A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool

 ${\footnotesize 3} \ {\footnotesize Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness$

Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers



	Organization N	lame:				
	Project N	ame:				
	Project	Type:				
	Project Iden					
	.,					
٦/)L					
У С	<i>,</i> ,,					
	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value		
	Citorinance			2		
			outor			
	Performance	Points Awarded		May Doint Value		
	Periormance					
			out of	20	points	
			out of	15 10	points	
			out of	10	points	
	Performance	Points Awarded				
			out of	3 2	points	
			out of	2	points	
				3	points	
			out of	3	points	
	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value		
			out of	1	points	
			out of	1 1	points	
	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value		
			out of	6	points	
					• '	
			out of	13	points	
				-		
orı	mance Meaures Sub	ototal	out of	54	points	
			outoi		P	
	Performance	Points Awarded				
			out of	2	points	
			out of	2	points	
			out of	5	points	

out of

14

points

NOFO 2024

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL						
Performance Measures						
Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
1 PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30 days			out of .	2 points	
Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
1 PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	85 %	Cironnance		out of	20 points	
2 PSH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	75-84 %			out of	15 points	
3 PSH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	65-74 %			out of	10 points	
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
1 PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of	3 points	
2 PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	12 %			out of .	2 points	
3 PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of	3 points	
4 PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	%			out of	points	
Serve High Needs Populations	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
1 PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %			out of	1 points	
2 PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 %			out of	1 points	
Project Effectiveness	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative						
system for DV projects)	100 %			out of	6 points	
PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC						
monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of	points	
	Port	formance Meaures Subt	otal	out of	54 points	
	Pen	ormance rieaures subt	viai	out of	04 points	
Equity Factors						
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
1 Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes			out of	2 points	
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of				•		
homelessness	V	1 1	1	out of	0	
Hometessitess	Yes		_ I	out of	2 points	

Yes

Yes

Equity Factors Subtotal

Program Participant Outcomes	Goal	Performance Points Award	led 1	Max Point Value	
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes		out of _	8	points
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes		out of _	8	points
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes		out of	8	points
	Pro	gram Participant Outcomes Subtotal	out of	24	points
Other and Local Criteria	Goal	Performance Points Award	led 1	Max Point Value	
1 Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes		out of	8	points
		Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	out of	8	points
Total Maximum Score		PSH-DV projects:	out of	100	points
Project Financial Information					
CoC funding requested					
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)					
Amount of private funding					
TOTAL PROJECT COST					
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year					
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year					
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year					