts if applicant selects "public housing authority"</p>
<p>DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>2 points</p>	<p>Does the project leverage funding by partnering with any of the below entities? (MOU or agreement is established between entities; NOFO V.B.6.)?</p>	<p>2 pts if applicant selects "healthcare system provider"</p>
<p>General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>2 points</p>		
<p>DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>2 points</p>		
<p>General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>2 points</p>		
<p>DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>2 points</p>		

Timeliness	Goal	Max Point Value	Logic
<p>1 General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>4 points</p>		<p>4 pts if each line applicable to the program is completed; 2 pts if any line applicable to the program is completed; 0 pts if chart is incomplete</p>
<p>2 DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>4 points</p>		

Financial		Goal	Max Point Value	Logic
1	General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	Yes	2 points	Attach the organization's completed project budget (using the CoC Project Budget Template; required)
2	DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	Yes	2 points	1 pt if complete budget is submitted with corresponding item descriptions; 1 pt if client to case management ratio is present
3	General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices	Yes	4 points	Does the project budget include a VAWA Eligible Costs Line Item? (This request must be approved by the Collaborative Applicant) Will the project complete (at minimum) quarterly drawdowns from eLOCCS? Project commits to serving only those households that meet HUD eligibility criteria for the selected project type as defined in I.B.3.k. of the FY24 HUD CoC NOFO?
4	DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices	Yes	4 points	Project conforms to requirements for the project type as outlined in II.B.3.c. of the FY24 HUD CoC NOFO, including participation in the local Coordinated Entry System (CES)?
5	General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	Yes	4 points	Are there any outstanding financial audit findings or concerns? Has the organization/sponsor received any HUD monitoring letters relating to any of the organization's projects or correspondence regarding any findings/concerns?
6	DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	Yes	4 points	Does applicant and/or sponsor have any outstanding delinquent federal debts? Is the applicant debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government (2 CFR 2424)?
7	General-3. Indicates no findings	Yes	4 points	Provide most recent financial audit reports/findings (required)
8	DV-3. Indicates no findings	Yes	4 points	
9	General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	Yes	2 points	Has the project secured funds to meet the minimum required 25% match? (NOFO III.A.3.; if a YHDP project with approved exemption, select yes)
10	DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	Yes	2 points	
11	General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	Yes	12 points	4 pts if costs are reasonable: (1) The cost is would be recognized as ordinary and necessary for the operation of the organization and/or project. (2) The cost is in accordance with market prices for comparable goods or services as evidenced by cost estimates and documentation. (3) The individuals responsible for incurring the cost acted with prudence and for the benefit of the organization and its activities. (4) The cost has been incurred after following the established practices of the organization, in accordance with the terms and conditions of the award; 4 pts if costs are allocable: (1) Benefits both the Federal award and other activities and can be distributed in proportions that may be approximated using reasonable methods (2) Is incurred specifically for the funded program (i.e., direct costs) (3) Proves necessary to the overall operation of the organization, even though a direct relationship to any particular program activity cannot be readily shown (i.e., indirect costs);

12 DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.

Budget Template; required)	<p>4 pts if costs are allowable: (1) Included within the description of eligible activities in the applicable HUD regulations Incurred directly or indirectly for the benefit of an eligible HUD client (2) Comply with any limitations or exclusions set forth in these principles or as specified in the HUD grant award process (and codified in the grant agreement) (3) Be treated consistently, determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and documented adequately (4) Determined to be necessary, reasonable, allocable to the HUD grant, and otherwise in conformance with the general criteria for allowable costs set forth in 2 CFR 200 Subpart E. (5) Not be included as a cost or used to meet cost sharing or matching requirements of any other federally-financed program in the current year or prior periods.</p> <p>2 pts for partial credit of the above sections</p>
Yes	12 points

Project Effectiveness

1 General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals

Goal	Max Point Value
100 %	4 points

Logic
(1) Project conforms to requirements for the project type as outlined in II.B.3.c. of the FY24 HUD CoC NOFO, including participation in the local Coordinated Entry System (CES)? (2) Describe how the project will participate in the Coordinated Entry System (CES): what are the roles and responsibilities of your project/staff in CES, what strategies will the project use to accelerate housing placements (determining eligibility for assistance, quickly accessing available housing, etc.), and how does the project ensure lower barriers to complete successful referral/enrollment?
(1) 2 pts if Yes; (2) 2 pts if applicant addressed question completely; 1 pt if applicant provided partial answer

2 DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals

100 %	4 points
-------	----------

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

1 New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions

Goal	Max Point Value
Yes	6 points

Logic	
Does the organization employ in leadership roles (organization or program management, etc.) members of under-represented communities (BIPOC, 2SLGBTQ+, etc.)?	Yes/No responses
Does the organization board of directors include at least 2 individuals with lived experience?	Yes/No responses
Does the organization have a relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness?	Yes/No responses
Has the organization reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and developed a plan for implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers?	Yes/No responses

2 New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))

Yes	6 points
-----	----------

3 New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one

Yes	6 points
-----	----------

4 New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes

Yes	6 points
-----	----------

Program Participant Outcomes

1 New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review

Goal	Max Point Value
Yes	6 points

Logic	
How will the services equitably meet the needs of the identified population? Include insight from project population data and any programmatic structures/changes that have been or will be implemented to make participant outcomes more equitable.	Yes/No responses

New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to
 2 make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review

Yes 6 points

New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for
 3 reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review

Yes 6 points

<p>(1) Describe how organization/sponsor will engage program participants in organizational and program planning, policy revision/development, and decision-making. Include the mode and frequency of feedback collection, how (and by whom) it is reviewed, and how it will be used to determine improvements; it should be noted how this process will be used to evaluate the project, specifically. Agencies are encouraged to provide relevant documents (draft focus group agendas, survey outlines, etc.; word limit: 250).</p> <p>(2) Does the organization complete at least annual reviews of project policies and procedures with an equity lens in order to ensure no undue barriers are placed on systematically marginalized populations (BIPOC, 2SLGBTQ+, etc.)?</p>	<p>(1) Applicant should include (a) a plan to collect program participant feedback (1 point); (b) how it will be used to determine improvements (1 point); and (c) when and by whom the suggested changes will be reviewed (1 point); if a, b, and c are addressed award 3 points; (2) Yes/No response</p>
<p>Does/will the project participate in regular collaboration with the Collaborative Applicant to review project HMIS data and outcomes with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations?</p>	<p>Yes/No responses</p>

