

**A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool
NOFO 2024**



Organization Name: _____
 Project Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance Measures

Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project at least XX days	180 days			out of 1 points
2 TH+RRH (General) - TH Component - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to re-entry to the project	30 days			out of 1 points
Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %			out of 20 points
2 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %			out of 15 points
3 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %			out of 10 points
Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %			out of 6 points
2 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %			out of 3 points
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 2 points
2 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
3 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 2 points
4 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4 %			out of 2 points
Serve High Needs Populations	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
2 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %			out of 1 points
Project Effectiveness	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %			out of 5 points
2 TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of 10 points
Performance Measures Subtotal				out of 54 points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes			out of 2 points
2 Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes			out of 2 points
3 Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes			out of 5 points
4 Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes			out of 5 points
Equity Factors Subtotal			out of	14 points

Program Participant Outcomes

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of 8 points
2 Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes			out of 8 points
3 Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes			out of 8 points
Program Participant Outcomes Subtotal			out of	24 points

Other and Local Criteria

	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes			out of 8 points
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			out of	8 points

Total Maximum Score

TH+RRH-General projects: out of **100** points

Project Financial Information

CoC funding requested _____
 Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) _____
 Amount of private funding _____
TOTAL PROJECT COST _____

 CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year _____
 CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year _____
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year _____