A Way Home for Tulsa Continuum of Care Project Rating Tool NOFO 2024



Organization Name:	
Project Name:	
Project Type:	
Project Identifier:	

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Performance Measures

Length of Stay	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded Max Point Value
1 RRH (General) - On average, participants spend less than XX days from project entry to residential move-in	30days		out of 2 points
Exits to Permanent Housing	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded Max Point Value
1 RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %		out of 20 points
2 RRH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80-89 %		out of 15 points
3 RRH (General) - Percent remain in or move to permanent housing	70-79 %		out of 10 points
Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded Max Point Value
RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent			
housing	10%		out of 6 points
2 RRH (General) - Percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	11-20 %		out of 3 points
	01	B	No. 2011
New or Increased Income and Earned Income	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded Max Point Value
1 RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	%		out of 2 points
2 RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	4 %		out of 3 points
${\bf 3~RRH~(General)} - {\bf Minimum~percent~of~participants~with~new~or~increased~earned~income~for~project~leavers}$	7 %		out of 2 points
4 RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	4%		out of 2 points
Serve High Needs Populations	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded Max Point Value
1 RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	35 %		out of 1 points
2 RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	25 %		out of 1 points
Project Effectiveness	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded Max Point Value
RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %		out of 5 points
RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from			
2 CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		out of 10 points
	P	erformance Meaures Subt	total out of 54 points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value	e
1 Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes		ou	t of 2	points
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes		ou	t of 2	points
Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness			ou	t of5	_ points
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes		ou	t of5	points
		Equity Factors Subto	tal ou	t of 14	points
Program Participant Outcomes	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value	e
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes		ou	t of8	_ points
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes		ou	t of8	_ points
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes		ou	t of8	_ points
	Program	n Participant Outcomes Subto	tal ou	t of 24	points
Other and Local Criteria	Goal	Performance	Points Awarded	Max Point Value	e
1 Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes		ou	t of8	points
			-		
		Other and Local Criteria Subto	tal ou	t of 8	points
Total Maximum Score		Other and Local Criteria Subto		t of 8	points
Total Maximum Score Project Financial Information					
Project Financial Information					
Project Financial Information CoC funding requested Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) Amount of private funding					
Project Financial Information CoC funding requested Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)					
Project Financial Information CoC funding requested Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) Amount of private funding					
Project Financial Information CoC funding requested Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) Amount of private funding TOTAL PROJECT COST					