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Scored Forms for One Project
(Question 1E-2a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
Tulsa Day Center PH-PSH Scattered Sites Application Score Sheet	2 - 3

**A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool
NOFO 2024**



Organization Name: Tulsa Day Center
Project Name: PSH (Scattered Sites) 2023 / Tul Day Cntr- Observation
Project Type: PH-PSH
Project Identifier: OK0051L6I012312

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance Measures

Objective Criteria

Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 PSH (General) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days	20 days	2	out of 2 points
2 PSH (General) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	365 days	322 days	0	out of 1 points

Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	94%	20	out of 20 points
2 PSH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %	n/a	n/a	out of 15 points
3 PSH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %	n/a	n/a	out of 10 points

Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	100%	0	out of 6 points
2 PSH (General) - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %	n/a	n/a	out of 3 points

New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %	8%	2	out of 2 points
2 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	12 %	42%	2	out of 2 points
3 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %	0%	0	out of 2 points
4 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	12 %	0%	0	out of 2 points

Serve High Needs Populations	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	75%	1	out of 1 points
2 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	40 %	50%	1	out of 1 points

Project Effectiveness

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100%	5	out of 5 points
2	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	out of 10 points
Performance Measures Subtotal			43	out of 54 points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value	Individual Scores		
					Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3
1	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	2.00	out of 2 points	2	2	2
2	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	2.00	out of 2 points	2	2	2
3	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	5.00	out of 5 points	5	5	5
4	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	5.00	out of 5 points	5	5	5
Equity Factors Subtotal			14.00	out of 14 points			

Program Participant Outcomes

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value			
1	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	8.00	out of 8 points	8	8	8
2	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	8.00	out of 8 points	8	8	8
3	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	8.00	out of 8 points	8	8	8
Program Participant Outcomes Subtotal			24.00	out of 24 points			

Other and Local Criteria

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value			
1	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	8.00	out of 8 points	8	8	8
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			8.00	out of 8 points			

Total Maximum Score PSH-General projects: 89.00 out of 100 points

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced
(Question 1E-5)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
Rejected FY24 CoC App – Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc. RRH for Youth (New-Expansion)	2
Reduced FY24 CoC App – The Spring Shelter TH-RRH (New)	3
Rejected FY24 CoC App – Domestic Violence Intervention Services, Inc. RRH for DV Survivors (New-Expansion)	4
Reduced FY24 CoC App – Domestic Violence Intervention Services, Inc. RRH Collab for DV Survivors (New-Expansion)	5

Re: [External]Re: FY24 CoC NOFO Application6018978216222633051 Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc.

From NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Date Fri 10/11/2024 1:55 PM

To Beth Svetlic <bsvetlic@yst.org>; dgrewe@yst.org <dgrewe@yst.org>

Cc Mark Smith <msmith@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Rhene Ritter <r Ritter@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Len Dittmeier <ldittmeier@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Olivia Denton Koopman <odentonkoopman@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; TulsaCoCNOFA <TulsaCoCNOFA@homebaseccc.org>; NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Hello YST team,

This email serves to notify you that your project application, **RRH for Youth (New-Expansion)**, has not been selected for funding through the A Way Home for Tulsa (AWH4T) FY 2024 CoC Program funding competition. The Final Ranked Priority Listing was approved by the AWH4T Leadership Council today, October 11, 2024, and is posted online [HERE](#).

Reason for Project Rejection

Though the Rank & Review Panel found your project application to be of exceptional quality and noted their appreciation of your organization as a dedicated service provider within our community, AWH4T received more requests than available funding, and members ultimately decided to dedicate the full CoC Bonus to another project in order to maximize available funds and align AWH4T with local and federal strategic goals.

The Rank & Review Panel, AWH4T, and Housing Solutions are so grateful for the time, effort, and heart that Youth Services of Tulsa brings to the work of ending homelessness in Tulsa. Thank you for submitting this application, and we look forward to supporting you in the execution of your selected renewal projects. For more information on the AWH4T CoC NOFO process and the Collaborative Application, please visit the [AWH4T Funding Opportunities Landing Page](#). As always, please don't hesitate to let us know if you have any questions.

In solidarity,



Len Dittmeier ([they/them](#))

CoC Compliance Coordinator | Housing Solutions

P.O. Box 4628 | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74159

o (918) 922.9732 (call/text)

f (918) 513.7734

housingsolutionstulsa.org



From: Len Dittmeier <ldittmeier@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Sent: Thursday, October 3, 2024 4:54 PM

To: Beth Svetlic <bsvetlic@yst.org>; dgrewe@yst.org <dgrewe@yst.org>

Cc: Mark Smith <msmith@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Rhene Ritter
OK-501 Tulsa City and County CoC

Re: FY24 CoC NOFO Application6018942029321714480 The Spring Shelter, Inc.

From NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Date Fri 10/11/2024 2:13 PM

To Mychaela Pettit <mychaela.p@thespringok.org>; Amber Ponder <amber.p@thespringok.org>; Fran Bevel <fran@franbevelfundraising.com>; Leslie Clingenpeel <leslie.c@thespringok.org>

Cc Mark Smith <msmith@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Rhene Ritter <r Ritter@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Len Dittmeier <ldittmeier@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Olivia Denton Koopman <odentonkoopman@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; TulsaCoCNOFA <TulsaCoCNOFA@homebaseccc.org>; NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Hi The Spring team,

This email serves to notify you that the Rank & Review and Appeal Panel decision to reduce the grant amount for your **TH-RRH (New)** project from your original request of \$442,800 to \$411,354 has been upheld and approved by the AWH4T Leadership Council. The Final Ranked Priority Listing was approved by the AWH4T Leadership Council today, October 11, 2024, and is posted online [HERE](#). For more information on the AWH4T CoC NOFO process and the Collaborative Application, please visit the [AWH4T Funding Opportunities Landing Page](#).

