# A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool NOFO 2024



Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_
Project Name:

Pro	ject	Туре:

### Project Identifier:

## **RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL**

### **Performance Measures**

Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	1	Max Point Valu	
1 PSH (General) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	<u> </u>			out of	2	points
2 PSH (General) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	365 days			out of	1	points
Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Valu	e
1 PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %			outof	20	points
2 PSH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %			out of	15	points
3 PSH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %			out of	10	points
Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Valu	e
<b>PSH (General)</b> - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent						
housing	10 %			out of	6	points
2 DCU (Constal) Descent of participants return to homelessness within 10 menths of suit to permanent housing						
2 <b>PSH (General)</b> - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %			out of	3	points
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Valu	ie
1 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of	2	points
<b>PSH (General)</b> - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project				1 -		
2 stayers	12 %			out of	2	points
3 <b>PSH (General)</b> - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of	2	 points
<b>PSH (General)</b> - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project						
4 leavers	12 %			out of	2	points
				_		
Serve High Needs Populations	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Valu	e
1 <b>PSH (General)</b> - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %			out of	1	points
2 PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	40 %			out of	1	 points
				-		

Project Effectiveness	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	M	le	
<ul> <li>PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)</li> <li>PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures</li> </ul>	<u>100</u> % Yes			out of	5 10	points points
Fquity Factors	Performance	Meaures Subtota	l	out of	54	points

### Equity Factors

#### Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

1 Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of

- homelessness
   3 Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness
- <sup>4</sup> Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers

Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
Yes			out of	2	points
Yes			out of	2	points
Yes			out of	5	points
Yes			out of	5	points

#### **Equity Factors Subtotal**

14 points

out of

Program Participant Outcomes		Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value		
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by 1 race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of	8	points
<ul> <li>Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable</li> <li>and developed a plan to make those changes</li> </ul>	Yes			out of	8	points
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of	8	points
	Program Participa	nt Outcomes Subtota	ıl	out of	24	points
Other and Local Criteria	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Val	ue
1 Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes			out of	8	points
	Other and I	Local Criteria Subtota	ıl	out of	8	points
Total Maximum Score		PSH-General projects	S:	out of	100	points

## **Project Financial Information**

CoC funding requested

Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)

Amount of private funding

TOTAL PROJECT COST

CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year

CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year

Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year