## A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool NOFO 2024



points

out of 14

		Organization Name Project Name Project Type	:			
		Project Identifier				
		•				
RENEWAL/EXPANSION PR	OJECT RATING TO	OL				
	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded		Max Point Value	
ntial move-in	45days			out of _	2	points

Performance Measures				
Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45days			out of 2 points
Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	85%			out of points
2 RRH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	75-84 %			out of points
3 RRH (DV) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	65-74 %			out of 10 points
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	5 %			out of 3 points
2 RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %			out of 3 points
3 RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	7 %			out of 3 points
4 RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4%			out of points
Serve High Needs Populations	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %			out of 1 points
2 RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	10 %			out of points
Project Effectiveness	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	_100_ %			out of 6 points
RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC				
monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes			out of points
	Performance Meaures Subtotal out of 54		out of 54 points	
Equity Factors				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value
1 Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	Citorinance		out of 2 points
2 Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of				
homelessness	Yes			out of 2 points
Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of				
homelessness	Yes			out of 5 points
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and				·
implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes			out of 5 points

**Equity Factors Subtotal** 

Program Participant Outcomes	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Valu	ıe	
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations  Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and	_Yes_		out	t of8	points	
developed a plan to make those changes	Yes		out	t of8	points	
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes		out	t of8	points	
	Program	n Participant Outcomes Subto	tal out	t of 24	points	
Other and Local Criteria  1 Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Goal Yes	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Valu	points	
	(	Other and Local Criteria Subto	tal ou	t of 8	points	
Total Maximum Score		RRH-DV projec	ets: ou	t of 100	points	
Project Financial Information						
CoC funding requested						
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)						
Amount of private funding  TOTAL PROJECT COST						
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year						
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year						
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		<del></del>				