For reference, this is simply a formal notification of the finalized decision; your organization is not required to respond. As always, please don't hesitate to let us know if you have any questions.

In solidarity,



Len Dittmeier ([they/them](#))

CoC Compliance Coordinator | Housing Solutions

P.O. Box 4628 | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74159

o (918) 922.9732 (call/text)

f (918) 513.7734

housingsolutionstulsa.org



From: NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Sent: Friday, September 27, 2024 2:01 PM

To: Mychaela Pettit <mychaela.p@thespringok.org>; Amber Ponder <amber.p@thespringok.org>; Fran Bevel <fran@franbevelfundraising.com>; Leslie Clingenpeel <leslie.c@thespringok.org>

Cc: NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Mark Smith <msmith@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Rhene Ritter <r Ritter@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Len Dittmeier <ldittmeier@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Olivia Denton Koopman <odentonkoopman@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; TulsaCoCNOFA <TulsaCoCNOFA@homebaseccc.org>

Subject: Re: FY24 CoC NOFO Application6018942029321714480 The Spring Shelter, Inc.

Re: FY24 CoC NOFO Application6018984806211309709 Domestic Violence Intervention Services, Inc. (DVIS)

From NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Date Fri 10/11/2024 1:56 PM

To Elizabeth Fry <efry@dvis.org>; Grants <grants@dvis.org>; Jennifer Saldivar <jsaldivar@dvis.org>; tlyall@dvis.org <tlyall@dvis.org>; lwhorton@dvis.org <lwhorton@dvis.org>

Cc Mark Smith <msmith@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Rhene Ritter <r Ritter@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Len Dittmeier <ldittmeier@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Olivia Denton Koopman <odentonkoopman@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; TulsaCoCNOFA <TulsaCoCNOFA@homebaseccc.org>; NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Hello DVIS team,

This email serves to notify you that your project application:

- **RRH for DV Survivors (New-Expansion)**, has not been selected for funding through the A Way Home for Tulsa (AWH4T) FY 2024 CoC Program funding competition
 - **Reason for Project Rejection:** Though the Rank & Review Panel noted their appreciation of your organization as a dedicated service provider to survivors within our community, AWH4T received more requests than available funding, and members decided to dedicate the DV Bonus to other projects in order to maximize available funding and further AWH4T's strategic goals.
- **RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors (New-Expansion)** was selected and ranked but the grant amount reduced to \$334,595, in accordance with the decision of the Rank & Review panel and the outcome of the Appeal Panel consideration; this process reduced your project grant total by \$100,000 at your request, in favor of The Spring Shelter's TH-RRH (New) project.

The Rank & Review Panel, AWH4T, and Housing Solutions are so grateful for the time, effort, and heart that DVIS brings to the work of ending homelessness in Tulsa. Thank you for submitting this application, and we look forward to supporting you in the execution of your selected projects. The Final Ranked Priority Listing was approved by the AWH4T Leadership Council today, October 11, 2024, and is posted online [HERE](#). For more information on the AWH4T CoC NOFO process and the Collaborative Application, please visit the [AWH4T Funding Opportunities Landing Page](#).

As always, please don't hesitate to let us know if you have any questions.

In solidarity,



Len Dittmeier ([they/them](#))

CoC Compliance Coordinator | Housing Solutions

P.O. Box 4628 | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74159

o (918) 922.9732 (call/text)

f (918) 513.7734

housingsolutionstulsa.org



[Hear My Name](#)

Re: FY24 CoC NOFO Application6018984806211309709 Domestic Violence Intervention Services, Inc. (DVIS)

From NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Date Fri 10/11/2024 1:56 PM

To Elizabeth Fry <efry@dvis.org>; Grants <grants@dvis.org>; Jennifer Saldivar <jsaldivar@dvis.org>; tlyall@dvis.org <tlyall@dvis.org>; lwhorton@dvis.org <lwhorton@dvis.org>

Cc Mark Smith <msmith@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Rhene Ritter <r Ritter@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Len Dittmeier <ldittmeier@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Olivia Denton Koopman <odentonkoopman@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; TulsaCoCNOFA <TulsaCoCNOFA@homebaseccc.org>; NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Hello DVIS team,

This email serves to notify you that your project application:

- **RRH for DV Survivors (New-Expansion)**, has not been selected for funding through the A Way Home for Tulsa (AWH4T) FY 2024 CoC Program funding competition
 - **Reason for Project Rejection:** Though the Rank & Review Panel noted their appreciation of your organization as a dedicated service provider to survivors within our community, AWH4T received more requests than available funding, and members decided to dedicate the DV Bonus to other projects in order to maximize available funding and further AWH4T's strategic goals.
- **RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors (New-Expansion)** was selected and ranked but the grant amount reduced to \$334,595, in accordance with the decision of the Rank & Review panel and the outcome of the Appeal Panel consideration; this process reduced your project grant total by \$100,000 at your request, in favor of The Spring Shelter's TH-RRH (New) project.

The Rank & Review Panel, AWH4T, and Housing Solutions are so grateful for the time, effort, and heart that DVIS brings to the work of ending homelessness in Tulsa. Thank you for submitting this application, and we look forward to supporting you in the execution of your selected projects. The Final Ranked Priority Listing was approved by the AWH4T Leadership Council today, October 11, 2024, and is posted online [HERE](#). For more information on the AWH4T CoC NOFO process and the Collaborative Application, please visit the [AWH4T Funding Opportunities Landing Page](#).

As always, please don't hesitate to let us know if you have any questions.

In solidarity,



Len Dittmeier ([they/them](#))

CoC Compliance Coordinator | Housing Solutions

P.O. Box 4628 | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74159

o (918) 922.9732 (call/text)

f (918) 513.7734

housingsolutionstulsa.org



FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Notification of Projects Accepted
(Question 1E-5a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
FY24 CoC NOFO Priority Listing Approved Email to All Accepted Applicants	2 - 3

2024 AWH4T CoC NOFO Priority Listing: Approval

From NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Date Fri 10/11/2024 1:54 PM

To Pam Richardson <prichardson@voaok.org>; jbieterman@voaok.org <jbieterman@voaok.org>; susan.scott@mhaok.org <susan.scott@mhaok.org>; mdavis@mhaok.org <mdavis@mhaok.org>; Mack Haltom <mhaltom@tulsadaycenter.org>; Phyllis Danley <pdanley@tulsadaycenter.org>; Leslie Clingenpeel <leslie.c@thespringok.org>; Mychaela Pettit <mychaela.p@thespringok.org>; dgrew@yst.org <dgrew@yst.org>; Beth Svetlic <bsvetlic@yst.org>; Grants <grants@dvis.org>; Jennifer Saldivar <jsaldivar@dvis.org>; Laura Latta <llatta@tulsahighered.com>; jjones@tulsahighered.com <jjones@tulsahighered.com>; Dana Schuler Drummond <dana@sharpshootercommunications.com>; jbrown@victory.com <jbrown@victory.com>; Mark Smith <msmith@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; Rhene Ritter <r Ritter@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Cc NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>; TulsaCoCNOFA <TulsaCoCNOFA@homebaseccc.org>

Hello AWH4T 2024 CoC NOFO Applicants!

This email serves to notify you that your organization has one or more projects which have been selected and approved for funding through the A Way Home for Tulsa (AWH4T) FY 2024 CoC Program funding competition. These approved projects have been ranked on the Final Ranked Priority Listing; YHDP and CoC Planning projects were approved but not ranked, per HUD guidelines. This Priority Listing was approved by the AWH4T Leadership Council today, October 11, 2024, and is posted online [HERE](#).

For more information on the AWH4T CoC NOFO process and the Collaborative Application, please visit the [AWH4T Funding Opportunities Landing Page](#). Please let us know if you have any questions!

In solidarity,



Len Dittmeier ([they/them](#))

CoC Compliance Coordinator | Housing Solutions

P.O. Box 4628 | Tulsa, Oklahoma 74159

o (918) 922.9732 (call/text)

f (918) 513.7734

housingsolutionstulsa.org



Disclaimer: The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify your representative immediately and delete this message from your computer. Thank you.

Tulsa City & County Continuum of Care
2024 Continuum of Care Final Priority Listing
 Approved October 11, 2024

Annual Renewal Demand \$6,991,672
 YHDP Renewal Funding Available \$2,449,896
 CoC Bonus Funding Available \$839,001
Total Non-DV Funding Available: \$7,830,673
 DV Bonus Funding Available \$745,949
Total Ranked Funding Available \$6,126,726

Total CoC Bonus Request from Applicants \$1,188,746
 Total DV Bonus Request from Applicants \$1,082,654

Tier 1 Available \$4,087,598
 Tier 2 Available \$2,039,128
 Tier 1 + Tier 2 Total \$6,126,726

CoC Planning (Not Ranked) \$349,584
Total Funding Available (includes planning) \$11,376,102

Tier 1 Recommended List

Rank	Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount in Tier 1	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
1		Homeless Management Information System	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	HMIS	\$319,328	\$319,328	N/A
2		CoC Coordinated Entry System	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	SSO-CE	\$64,368	\$64,368	N/A
3		Joint TH - PH-RRH Bonus Project	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	TH-RRH	\$404,838	\$404,838	16/32
4	98.00	TDC Rapid Rehousing Program	Tulsa Day Center	RRH	\$247,571	\$247,571	13
5	95.00	12th Street PSH	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$260,272	\$260,272	25
6	95.00	LTS Apartments VI	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$144,028	\$144,028	28
7	90.00	LTS Apartments Tulsa EXP	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$1,121,514	\$1,121,514	182
8	89.00	PSH (Scattered Sites)	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$146,328	\$146,328	17
9	87.00	Hudson Villas	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$134,200	\$134,200	18
10	86.67	VOA 5600 PSH	Volunteers of America of Oklahoma, Inc.	PSH	\$611,426	\$611,426	51
11	85.00	RRH for Youth	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	RRH	\$126,008	\$126,008	7
12	76.00	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$507,717	\$507,717	33/55
^ Project in line 12 straddles Tier 1 & Tier 2					\$4,087,598	\$4,087,598	408/428

Tier 2 Recommended List

Rank	Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount in Tier 2	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
12	76.00	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$55,822	\$55,822	N/A
13	99.33	TDC New PSH	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$832,700	\$839,001	75
14	68.00	DVIS RRH for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$309,900	\$309,900	16/30
15	67.00	Walker Hall TLC	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	TH	\$88,456	\$88,456	6/12
16	94.33	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors - New Expansion	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$482,824	\$334,595	9/22
17	86.67	The Spring New TH-RRH	The Spring	TH-RRH	\$442,800	\$411,354	48*
					\$2,212,502	\$2,039,128	154/187

*estimated number of beds/units, based on original grant amounts; likely to change

Unselected Projects

Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
98.00	RRH for Youth - New Expansion	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	RRH	\$249,954	\$-	7/17
95.00	RRH for DV Survivors - New Expansion	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$236,122	\$-	10

Not Ranked Per NOFO Guidelines

Project	Applicant	Type	Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
2024 Planning Grant	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	CoC Planning	\$349,584	N/A
YHDP Tulsa Higher Ed Housing Navigation	Tulsa Community Foundation / Tulsa Higher Education Consortium	RRH	\$360,000	20
YHDP YouthFirst RRH	Tulsa Day Center	RRH	\$950,000	50
YHDP Safe & Secure Diversion	Tulsa Dream Center	SSO	\$513,270	N/A
YHDP YST TH-RRH	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	TH-RRH	\$626,626	37/39
			\$2,799,480	107/109
YHDP Total			\$2,449,896	

FY24 CoC NOFO – Posting of Priority Listing

October 11, 2024

https://www.housingsolutionstulsa.org/awh4t-partner-portal/nof/

RFP ISSUE DATE: August 19, 2024
PROPOSAL DEADLINE: 5:00 pm, September 11, 2024

Competition Overview

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has released a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), signifying the beginning of a funding competition among approximately 450 Continuums of Care (CoCs), the community stakeholder groups that guide local responses to homelessness. The 2024 CoC NOFO was released on July 31, 2024, opening the competition making available approximately \$3.5 billion nationally to serve people experiencing homelessness. The information in the NOFO sets forth the competition rules and processes for 2024. Of note, CoCs are only required to submit one CoC Application that will be applicable to both FY 2024 and FY 2025 funds.

The CoC Program (24 CFR part 578) is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities (as defined in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103) (TDHEs), and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness. Before the application is submitted to HUD, the CoC is required to hold a local competition to determine which projects will be included in the consolidated application, along with their relative priority. The results of the local competition dictate which projects the CoC will seek to fund.

[OK-501 2024 CoC NOFO Summary](#)

FY24 Tulsa CoC Final Priority Listing- Approved by AWH4T Leadership Council October 11, 2024

Details about anything in this summary can be found in the NOFO, available at: <https://www.grants.gov/search-results-detail/355762>

Competition Information

Funding Available | Funding Priorities | Resources | Timeline | Training & / | Need Housing Assistance?

SF - SEA
Game score

1:11 PM
10/11/2024

Tulsa City & County Continuum of Care
2024 Continuum of Care Final Priority Listing
 Approved October 11, 2024

Annual Renewal Demand \$6,991,672
 YHDP Renewal Funding Available \$2,449,896
 CoC Bonus Funding Available \$839,001
Total Non-DV Funding Available: \$7,830,673
 DV Bonus Funding Available \$745,949
Total Ranked Funding Available \$6,126,726

Total CoC Bonus Request from Applicants \$1,188,746
 Total DV Bonus Request from Applicants \$1,082,654

Tier 1 Available \$4,087,598
 Tier 2 Available \$2,039,128
 Tier 1 + Tier 2 Total \$6,126,726

CoC Planning (Not Ranked) \$349,584
Total Funding Available (includes planning) \$11,376,102

Tier 1 Recommended List

Rank	Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount in Tier 1	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
1		Homeless Management Information System	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	HMIS	\$319,328	\$319,328	N/A
2		CoC Coordinated Entry System	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	SSO-CE	\$64,368	\$64,368	N/A
3		Joint TH - PH-RRH Bonus Project	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	TH-RRH	\$404,838	\$404,838	16/32
4	98.00	TDC Rapid Rehousing Program	Tulsa Day Center	RRH	\$247,571	\$247,571	13
5	95.00	12th Street PSH	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$260,272	\$260,272	25
6	95.00	LTS Apartments VI	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$144,028	\$144,028	28
7	90.00	LTS Apartments Tulsa EXP	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$1,121,514	\$1,121,514	182
8	89.00	PSH (Scattered Sites)	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$146,328	\$146,328	17
9	87.00	Hudson Villas	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$134,200	\$134,200	18
10	86.67	VOA 5600 PSH	Volunteers of America of Oklahoma, Inc.	PSH	\$611,426	\$611,426	51
11	85.00	RRH for Youth	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	RRH	\$126,008	\$126,008	7
12	76.00	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$507,717	\$507,717	33/55
^ Project in line 12 straddles Tier 1 & Tier 2					\$4,087,598	\$4,087,598	408/428

Tier 2 Recommended List

Rank	Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount in Tier 2	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
12	76.00	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$55,822	\$55,822	N/A
13	99.33	TDC New PSH	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$832,700	\$839,001	75
14	68.00	DVIS RRH for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$309,900	\$309,900	16/30
15	67.00	Walker Hall TLC	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	TH	\$88,456	\$88,456	6/12
16	94.33	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors - New Expansion	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$482,824	\$334,595	9/22
17	86.67	The Spring New TH-RRH	The Spring	TH-RRH	\$442,800	\$411,354	48*
					\$2,212,502	\$2,039,128	154/187

*estimated number of beds/units, based on original grant amounts; likely to change

Unselected Projects

Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
98.00	RRH for Youth - New Expansion	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	RRH	\$249,954	\$-	7/17
95.00	RRH for DV Survivors - New Expansion	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$236,122	\$-	10

Not Ranked Per NOFO Guidelines

Project	Applicant	Type	Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
2024 Planning Grant	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	CoC Planning	\$349,584	N/A
YHDP Tulsa Higher Ed Housing Navigation	Tulsa Community Foundation / Tulsa Higher Education Consortium	RRH	\$360,000	20
YHDP YouthFirst RRH	Tulsa Day Center	RRH	\$950,000	50
YHDP Safe & Secure Diversion	Tulsa Dream Center	SSO	\$513,270	N/A
YHDP YST TH-RRH	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	TH-RRH	\$626,626	37/39
			\$2,799,480	107/109
YHDP Total			\$2,449,896	

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - Summary

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX 2.0
2023 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).	November 2023 to January of 2024
2023 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).**	February 2024 to March 2024
2024 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024
2024 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024

1) FY = Fiscal Year

2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.

2) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY23 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY 2022 (10/1/21 - 9/30/22) were also accepted during the data collection period, but these previous year's submissions are voluntarily and are not required.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

LSA Usability Status 2023

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC	PSH CO
Fully Usable							<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Partially Usable									
Not Usable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

EST

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	3,833	4,091	3,910
AO	3,120	3,376	3,183
AC	550	562	611
CO	175	194	156

RRH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	966	1,072	603
AO	600	650	387
AC	356	419	216
CO	1	5	0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

PSH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	373	607	652
AO	362	598	650
AC	10	10	2
CO	0	0	0

- 1) Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children
- 2) Because people have multiple stays in shelter over the course of a year and stay in different household configurations, a single person can be counted in more than one household type. Therefore, the sum of the number of people by household type may be greater than the unique count of people.
- 3) Total Sheltered count only includes those served in HMIS participating projects reported by your CoC.
- 4) For CoCs that experienced mergers during any of these reporting periods, historical data will include only the original CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	3,755	58.0	19.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	3,879	77.5	21.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	4,253	670.9	206.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	4,359	676.2	218.0

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

Metric	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
	Count	Count	% of Returns	Count	% of Returns ⁴	Count	% of Returns ⁶	Count	% of Returns ⁸
Exit was from SO	35	3	8.6%	0	0.0%	4	11.4%	7	20.0%
Exit was from ES	553	89	16.1%	30	5.4%	37	6.7%	156	28.2%
Exit was from TH	64	5	7.8%	4	6.3%	10	15.6%	19	29.7%
Exit was from SH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	376	22	5.9%	16	4.3%	22	5.9%	60	16.0%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	1,028	119	11.6%	50	4.9%	73	7.1%	242	23.5%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	4,674
Emergency Shelter Total	4,516
Safe Haven Total	14
Transitional Housing Total	278

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	252
Number of adults with increased earned income	10
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	4.0%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	252
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	91
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	36.1%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	252
Number of adults with increased total income	98
Percentage of adults who increased total income	38.9%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	174
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	6
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	3.5%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	174
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	28
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	16.1%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	174
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	34
Percentage of adults who increased total income	19.5%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	4,472
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	1,496
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	2,976

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	5,460
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	1,673
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	3,787

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	457
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	48
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	56
% Successful exits	22.8%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	3,781
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	642
% Successful exits	17.0%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	580
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	546
% Successful exits/retention	94.1%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	4,299	274	680	1,444	1,106
Total Leavers (HMIS)	3,759	194	109	704	683
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	1,325	86	15	0	221
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	35.3%	44.3%	13.8%	0.0%	32.4%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - SPM Notes

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Note: Cells may need to be resized to accomodate notes with lots

Measure	Notes
Measure 1	No notes.
Measure 2	No notes.
Measure 3	No notes.
Measure 4	No notes.
Measure 5	No notes.
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.
Measure 7	No notes.
Data Quality	No notes.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	757	647	647	0	647	100.0%
SH	6	6	6	0	6	100.0%
TH	202	102	102	0	102	100.0%
RRH	567	334	334	0	334	100.0%
PSH	582	582	582	0	582	100.0%
OPH	189	7	189	182	7	100.0%
Total	2,303	1,678	1,860	182	1,678	100.0%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County C

For HIC conducted in January/1

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV [†] Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	757	110	110	0	110	100.00%
SH	6	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	202	100	100	0	100	100.00%
RRH	567	233	233	0	233	100.00%
PSH	582	0	0	0	0	NA
OPH	189	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	2,303	443	443	0	443	100.00%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County C

For HIC conducted in January/1

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	757	757	757	100.00%
SH	6	6	6	100.00%
TH	202	202	202	100.00%
RRH	567	567	567	100.00%
PSH	582	582	582	100.00%
OPH	189	7	7	100.00%
Total	2,303	2,121	2,121	100.00%

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

Rapid Re-housing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

Metric	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
RRH beds available to serve all pops. on the HIC	181	245	424	638	567

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher

2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.

4) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.

5) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").

6) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

7) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/25/2024	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and full unsheltered count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	659	669	499	484	489	658
Safe Haven Total	8	26	0	0	6	6
Transitional Housing Total	225	258	257	176	200	191
Total Sheltered Count	892	953	756	660	695	855
Total Unsheltered Count	296	268	287	403	438	534
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	1,188	1,221	1,043	1,063	1,133	1,389

1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 1.0 and 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was either sheltered only or partial unsheltered count.

2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

OK-501 - Tulsa City & County CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Housing Leveraging Commitments
(Question 3A-1a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
LETTER OF COMMITMENT from Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa to Tulsa Day Center for PSHI Project	2



September 10, 2024

*Aaron Darden
President/CEO*

*Rick Neal
Chair*

*Debra Morrow
Vice Chair*

Sheila Brown

Lisa Albers

David Walker

Mack Haltom, CEO
Tulsa Day Center, Inc.
415 W. Archer
Tulsa, OK 74103

Re: FY2024 HUD CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative Project

Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa certifies that it will ensure the availability of housing vouchers as listed below for the HUD CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative Project (PSHI) prepared and submitted by the Tulsa Day Center, Inc. (TDC).

Leverage of Housing Vouchers: 75 housing vouchers will be used to provide rental assistance for project participants, representing a contribution of 100% of the total number of units for the PSHI Project. These vouchers are committed to be available on the start date as specified in the grant agreement. Dates of service are 7-1-2025 through 6-30-2026.

Match in the form of Housing Vouchers: Tulsa Day Center, Inc., intends to apply **\$209,750** of the total value of vouchers as an in-kind match, representing 25% of the grant request amount of \$839,001. As required by HUD, TDC will keep and make available for inspection any records documenting this contribution.

25% of
project
total

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Aaron Darden".

Aaron Darden
President/CEO
Housing Authority of the City of Tulsa

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Healthcare Formal Agreement
(Question 3A-2a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING between Tulsa Day Center, Inc. (TDC) and the Pat and John Minielly Medical Clinic (Clinic)	2



September 10, 2024

Mr. Earl Cook, Director
Community Planning and Development
Housing and Urban Development
301 NW 6th Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Re: FY2024 HUD CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative (PSHI)—New CoC Project
Memorandum of Understanding and Leverage Letter

25% of
project
total

In order to coordinate services to the greatest extent possible, this Memorandum of Understanding/Leverage Letter is entered into this 10th day of September, 2024 between the Tulsa Day Center, Inc. (TDC), and the Pat and John Minielly Medical Clinic (Clinic). The Clinic hereby verifies that it will provide health care services including treatments for acute and chronic conditions, as well as wound care and referrals as needed, with a value of \$241,950 to the clients served in the HUD CoC Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative project submitted by the Tulsa Day Center, Inc. This MOU will commence on the first day of the project, July 1, 2025, and will continue until the project end date, 12-31-2026.

Project eligibility for program participants in the TDC Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service provider.

Both parties agree to maintain the confidentiality of any sensitive or proprietary information exchanged during the course of this project, except as required by law. This document does not create any legally binding obligations or formal contractual commitments, but instead reflects the intentions of the parties and is intended as a statement of mutual understanding.

Printed Name and Title (TDC): Mack Haltom, Chief Executive Officer, Tulsa Day Center, Inc.

Signature (TDC): *Mack Haltom* Date: 10-23-24

Printed Name and Title (Clinic): Leslie Petty, DNP, APRN-NP-C, Director, Pat and John Minielly Medical Clinic

Signature (Clinic): *Leslie Petty DNP, APRN, NP-C* Date: 10/23/24



FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT:
Project List for Other Federal Statutes
(Question [3C-2](#))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
ATTACHMENT IS N/A	
CoC IS NOT requesting a percentage of funding for the fiscal year awarded under the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition NOFO be approved to serve homeless households with children and youth defined as homeless under other federal statutes who are unstably housed.	1

FY2024 COC CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION ATTACHMENT: OTHER ATTACHMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Document Satisfying Requirement	Page Number
Cover Sheet	1
Tulsa City and County CoC Local Competition Announcement - Website	2
Tulsa City and County CoC Local Competition Announcement –Email	3 - 4
Tulsa City and County CoC Local Competition Announcement – Newsletter Email	5 - 9

FY24 CoC NOFO - Posting of Local Competition Announcement

August 26, 2024

https://www.housingsolutionstulsa.org/awh4t-partner-portal/nof/

- ✓ Promote access to and effective use of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families.
- ✓ Optimize self-sufficiency among individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

FY24 CoC NOFO Competition

The HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition for 2024/2025 is an opportunity for communities to apply for federal funding to support homelessness assistance programs. Communities must submit applications outlining their strategies, including how they will use the funds to support these goals. The competition encourages innovative and effective approaches to reducing homelessness and improving support services **within the eligible project types focused on providing permanent housing.**

Information will be uploaded as soon as it becomes available. Sign up for the [Funding Opportunities Distribution List](#) to receive emails from Housing Solutions for updates.

RFP ISSUE DATE: August 19, 2024

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: 5:00 pm, September 11, 2024

Competition Overview

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has released a Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), signifying the beginning of a funding competition among approximately 450 Continuums of Care (CoCs), the community stakeholder groups that guide local responses to homelessness. The 2024 CoC NOFO was released on July 31, 2024, opening the competition making available approximately \$3.5 billion nationally to serve people experiencing homelessness. The information in the NOFO sets forth the competition rules and processes for 2024. Of note, CoCs are only required to submit one CoC Application that will be applicable to both FY 2024 and FY 2025 funds.

The CoC Program (24 CFR part 578) is designed to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, states, Indian Tribes or tribally designated housing entities (as defined in section 4 of the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (25 U.S.C. 4103) (TDHEs), and local governments to quickly rehouse homeless individuals, families, persons experiencing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness.

Need Housing Assistance?

3:49 PM
8/26/2024

2024 TULSA COC NOFO INFORMATION

Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Tue 8/20/2024 9:25 AM

To:NOFO <nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Bcc:AWH4T <awh4tlistserv@housingsolutionstulsa.org>;Phyllis Danley <pdanley@tulsadaycenter.org>;calebwalter <calebwalter@onehopetulsa.com>;Travis Anderson <soberliferecoveryok@gmail.com>;Amanda Hammack <amanda.hammack@creoks.org>;Wayne Washington <wbfwashington@gmail.com>;Leck, Jennifer <leckj@aetna.com>;Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>;Maranda Figueroa <maranda.figueroa@anewleaf.org>;dmarria@blockbuilderz.org <dmarria@blockbuilderz.org>;chenderson@irongatetulsa.org <chenderson@irongatetulsa.org>;director@familypromisetulsa.org <director@familypromisetulsa.org>;Rose Turner <rturner@dvis.org>;Goldman, Melanie R <melanie.goldman@va.gov>;Sara Blakley <sblakley@madisonstrategies.org>;pschmidtbc@gmail.com <pschmidtbc@gmail.com>;Nancy Curry <ncurry@zarrow.org>;i58ihs@gmail.com <i58ihs@gmail.com>;jennifer.boyer@okdhs.org <jennifer.boyer@okdhs.org>;kvann@blackqueertulsa.org <kvann@blackqueertulsa.org>

THE 2024 COC NOFO COMPETITION IS OFFICIALLY OPEN!

The HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition for 2024/2025 is an opportunity for communities to apply for federal funding to support homelessness assistance programs. Communities must submit applications outlining their strategies, including how they will use the funds to support these goals. The competition encourages innovative and effective approaches to reducing homelessness and improving support services.

As the lead agency for Tulsa's Continuum of Care, Housing Solutions is responsible for preparing the annual application to the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD). These resources are invaluable for providing housing and supportive services for people experiencing homelessness.

These funds are made available through a national competition announced each year in HUD's Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Competition. Applications must demonstrate broad community participation and identify resources and gaps in the community's approach to providing permanent housing and other critical services that address homelessness.

RFP ISSUE DATE: August 19, 2024

APPLICATION & SCORING TOOLS: Available August 20, 2024

PROPOSAL DEADLINE: 5:00pm, September 11, 2024

ESTIMATED FUNDS AVAILABLE: Pending HUD Announcement

TRAINING

- **2024 NOFO TA Workshop:** [Slides/Recording](#)
- **2024 New Project Webinar:** August 21, 2:00-3:00 p.m. - [Register Here](#)

AWH4T NOFO INFO

CONTACT THE COLLABORATIVE APPLICANT

Housing Solutions is the Collaborative Applicant for the Tulsa City and County CoC. If you have questions or comments regarding the CoC or local Competition process, please contact our team at

nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org.

Tulsa FY24 CoC NOFO Competition

Housing Solutions <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

Mon 8/19/2024 4:15 PM

To:Erin Velez <evelez@housingsolutionstulsa.org>

[View this email in your browser](#)



The 2024 CoC NOFO Competition is Officially Open!

The HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition for 2024/2025 is an opportunity for communities to apply for federal funding to support homelessness assistance programs. Communities must submit applications outlining their strategies, including how they will use the funds to support these goals. The competition encourages innovative and effective approaches to reducing homelessness and improving support services.

As the lead agency for Tulsa's Continuum of Care, Housing Solutions is responsible for preparing the annual application to the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD). These resources are invaluable for providing housing and supportive services for people experiencing homelessness.

These funds are made available through a national competition announced each year in HUD's Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Competition. Applications must demonstrate broad community participation and identify resources and gaps in the community's approach to providing permanent housing and other critical services that address homelessness.

RFP ISSUE DATE: August 19, 2024
PROPOSAL DEADLINE: 5:00pm, September 11, 2024
ESTIMATED FUNDS AVAILABLE:
PENDING RELEASE OF HUD ANNOUNCEMENT



The NOFO Task Group approved the competition materials for this year on Friday, August 16th. The scoring tool has been revised to better align with HUD's priorities. The Housing Solutions Team will distribute scores to agencies on August 23, 2024. Programs have until September 11, 2024 to update their HMIS information before the final scorecard is generated for the project application.

You will find:

- [Standard of Operations](#)
- [Request for Proposals \(RFP\)](#)
- Scoring Tools (8/20/2024)
- Application (8/20/2024)

Scoring Tools and Project Applications will be released on August 20, 2024.

TRAINING

 **WEBINAR**

New Project Info Session
HUD CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity

📅 Thursday, August 15, 2024
🕒 10:00 - 11:00am

 **WEBINAR**

YHDP Applications
FY24 HUD CoC NOFO

📅 Monday, August 26, 2024
🕒 1:00 - 2:00pm

OFFICE HOURS

Need Help with Your Application? Book a One-on-One Session!

Do you have questions about your NOFO application or need clarification on the guidelines? We're here to help! We are partnering with Homebase to offer dedicated office hours to provide personalized support and answer any questions you may have.

How to Book a Session:

- Visit the [Booking Page](#)
- Choose a time slot that works for you from the available options
- Confirm your booking. You'll receive a confirmation email with all the details.

Office hours are available on a first-come, first-served basis, so we encourage you to book your session early. This is a great opportunity to ensure your application is as strong as possible. We look forward to assisting you!



We are continually adding resources and answers to frequently asked questions in the Resources section of our [NOFO page](#). Please check often or send us an email if you cannot find what you need.

Housing Solutions is the Collaborative Applicant for the Tulsa City and County CoC. If you have questions or comments regarding the CoC or local Competition process, you can contact our team at nofo@housingsolutionstulsa.org.

Copyright (C) 2024 Housing Solutions. All rights reserved.

You are receiving this email because you opted in via our website.

Our mailing address is:

Housing Solutions P.O. Box 4628 Tulsa, OK 74159 USA

Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe](#)

Disclaimer: The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify your representative immediately and delete this message from your computer. Thank you.

FY 2024 OK-501 Tulsa City and County CoC
Final Priority Listing

*Approved by AWH4T Leadership Council
October 11, 2024*

Tulsa City & County Continuum of Care
2024 Continuum of Care Final Priority Listing
 Approved October 11, 2024

Annual Renewal Demand \$6,991,672
 YHDP Renewal Funding Available \$2,449,896
 CoC Bonus Funding Available \$839,001
Total Non-DV Funding Available: \$7,830,673
 DV Bonus Funding Available \$745,949
Total Ranked Funding Available \$6,126,726

Total CoC Bonus Request from Applicants \$1,188,746
 Total DV Bonus Request from Applicants \$1,082,654

Tier 1 Available \$4,087,598
 Tier 2 Available \$2,039,128
 Tier 1 + Tier 2 Total \$6,126,726

CoC Planning (Not Ranked) \$349,584
Total Funding Available (includes planning) \$11,376,102

Tier 1 Recommended List

Rank	Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount in Tier 1	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
1		Homeless Management Information System	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	HMIS	\$319,328	\$319,328	N/A
2		CoC Coordinated Entry System	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	SSO-CE	\$64,368	\$64,368	N/A
3		Joint TH - PH-RRH Bonus Project	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	TH-RRH	\$404,838	\$404,838	16/32
4	98.00	TDC Rapid Rehousing Program	Tulsa Day Center	RRH	\$247,571	\$247,571	13
5	95.00	12th Street PSH	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$260,272	\$260,272	25
6	95.00	LTS Apartments VI	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$144,028	\$144,028	28
7	90.00	LTS Apartments Tulsa EXP	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	PSH	\$1,121,514	\$1,121,514	182
8	89.00	PSH (Scattered Sites)	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$146,328	\$146,328	17
9	87.00	Hudson Villas	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$134,200	\$134,200	18
10	86.67	VOA 5600 PSH	Volunteers of America of Oklahoma, Inc.	PSH	\$611,426	\$611,426	51
11	85.00	RRH for Youth	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	RRH	\$126,008	\$126,008	7
12	76.00	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$507,717	\$507,717	33/55
^ Project in line 12 straddles Tier 1 & Tier 2					\$4,087,598	\$4,087,598	408/428

Tier 2 Recommended List

Rank	Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount in Tier 2	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
12	76.00	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$55,822	\$55,822	N/A
13	99.33	TDC New PSH	Tulsa Day Center	PSH	\$832,700	\$839,001	75
14	68.00	DVIS RRH for DV Survivors	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$309,900	\$309,900	16/30
15	67.00	Walker Hall TLC	Mental Health Association in Tulsa, Inc.	TH	\$88,456	\$88,456	6/12
16	94.33	RRH Collaboration for DV Survivors - New Expansion	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$482,824	\$334,595	9/22
17	86.67	The Spring New TH-RRH	The Spring	TH-RRH	\$442,800	\$411,354	48*
					\$2,212,502	\$2,039,128	154/187

*estimated number of beds/units, based on original grant amounts; likely to change

Unselected Projects

Score	Project	Applicant	Type	Applicant Grant Amount	Recommended Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
98.00	RRH for Youth - New Expansion	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	RRH	\$249,954	\$-	7/17
95.00	RRH for DV Survivors - New Expansion	Domestic Violence Intervention Services	RRH	\$236,122	\$-	10

Not Ranked Per NOFO Guidelines

Project	Applicant	Type	Grant Amount	Total Units/Beds
2024 Planning Grant	The Center for Housing Solutions, Inc.	CoC Planning	\$349,584	N/A
YHDP Tulsa Higher Ed Housing Navigation	Tulsa Community Foundation / Tulsa Higher Education Consortium	RRH	\$360,000	20
YHDP YouthFirst RRH	Tulsa Day Center	RRH	\$950,000	50
YHDP Safe & Secure Diversion	Tulsa Dream Center	SSO	\$513,270	N/A
YHDP YST TH-RRH	Youth Services of Tulsa, Inc	TH-RRH	\$626,626	37/39
			\$2,799,480	107/109
YHDP Total			\$2,449,896	